



Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797
www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: [Merrill Balassone](mailto:Merrill.Balassone@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

July 11, 2025

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of July 7, 2025

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#25-222 11640 Woodbridge Condominium Homeowners' Assn. v. Farmers Ins. Exchange, S290750. (B333848; 110 Cal.App.5th 211; Los Angeles County Superior Court; 22STCV00778.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed the judgment in a civil action. This case presents the following issue: Does a provision within an "all-risk" property insurance policy providing that the insurer will pay for "[w]ater damage to the interior of any building or structure caused by or resulting from rain" if the "building or structure first sustains damages" to the "roof or walls through which the rain . . . enters" operate to exclude coverage for damage caused by rain that entered a building while its roof was being replaced?

#25-223 People v. Henderson, S291105. (B330707; 110 Cal.App.5th 828; Los Angeles County Superior Court; A918235.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a post-judgment order in a criminal matter. The court limited review to the following issue: Does Penal Code section 1385.1, which bars sentencing courts from striking special circumstance findings, apply to Penal Code section 1172.6 resentencing proceedings for murder convictions occurring prior to the June 6, 1990 effective date of section 1385.1?

#25-224 People v. Woods, S290581. (G061948; 109 Cal.App.5th 985; Orange County Superior Court; 17CF1373.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. This case presents the following issue: Must workers' compensation insurance fraud involving a kickback scheme be prosecuted as a misdemeanor under Labor Code section 139.32, subdivision (c), or may it be prosecuted as a felony under Penal Code section 550, subdivision (b)(3)? (See *In re Williamson* (1954) 43 Cal.2d 651.)

#25-225 *People v. Cabrera*, S291295. (G063762; nonpublished opinion; Orange County Superior Court; 96WF2465.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-226 *People v. Covarrubias*, S291237. (D083598; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; RIF1404661.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-227 *People v. Curtis*, S291266. (D083739; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; INF1600384.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-228 *People v. Hughes*, S290868. (E083053; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; ICR15933.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal of a post-judgment order in a criminal matter.

#25-229 *People v. Jackson*, S291074. (E083072; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; CR69388.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed a post-judgment order in a criminal matter.

#25-230 *People v. Lewis*, S291065. (E082976; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; RIF099009.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

#25-231 *People v. Noriega*, S291208. (E082986; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; RIF127423.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter.

#25-232 *People v. Rosales*, S291053. (E083243; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; RIF128141.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed a post-judgment order in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-233 *People v. Thomas*, S291250. (B336744; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; VA040575.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-234 *People v. Valdivia*, S291101. (G063750; nonpublished opinion; Orange County Superior Court; 96HF0365.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an

order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-235 *People v. Velasquez*, S290889. (G063791; nonpublished opinion; Orange County Superior Court; 16HF1104.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-236 *People v. Vines*, S291115. (G063749; nonpublished opinion; Orange County Superior Court; 95WF2339.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

#25-237 *People v. White*, S291134. (D083841; nonpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCN004867.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter and remanded for resentencing.

The court ordered briefing in *Cabrera, Covarrubias, Curtis, Jackson, Lewis, Noriega, Rosales, Thomas, Valdivia, Velasquez, Vines, and White* deferred pending finality of the decision in *People v. Rhodius* (June 26, 2025, S283169) ___ Cal.5th ___.

#25-238 *People v. Farfan*, S291159. (B337498; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA136699.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Lopez*, S287814 (#25-2), which presents the following issue: Does Penal Code section 1172.6, subdivision (a)(3), which requires defendants to allege that they “could not presently be convicted of murder or attempted murder because of changes to section 188 or 189 made effective January 1, 2019,” render ineligible for relief petitioners who could have raised their challenges to imputed malice on prior direct appeal?

#25-239 *People v. Garcia*, S290796. (G062771; nonpublished opinion; Riverside County Superior Court; INF1700133.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Allen*, S286520 (#24-224), which presents the following issues: (1) If a defendant has invoked his right to remain silent while being interrogated by a law enforcement officer, are incriminating statements obtained through a subsequent *Perkins* operation (i.e., the use of an undercover agent to question a jailed defendant) admissible as substantive proof of the defendant’s guilt at trial? (See *Illinois v. Perkins* (1990) 496 U.S. 292; *Miranda v. Arizona* (1966) 384 U.S. 436.) (2) What effect, if any, does the fact that the interrogating officer continued questioning after

petitioner invoked his Fifth Amendment right to silence have upon the admissibility of the statements subsequently obtained during the *Perkins* operation?

