



Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797
www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: [Merrill Balassone](mailto:Merrill.Balassone@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

November 10, 2022

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of November 7, 2022

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#22-286 *People v. Brooks*, S276543. (C095912; nonpublished opinion; Placer County Superior Court; 62127722.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal dismissed an appeal from an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (#21-72), which presents the following issues: (1) What procedures must appointed counsel and the Courts of Appeal follow when counsel determines that an appeal from an order denying postconviction relief lacks arguable merit? (2) Are defendants entitled to notice of these procedures?

#22-287 *People v. Carlin*, S276697. (C094284; nonpublished opinion; Butte County Superior Court; 20CF03174.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense.

#22-288 *People v. Minick*, S276711. (C095111; nonpublished opinion; Yuba County Superior Court; CRF202485.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal ordered abstract of judgment corrected and otherwise affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense.

The court ordered briefing in *Carlin* and *Minick* deferred pending decision in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (#22-217), which presents the following issue: What prejudice standard applies on appeal when determining whether a case should be remanded for resentencing in light of newly-enacted Senate Bill No. 567 (Stats. 2021, ch. 731)?

DISPOSITIONS

The following cases were transferred for reconsideration in light of *In re Milton* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 893:

#20-139 <i>In re Brown</i>, S261454	(E071401; 45 Cal.App.5th 699 [non-citable]; San Bernardino County Superior Court; CU15081064)
#20-393 <i>In re Jackson</i>, S265676	(E072464; nonpublished opinion; San Bernardino County Superior Court; FSB11452, WHCJS1800321)

Review in the following cases, which were granted and held for *In re Milton* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 893, was dismissed:

#20-95 <i>In re Chamberlain</i>, S260133	(B300885; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BA198121)
#20-218 <i>In re Haden</i>, S263261	(A158376; 49 Cal.App.5th 1091; San Mateo County Superior Court; SC042504A)
#20-219 <i>In re Scott</i>, S262716	(D076909; 49 Cal.App.5th 1003; San Diego County Superior Court; SCD137581)

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.