



Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797
www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: [Merrill Balassone](mailto:Merrill.Balassone@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

December 19, 2025

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of December 15, 2025

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#25-345 *People v. Flowers*, S293388. (B312522; nonpublished opinion; San Luis Obispo County Superior Court; 20F-02462.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. The court limited review to the following issue: Did the Court of Appeal err by declining to remand for resentencing under the amended Penal Code section 1170, subdivision (b)? (See *People v. Wiley* (2025) 17 Cal.5th 1069; *People v. Lynch* (2024) 16 Cal.5th 730; *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416; *People v. Gutierrez* (2014) 58 Cal.4th 1354.)

#25-346 *People v. Orozco*, S293587. (B329413; 114 Cal.App.5th 343, mod. 114 Cal.App.5th 844a; Los Angeles County Superior Court; VA150179.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses and remanded for further proceedings. The court limited review to the following issue: Is defendant's indeterminate sentence for attempted murder unauthorized under Penal Code section 664, subdivision (a) because the prosecutor did not allege in the information that the attempted murder was willful, deliberate, and premeditated?

#25-347 *People v. Brannigan*, S293949. (C102226; nonpublished opinion; Sacramento County Superior Court; 09F06130.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order granting in part and denying in part a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

#25-348 *People v. Juarez*, S293921. (B335309; nonpublished opinion; Ventura County Superior Court; 2012014196.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and

affirmed an order granting in part and denying in part a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

The court ordered briefing in *Brannigan* and *Juarez* deferred pending decision in *People v. Eaton*, S289903 (#25-129), which presents the following issue: May a trial court reimpose a previously imposed upper term sentence under Penal Code section 1172.75, subdivision (d)(4) where the facts underlying one or more aggravating circumstances were neither stipulated to by the defendant nor found true beyond a reasonable doubt at trial by the jury or by the judge in a court trial (cf. Pen. Code, § 1170, subd. (b)(2); *id.*, § 1172.75, subd. (d)(2))?

#25-349 *Galarsa v. Dolgen California, LLC*, S293545. (F089004, F089171; 115 Cal.App.5th 1; Kern County Superior Court; BCV-19-102504.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal denied a petition for writ of mandate and affirmed an order denying a motion to compel arbitration in a civil action. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, S289305 (#25-91), which presents the following issues: (1) Does every Private Attorneys General Act (Lab. Code, § 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims? (2) Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

DISPOSITIONS

The following cases were transferred for reconsideration in light of *People v. Superior Court (Guevara)* (2025) 18 Cal.5th 838:

#25-45 <i>People v. Bennett</i>, S288766	(F087700; nonpublished opinion; Kern County Superior Court; SF015228A)
#25-194 <i>People v. Bustamante</i>, S289973	(B334404; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; NA084561)
#25-195 <i>People v. Dismuke</i>, S290515	(F087359; nonpublished opinion; Stanislaus County Superior Court; 1006268)
#25-288 <i>People v. Grimes</i>, S291987	(B336757; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; LA033697)

#24-74 <i>People v. Kimble</i>, S284259	(C097389; 99 Cal.App.5th 746 [non-citable]; Butte County Superior Court; CM026600)
#25-30 <i>People v. London</i>, S288412	(C099987; nonpublished opinion; Yuba County Superior Court; CRF990000381)
#24-263 <i>People v. Long</i>, S287742	(F087388; nonpublished opinion; Stanislaus County Superior Court; 20357)
#24-226 <i>People v. Moreno</i>, S287280	(B333875; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; LA039438)
#25-118 <i>People v. Sanders</i>, S289629	(B336316; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; NA067047)
#24-97 <i>People v. Santos</i>, S284341	(C096979; 100 Cal.App.5th 666 [non-citable]; Shasta County Superior Court; 03F6857)
#24-133 <i>People v. Snow</i>, S285218	(C098308; nonpublished opinion; Butte County Superior Court; CM027251)
#24-163 <i>People v. Superior Court (Williams)</i>, S286128	(H051569; 102 Cal.App.5th 1242 [non-citable]; Santa Clara County Superior Court; C9925466)
#24-144 <i>People v. Wilson</i>, S285191	(F085924; nonpublished opinion; Kern County Superior Court; MF008340A)

The following cases were transferred for reconsideration in light of *People v. Emanuel* (2025) 17 Cal.5th 867:

#24-268 <i>People v. Garcia</i>, S287993	(C098282; nonpublished opinion; Yolo County Superior Court; CR20157320)
#24-160 <i>People v. Martin</i>, S286132	(B327394; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; KA005658)
#23-193 <i>People v. Pantoja</i>, S281228	(F083581; nonpublished opinion; Stanislaus County Superior Court; 1423449)
#25-108 <i>People v. Young</i>, S289644	(B331830; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BA384433)

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.