

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2022  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S276994**      C096201 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v.  
CHIEMWICHITRA  
(TIMOTHY)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending finality of decision in *People v. Delgadillo* (Dec. 19, 2022, S266305) \_\_ Cal.5th \_\_ (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S277282**      C094802 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ANDROSHCHUK  
(EDWARD VLADIMIROV)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Williams*, S262229 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate. Votes: Kruger, A. C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S262532**      G057203 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**D'ARCY (PATRICK) v.  
SCHULTE (BRETT)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *Geiser v. Kuhns* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 1238. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S266338** E069494 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. FRANCO  
(MICHAEL JOHN)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hendrix* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 933, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S266727** B299312 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. PARRA  
(FRANCISCO ARGENIS)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S271949** A158999 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. BRADT (ARENT)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hendrix* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 933, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274207** E077039 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MELLO  
(WILLIAM DOUGLAS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274237** E076512 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v.  
KETSOUVANNASANE  
(KHAMCHAN BRET)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274350**      C093651 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. BARRAGAN  
(VICTOR)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Kruger, A. C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274371**      A161420 First Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. WILSON, JR.,  
(ALPHONSO RAY)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274390**      D078345 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. COOPER  
(CALVIN)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274417**      B307055 Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **PEOPLE v. RICHSON (SEAN)**  
Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274509**      H048932 Sixth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. MILLER  
(EDWARD)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274614**      B312057 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. TAYLOR  
(KIRELL FRANCIS)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274615**      F081651 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. HEARN  
(CHRISTOPHER JAMES)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274634**      F081436 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (JOSE  
PEDRO)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274660**      C094142 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. FAULALO  
(LANGIMA'A)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274679**      C092937 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON  
(JAMES)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274681**      C093654 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. MABSON  
(TRAVIS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274812**      G060174 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. HUNTER  
(TREMAINE)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274895** F083314 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. JUAREZ (FRANK)**  
Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274905** B308259 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. BARRAGAN (JOSE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274937** F080934 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. WICKHAM (ANSEL LEANDER)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S275009** F080443 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BELMONTE (JUAN GARCIA)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S275060**      B312352 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (ERICA MICHELLE)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S275097**      B312190 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. CAMACHO (FRANCISCO JAVIER)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S275198**      G059826 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. ZEPEDA (JOSE FELIX)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Strong* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 698, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S277221**      B323057 Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **BATH & BODY WORKS, LLC v. S.C. (DAHLIN)**

Petition ordered withdrawn

Petitioner's request, filed on December 12, 2022, to withdraw the petition for review is granted.

**S276624**      B300948 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. RIVERA (MIGUEL)**

Petition for review denied

Concurring Statement by Justice Groban

While being interrogated by police, Miguel Rivera invoked his right to counsel. Nevertheless, the interrogating officers continued questioning him for another 19 minutes. During this time, Rivera invoked his right to remain silent several more times. One of the officers who interrogated Rivera

testified at a subsequent suppression hearing that he knew any statements obtained after Rivera invoked his right to counsel would be suppressed pursuant to *Miranda*. (*Miranda v. Arizona* (1966) 384 U.S. 436.) The officer testified that he nonetheless continued questioning Rivera in an attempt to obtain information that could be used as part of a planned covert jail cell operation. (See *Illinois v. Perkins* (1990) 496 U.S. 292.) After Rivera invoked his right to counsel, the officers told him that they had videos for three murders. The officers also told him earlier that all three murders were committed with a nine-millimeter weapon. The next day, the officers placed an informant in a cell with Rivera. Rivera and the informant discussed, among other things, the very topics discussed the day before in the interrogation conducted in violation of *Miranda*. Rivera told the informant that they had a video for one murder, but did not think they could identify him because his face was covered. Rivera also said that he did not know how law enforcement knew he committed the second murder, but believed it was because the same weapon was used for both crimes. This recorded discussion was introduced by the prosecution at Rivera's trial and Rivera was ultimately convicted of, among other crimes, two counts of first degree murder.

