SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, DECEMBER 29, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S264219 C087681 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TACARDON (LEON WILLIAM)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kruger, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Groban, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Liu, J.

S178239

PEOPLE v. HARRIS (KAI)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Mark D. Greenberg's s representation that the appellant's supplemental opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 4, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 6, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S201205

PEOPLE v. MOORE (RYAN T.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 27, 2023.

S207945

PEOPLE v. CANALES (OSMAN ALEX)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 31, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 21, 2023. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 99 additional days

are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S237511

PEOPLE v. WOZNIAK (DANIEL PATRICK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 7, 2023.

S246087

PEOPLE v. HUNTER (LORRAINE ALISON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Elizabeth M. Kuchar's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 27, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 27, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 152 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S274942 C094174 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LYNCH (DEANDRE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 14, 2023.

S275272 B306321 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

LOS ANGELES POLICE PROTECTIVE LEAGUE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to January 27, 2023.

S275788 B309803 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. SALAZAR (NORMAN THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 2, 2023.

S276946 SALZWEDEL ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – WILLIAM AUGUST SALZWEDEL

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to February 1, 2023.

S277568 A162817 First Appellate District, Div. 4 DHITAL (SOBITA) v. NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to January 9, 2023.

S274671 G059860/G060198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ADOLPH (ERIK) v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Andrew J. Pincus for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S274671 G059860/G060198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ADOLPH (ERIK) v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Kevin Ranlett for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S274671 G059860/G060198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ADOLPH (ERIK) v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Carmen Longoria-Green for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S264553

REYES ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

Due to the State Bar of California having received confirmation on December 16, 2022, that the attorney MARCELO REYES, #202731 was deceased prior to the order of disbarment filed on February 24, 2021, the order of disbarment, is hereby stricken nunc pro tunc.

S271809 G060407 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

G. (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (ORANGE COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY)

Order filed

The request of counsel for real party in interest Orange County Social Services Agency to allocate to amicus curiae California State Association of Counties 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is hereby granted.