



Supreme Court of California  
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797  
[www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt](http://www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt)

**NEWS RELEASE**

Contact: [Cathal Conneely](mailto:Cathal.Conneely@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

August 20, 2021

---

## Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of August 16, 2021

*[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]*

**#21-409 *Leon v. County of Riverside*, S269672.** (E073781; 64 Cal.App.5th 837; Riverside County Superior Court; RIC1722990.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. This case presents the following issue: Is immunity under Government Code section 821.6 limited to actions for malicious prosecution? (See *Sullivan v. County of Los Angeles* (1974) 12 Cal.3d 710.)

**#21-410 *People v. Albarran*, S269781.** (D077893; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; INF060734.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

**#21-411 *People v. Contreras*, S269809.** (D077860; nonpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCD238193.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

The court ordered briefing in *Albarran* and *Contreras* deferred pending decision in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 (#19-172), which presents the following issues: (1) Does Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015) apply to attempted murder liability under the natural and probable consequences doctrine? (2) In order to convict an aider and abettor of attempted willful, deliberate and premeditated murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, must a premeditated attempt to murder have been a natural and probable consequence of the target offense? In other words, should *People v. Favor* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 868 be reconsidered in light of *Alleyne v. United States* (2013) 570 U.S. 99 and *People v. Chiu* (2014) 59 Cal.4th 155?

**#21-412 *People v. Binns*, S269573.** (B301959; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; SA028021.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

**#21-413 *People v. Xiong*, S269722.** (F079367; nonpublished opinion; Merced County Superior Court; SUF16461B.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

**#21-414 *People v. Zuniga*, S269642.** (F078866; nonpublished opinion; Tulare County Superior Court; VCF211993B.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

The court ordered briefing in *Binns*, *Xiong*, and *Zuniga* deferred pending decision in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 (#19-172), which presents the following issues: (1) Does Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015) apply to attempted murder liability under the natural and probable consequences doctrine? (2) In order to convict an aider and abettor of attempted willful, deliberate and premeditated murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, must a premeditated attempt to murder have been a natural and probable consequence of the target offense? In other words, should *People v. Favor* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 868 be reconsidered in light of *Alleyne v. United States* (2013) 570 U.S. 99 and *People v. Chiu* (2014) 59 Cal.4th 155? and pending finality of decision in *People v. Lewis* (July 26, 2021, S260598) \_\_ Cal.5th \_\_.

**#21-415 *People v. Gonzalez*, S269792.** (G057502; 65 Cal.App.5th 420; Orange County Superior Court; 99CF0831.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (#21-101), which presents the following issue: Does a felony-murder special circumstance finding (Pen. Code, § 190.2, subd. (a)(17)) made before *People v. Banks* (2015) 61 Cal.4th 788 and *People v. Clark* (2016) 63 Cal.4th 522 preclude a defendant from making a prima facie showing of eligibility for relief under Penal Code section 1170.95? and pending finality of decision in *People v. Lewis* (July 26, 2021, S260598) \_\_ Cal.5th \_\_.

**#21-416 *People v. Love*, S269698.** (C092437; nonpublished opinion; Sacramento County Superior Court; 14F06720, 15F06950.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal dismissed an appeal from an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (#21-72), which presents the following issues: (1) What procedures must appointed counsel and the Courts of Appeal follow when counsel determines that an appeal from an order denying postconviction relief lacks arguable merit? (2) Are defendants entitled to notice of these procedures?

**#21-417 *People v. Riley, S269541.*** (B298450; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; NA079324.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending finality of decision in *People v. Lewis* (July 26, 2021, S260598) \_ Cal.5th \_.

**#21-418 *People v. Solano, S269793.*** (B303993; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; KA042134.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

**#21-419 *People v. Torkelson, S269769.*** (D078237; nonpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCD193624.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

The court ordered briefing in *Solano* and *Torkelson* deferred pending decision in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (#21-101), which presents the following issue: Does a felony-murder special circumstance finding (Pen. Code, § 190.2, subd. (a)(17)) made before *People v. Banks* (2015) 61 Cal.4th 788 and *People v. Clark* (2016) 63 Cal.4th 522 preclude a defendant from making a prima facie showing of eligibility for relief under Penal Code section 1170.95?

# # #

*The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.*