The protection afforded by *Miranda* is clear: "If the individual states that he wants an attorney, the interrogation must cease until an attorney is present." (*Miranda, supra*, 384 U.S. at p. 474.) Here, the interrogation did not cease. To the contrary, law enforcement deliberately interrogated Rivera after he invoked his right to counsel. The officer knew that continued interrogation violated *Miranda* and that the statements would not be admissible at trial, but he kept questioning Rivera anyway. I therefore have serious doubts as to whether the procedure employed here is lawful. However, because the Court of Appeal found the error harmless, I do not vote to grant review. Perhaps a more complete record, developed on habeas corpus, will present a different picture.

GROBAN, J.

I Concur:

LIU, J.

JENKINS, J.

**S276669** H050275 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**TAYLOR (KENT) v. SU  
(ALFENG)**

**S276777**

Petition for review denied

**YANG (BIN) v. TON  
(THOMAS)**



**S276886** E076212 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**ISON (CARTER) v. SAN  
BERNARDINO CITY  
UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Petition for review denied

**S276916** C093352 Third Appellate District

**DEPARTMENT OF  
FORESTRY & FIRE  
PROTECTION v. HOWELL  
(EUNICE E.)**

Petition for review denied

**S276918** B312336 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**BURTON (IRENE) v. CITY OF  
LA VERNE**

Petition for review denied

**S276919** B313253/B315077 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**MANLIN (ROGER) v.  
MILNER (STEVE)**

Petition for review denied

**S276933** C091728 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. THOMAS  
(WILLIAM HENRY)**

Petition for review denied

**S276941** B308559 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**CLARKE (DANIEL) v.  
CALIFORNIA VICTIM  
COMPENSATION BOARD  
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review denied

**S277004** B323772 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**POLLORENO (MARTY LYN)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

**S277007** A166118 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**NILSEN (VANCE) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

<b>S277063</b>	D079541 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. SMITH, JR., (RAY VERNON)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277074</b>	B315151 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>BLEAU FOX v. AZAR (NAIFEH)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277085</b>	A163485 First Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>IN RE A.A.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277097</b>	F084299 Fifth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BANKS (LARRY)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277104</b>	E075246 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>SAN BERNARDINO, COUNTY OF v. MANCINI (APRIL ELIZABETH)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277125</b>	D079534 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. P. (ALFREDO)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277157</b>	B314549 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>PEOPLE v. SOTH (RY)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277159</b>	A163756 First Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>HONCHARIW (NICHOLAS &amp; SHARON) v. FJM PRIVATE MORTGAGE FUND, LLC</b>
Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied		
<b>S277160</b>	A162895 First Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. BARRIOS-IXOLIN (LUIS ENRIQUE)</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S277164** F083216 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. NAVARRO  
(RUDY)**

**S277182** C092512 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. NUNALLY  
(MICHAEL DUSHAWN)**

**S277184**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

**RIAZ (SAMREEN) v. COURT  
OF APPEAL, FIFTH  
APPELLATE DISTRICT  
(HOFFMAN)**

**S277189** C095080 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ  
(LEONEL L.)**

**S277222** G061807 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MARSHALL III (CALVIN  
ALI) v. S.C. (RUGER)**

**S277231** B315903 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**SANDPEBBLE  
APARTMENTS, LLC v.  
NEVADA CAPITAL  
INSURANCE COMPANY**

**S277252**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**SCHMECK (MARK  
LINDSEY) v. SUPREME  
COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
(PEOPLE)**

**S277260** B313182 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. THOMPSON  
(SEKOU KWANE)**

**S277274**      B300909 Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (PEDRO SANTIAGO)**

Petition for review denied

**S277278**      A165857 First Appellate District, Div. 5      **PEOPLE v. BUNN (CLIFFORD LAMONT)**

Petition for review denied

**S277279**      E077860 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **IN RE D.T.**

Petition for review denied

**S277284**      H047526 Sixth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. HARMON (GARY JAY)**

Petition for review denied

**S277286**      E074158 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. STOKES (TRAVON LEWIS)**

Petition for review denied

**S277288**      C094578 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. MONTEJANO (ERIC)**

Petition for review denied

**S277289**      A160615 First Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. BARBERO (JENNIFER)**

Petition for review denied

**S277291**      **RIAZ (SAMREEN) v. S.C. (KAWEAH HEALTH MEDICAL CENTER)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

**S277295**      C091435 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. PARRISH, JR., (JEFFREY CRAIG)**

Petition for review denied

**S277301**      D081030 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **LEWIS (WALTER JOSEPH)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

