## SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, DECEMBER 23, 2024 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S280018** H049646 Sixth Appellate District

CAPITO (TAYLOR) v. SAN JOSE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, LP

Opinion filed

We affirm the Court of Appeal's judgment and hold that hospitals do not have a duty under the UCL or CLRA, beyond what is required by the statutory and regulatory scheme, to disclose emergency room EMS fees. We also dismiss as moot Capito's appeal from the trial court's order striking her class allegations. We disapprove *Torres*, *supra*, 77 Cal.App.5th 500 and *Naranjo*, *supra*, 90 Cal.App.5th 1193 to the extent they are inconsistent with this opinion. Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S287036 D083112 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

WATTS-SIMON (NORA) & SIMON (PHILLIP W.), MARRIAGE OF

Petition stricken (case closed)

The petition for review filed on October 7, 2024, is ordered stricken for failure to comply with the terms of the December 5, 2024, letter granting permission to split the payment of the statutory filing fee. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.100(c)(3).)

**S288451** B319121 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

WEST ADAMS HERITAGE ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES (CHAMPION)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to February 28, 2025. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

## S166737

## PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Deputy Attorney General Amanda V. Lopez, an extension of time in which to serve and file respondent's supplemental respondent's brief is granted to March 3, 2025. Within 20 days after the supplemental respondent's brief has been filed pursuant to this order, appellant may thereafter serve and file a supplemental reply brief, not to exceed 25 pages in length.

S219152

PEOPLE v. NISSENSOHN (JOSEPH MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Matthew A. Kearney's representation that respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 25, 2025. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 96 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224635

PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (ROBERT LOUIS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 9, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 24, 2025. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 105 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226653

PEOPLE v. DUNSON (ROBERT L.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Wayne C. Tobin's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 25, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 4, 2025. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 55 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239552

PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON (DARNELL KEITH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 18, 2025.

S239724

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 24, 2025.

**S286267** D083446/D083475 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **SNAP, INC. v. S.C. (PINA)** Extension of time granted

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply briefs on the merits is extended to January 17, 2025. No further extensions will be contemplated.

S286805

OZUA (KENNETH M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to January 10, 2025.

**S288107** F088505 Fifth Appellate District

GRAY (KEVIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to January 6, 2025. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

**S288168** B322095 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

WESTLAKE FARMS, INC. v. COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 2 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to January 9, 2025.

## S286714

MANNING ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – JOSEPH RICHARD MANNING, JR.

The recommendation in the above-entitled matter is rejected. On the court's own motion, this matter is returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the findings of fact, and the aggravating and mitigating factors.

S287169

CASTRO ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – MARK JOSEPH CASTRO

The recommendation in the above-entitled matter is rejected. On the court's own motion, this matter is returned to the State Bar to provide reasons for its recommendation for imposition of monetary sanctions pursuant to rule 5.137 (E) (1) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.