

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2022  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S118147****PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-  
GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full  
Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S253593****YAHOO INC. v. NATIONAL  
UNION FIRE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF  
PITTSBURGH, PA**

Opinion filed

We answer the Ninth Circuit’s question as follows: A CGL insurance policy that provides coverage for “personal injury,” defined, in part, as “injury . . . arising out of . . . [o]ral or written publication, in any manner, of material that violates a person’s right of privacy,” can cover liability for violations of the right of seclusion if such coverage is consistent with the insured’s objectively reasonable expectations. Such a policy can also trigger the insurer’s duty to defend the insured against a claim that the insured violated the TCPA by sending unsolicited text messages that did not reveal any private or secret information, provided that the alleged TCPA violation amounts to a right-of-seclusion violation under California law. The fact that such a policy has been modified by an endorsement with regard to advertising injuries may affect such coverage and such duty to defend, but we have no occasion to decide that issue here.

Majority Opinion by Jenkins, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S265172**

B298366 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**PEOPLE v. HENDERSON  
(LEVEL OMEGA)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed with directions to remand the matter to the superior court for a new sentencing hearing.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S277076**      B323783 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**LIBMAN (MICHAEL J.) v.  
S.C. (JONES)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied  
Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

**S269099**

**S271493**

**GOLDEN STATE WATER  
COMPANY v. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN  
WATER COMPANY v.  
PUBLIC UTILITIES  
COMMISSION**

Order filed

Golden State Water Company et al.'s request for judicial notice, filed November 9, 2022, is granted.

The Public Utilities Commission's request for judicial notice, filed November 15, 2022, is granted. The Commission's application for leave to file a reply brief on its motion to dismiss is also granted.

The Commission's motion to dismiss review, or in the alternative reconsider issuance of the writs of review, is denied without prejudice to raising arguments concerning mootness in its answer brief.

The Commission shall serve and file its answer brief on or before December 9, 2022. Petitioners may serve and file their reply brief on or before January 13, 2023.