**S277306**      C093749 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. PECH (DAVID  
KHOUNY)**

Petition for review denied

**S277311**      B323417 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **GROSSMAN (REBECCA) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review denied

**S277315**      B313078 Second Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. MORA (SERGIO)**  
The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be  
entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**S277316**      A165849 First Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ  
(OSCAR)**

Petition for review denied

**S277317**      C094459 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. GEORGE (ROY  
LEE)**

Petition for review denied

**S277319**      F077849 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. CHACON  
(ANTHONY)**

Petition for review denied

**S277320**      H049252 Sixth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. TURPIN (ELLIOT  
BRENT)**

Petition for review denied

**S277321**      G061971 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **CASTILLA-SANCHEZ  
(MAYKEL JAVIER) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review denied

<b>S277327</b>	B311529 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>BEROOKHIM ROYAL CATERING, INC. v. SHAHBAZ FARNAD</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277331</b>	F080481 Fifth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. DUENAS (GUSTAVO ADOLFO)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277332</b>	H050272 Sixth Appellate District	<b>BLAD (JASON ALLEN) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277335</b>	H048792 Sixth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BOEWER (NOAH ISAAC)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277338</b>	H048782 Sixth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BOEWER (TRICIA)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277348</b>	B314411 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>IN RE J.T.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277364</b>	E079580 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>MENDEZ (SAMUEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)</b>
Petition for review & application for stay denied		
<b>S277366</b>	E073286 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (MOSES D.)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S277367</b>	H050420 Sixth Appellate District	<b>UNLOCK v. S.C. (CITIBANK, N.A.)</b>
Petition for review denied		

- S277381**      B324266 Second Appellate District, Div. 7      **WILSON (DERRICK) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**  
Petition for review & application for stay denied
- S277393**      F080267 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. CASTRO (SIMON CHAVEZ)**  
Petition for review denied
- S277429**           **RIAZ (SAMREEN) v. S.C. (CITY OF VISALIA)**  
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied
- S277533**      B324535 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **KOINUMA (YUKA) v. S.C. (CLARK)**  
Petition for review & application for stay denied
- S272533**           **MIESEGAES (VADIM STANLEY) ON H.C.**  
Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied
- S275147**           **MORALES (ROGELIO VERGARA) ON H.C.**  
Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied
- S275209**           **PETERSON (KELVIN ARNELL) ON H.C.**  
Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied
- S275320**           **TORRES (MARIO) ON H.C.**  
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)
- S275624**           **HANEY (LA VON) ON H.C.**  
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

**S275626**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**LANG (BINDHU M.) ON H.C.****S275646**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**BROWNLEE (TERRENCE)  
ON H.C.****S276033**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**SALAZAR (MIGUEL ANGEL)  
ON H.C.****S276134**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**de OLIVEIRA (FERNANDO  
MIGUEL) ON H.C.****S276321**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**COOPER (MARQUESE D.)  
ON H.C.****S276323**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**BRIGGS (BRYANT KEITH)  
ON H.C.****S276324**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**HODGE (ALAN EUGENE) ON  
H.C.****S276403**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**ZAVALA (ADONIS JESUS)  
ON H.C.**



**S276406****CALDERON (NICK SIMON)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].).

**S276408****BOWLIN II (GARY  
CHARLES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S276409****BIBBS (BRANDON LEON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

**S276530****PATTON (BILLY DEAN) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

**S276761****PATTON (BILLY DEAN) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**S277799**      A166210 First Appellate District, Div. 3**GARCIA (ABEL) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Stay order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, all further proceedings in *People v. Abel Garcia*, Contra Costa County Superior Court No. 4-197338-7, are hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

**S276650** B311455 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**BUTTER NAILS & WAXING,  
INC. v. UNDERWRITERS AT  
LLOYD'S, LONDON**

Publication requests denied (case closed)

**S277391** A163346 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  
ADVOCATES, INC. v.  
SREAM, INC.**

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

**S175660**

**PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON  
ALEJANDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that the supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 17, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to January 17, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S273802** B309408 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**RAMIREZ (ANGELICA) v.  
CHARTER  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 2, 2023.

**S274927** H048486 Sixth Appellate District

**SANTA CLARA, COUNTY OF  
v. S.C. (DOCTORS MEDICAL  
CENTER OF MODESTO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 2, 2023.

