

Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797 www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE Contact: Merrill Balassone, 415-865-7740 FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE August 19, 2022

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of August 15, 2022

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#22-228 Los Angeles Police Protective League v. City of Los Angeles, S275272. (B306321; 78 Cal.App.5th 1081; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BC676283.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. The court limited review to the following issues: (1) Does Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), particularly subdivision (a)(2), constitute improper viewpoint discrimination in violation of the First Amendment? (2) Does Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), particularly subdivision (a)(2), impose an impermissible burden on complainants' ability to file allegations of misconduct against peace officers? (3) Is it error to compel the City to comply with a statute that has been ruled unconstitutional by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit?

#22-229 *Pacific Fertility Cases*, **S275134.** (A164472; 78 Cal.App.5th 568; San Francisco County Superior Court; CJC19005021.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal in a civil action. The court limited review to the following issue: Whether a petition for writ of mandate is the exclusive means of challenging an order approving or denying a good faith settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 877.6.

#22-230 *People v. Perez*, **S275090.** (B300396; 78 Cal.App.5th 192; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA143448.) Petitions for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and conditionally reversed in part judgments of conviction of criminal offenses and remanded for further proceedings.

#22-231 *People v. Ramirez*, **S275341.** (H047847; 79 Cal.App.5th 48; Monterey County Superior Court; 17CR001723.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in

part and conditionally reversed in part a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses and remanded for further proceedings.

#22-232 *People v. Zaragoza*, **S275101.** (F080295; nonpublished opinion; Kings County Superior Court; 17CMS4395A.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and conditionally reversed in part a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses and remanded for further proceedings.

The court ordered briefing in *Perez*, *Ramirez*, and *Zaragoza* deferred pending decision in *People v. Tran*, S165998, an automatic appeal, which includes an issue involving the retroactivity of the provision in Assembly Bill No. 333 (Stats. 2021, ch. 699) permitting the bifurcation of gang allegations at trial (Pen. Code, § 1109).

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.