#25-240 *People v. Trotter*, S291289. (B334963; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA136069.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed an order granting in part and denying in part a post-judgment motion in a criminal matter. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Eaton*, S289903 (#25-129), which presents the following issue: May a trial court reimpose a previously imposed upper term sentence under Penal Code section 1172.75, subdivision (d)(4) where the facts underlying one or more aggravating circumstances were neither stipulated to by the defendant nor found true beyond a reasonable doubt at trial by the jury or by the judge in a court trial (cf. Pen. Code, § 1170, subd. (b)(2); *id.*, § 1172.75, subd. (d)(2))?

#25-241 *Williams v. Alacrity Solutions Group, LLC*, S291199. (B335445; 110 Cal.App.5th 932; Los Angeles County Superior Court; 23STCV05372.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, S289305 (#25-91), which presents the following issues: (1) Does every Private Attorneys General Act (Lab. Code, § 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims? (2) Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

DISPOSITIONS

Review in the following cases, which were granted and held for *People v. Patton* (2025) 17 Cal.5th 549, was dismissed:

#24-236 <i>People v. Adger</i>, S287792	(B330380; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; KA103542)
#24-237 <i>People v. Arias</i>, S287716	(B331686; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA136781)
#25-57 <i>People v. Carrasquillo</i>, S288882	(B334440; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; A462106)

#25-58 <i>People v. Copon</i>, S288879	(H051607; nonpublished opinion; Santa Clara County Superior Court; C1225419)
#25-16 <i>People v. Dadabhai</i>, S288389	(H050750; nonpublished opinion; Santa Clara County Superior Court; C1651935)
#24-219 <i>People v. Deras</i>, S287166	(B331034; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; LA080900)
#24-220 <i>People v. Dunne</i>, S286773	(B330892; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; VA125477)
#24-181 <i>People v. Esparza</i>, S286465	(B329006; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; PA045672)
#25-43 <i>People v. Jackson</i>, S288618	(B331434; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; SA073611)
#24-239 <i>People v. Lopez</i>, S287631	(B332747; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; KA091724)
#24-78 <i>People v. Mares</i>, S284232	(E080611; 99 Cal.App.5th 1158; San Bernardino County Superior Court; FSB18002685)
#24-169 <i>People v. Martinez</i>, S286315	(B328594; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; KA113251)
#23-227 <i>People v. McPeters</i>, S282062	(B321140; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; SA063427)

#25-53 <i>People v. Muhammad</i>, S288860	(B334294; 107 Cal.App.5th 268; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BA453413)
#23-201 <i>People v. Pickett</i>, S281643	(B320892; 93 Cal.App.5th 982; Los Angeles County Superior Court; A020654)
#24-221 <i>People v. Renteria</i>, S287163	(B330954; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; PA089104)
#23-259 <i>People v. Rhone</i>, S282507	(C096805; nonpublished opinion; San Joaquin County Superior Court; MANCRFECOD20170005740)
#24-62 <i>People v. Rhynes</i>, S283899	(F085253; nonpublished opinion; Kern County Superior Court; BF161828A)
#25-73 <i>People v. Soto</i>, S289174	(E082203; nonpublished opinion; San Bernardino County Superior Court; 16CR025625)
#25-54 <i>People v. Tharpe</i>, S288861	(B329886; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; LA067928)
#24-131 <i>People v. Vasquez</i>, S284742	(B324727; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BA444044)
#23-181 <i>People v. Vaughn</i>, S281320.	(F084104; nonpublished opinion; Fresno County Superior Court; F08908036)
#24-241 <i>People v. Villery</i>, S287791	(B329554; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA060012)

STATUS

#22-228 *Los Angeles Police Protective League v. City of Los Angeles*, S275272. The court vacated submission in this matter and directed the parties to file supplemental briefs addressing the following issue: What impact, if any, does the United States Supreme Court decision in *Free Speech Coalition, Inc. v. Paxton* (June 27, 2025, No. 23-1122) 606 U.S. ____ [2025 WL 1773625], have on the issues presented in this case?

#21-521 *Taking Offense v. State of California*, S270535. The court vacated submission in this matter and directed the parties to file supplemental briefs addressing the following issue: What impact, if any, does the United States Supreme Court decision in *Free Speech Coalition, Inc. v. Paxton* (June 27, 2025, No. 23-1122) 606 U.S. ____ [2025 WL 1773625], have on the issues presented in this case?

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.