**S275577****JOHNSON (CEKOVEN  
JEWEL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to February 14, 2023.

**S275746** E075532 Sixth Appellate District, Div. 2**PEOPLE v. CLARK (KEJUAN  
DARCELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of Patrick Morgan Ford and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to January 23, 2023.

**S277512** H047100 Sixth Appellate District**BUSHANSKY (STEPHEN) v.  
ALLIANCE FIBER OPTIC  
PRODUCTS, INC.; ISAACSON  
(ERIC ALAN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to January 12, 2023.

**S277544** D075957/D076833 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **M. (K.) v. GROSSMONT  
UNION HIGH SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to January 13, 2023.

**S277568** A162817 First Appellate District, Div. 4**DHITAL (SOBITA) v. NISSAN  
NORTH AMERICA, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to January 9, 2023.

**S220961****BLOOM (ROBERT  
MAURICE) ON H.C.**

Order filed

This petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed in this court on September 2, 2014, before the effective date of Proposition 66, the “Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016.” (See *Briggs v. Brown* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 808, 862.) Under section 1509, subdivision (g) of the Penal Code, the court exercises its authority to retain this petition and decide it.

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause, returnable before the Los Angeles County Superior Court, why relief should not be granted on the grounds that (1) Bloom was actually incompetent during his 2000 retrial, as alleged in Claim 1 of the petition (see, e.g., Pet., pp. 180-239); and (2) defense counsel at retrial rendered ineffective assistance by failing to adequately investigate Bloom’s competence, misunderstanding or disregarding the legal standard for competence, and failing to declare doubt regarding Bloom’s competence earlier in the retrial proceedings, as alleged in Claim 2 of the petition (see, e.g., Pet., pp. 312-316, 322, 393-409). The return must be served and filed on or before February 6, 2023. Denied as moot are all claims challenging the convictions for second degree murder and the associated firearm-use and weapon-use findings, as well as the multiple-murder special-circumstance finding and the penalty judgment. (See *People v. Bloom* (2022) 12 Cal.5th 1008, 1061-1062.)

All remaining claims are denied on the merits.

Claim 1, to the extent it alleges the trial court should have declared a doubt about petitioner’s incompetence and petitioner’s waiver of his right to be present at the sanity trial was invalid, and Claim 3, to the extent it alleges improper vouching by the prosecutor, are procedurally barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225, because they were raised and rejected on appeal. (See *In re Reno* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 428, 443, 476-477.)

**S252281****LEE (KENNY) ON  
CLEMENCY**

Order filed

The clerk shall return the record to the Governor, who is hereby ordered to resubmit the record to the court no later than January 20, 2023, in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45 and 8.46(d)(2)-(5) and Administrative Order 2021-05-26 or to file a response objecting to the motion to unseal on grounds of undue delay. If the record is resubmitted, the court will review the proposed redactions and then make the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and (e) and 8.46(d)(6), if and as appropriate. Insofar as judicial direction may be required prior to the disclosure of probation reports, records containing summary criminal history information, and material drawn from the applicant’s prison case records file, this order hereby provides such direction. (See Pen. Code, §§ 1203.05, subd. (c), 11105, subd. (h); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 15, § 3370, subd. (e).) The Governor may seek leave to file portions of these reports and records under seal to the extent they qualify for such filing under the standards set forth in Administrative Order 2021-05-26 and the Rules of Court.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

**S269401****LOS ANGELES TIMES  
COMMUNICATIONS LLC v.  
STATE BAR OF  
CALIFORNIA (GIRARDI)**

Order filed

On October 27, 2021, Peyman Roshan moved to intervene in this matter. On December 1, 2021, this court issued an order denying that motion, and granting in part and denying in part a subsequent motion for leave to file additional briefing. That order mistakenly stated that “Justice Corrigan was recused and did not participate.” A corrected order was issued on December 2, 2021, stating that “Justice Kruger was recused and did not participate” in that order.

Roshan has now moved this court to provide “disclosure concerning recusal by Justices Corrigan and Kruger” in his prior motion. As set forth above, the court hereby confirms that the December 1, 2021 order identifying Justice Corrigan as recused was the result of a clerical error. To the extent that Roshan seeks disclosure of information beyond that set forth in this order, that request is denied.

Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.

**S277178**

Petition denied

(accusation)

**ACCUSATION OF CARR**