

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S282618

**COLEBROOK (TEENA) v.
COURT OF APPEAL,
SECOND APPELLATE
DISTRICT, DIVISION SIX
(McGINITY)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S281517 D077931/D078511 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **ROJAS (DALIA) v. HSBC
CARD SERVICES INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 15, 2023.

S281588 B319121 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **WEST ADAMS HERITAGE
ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF
LOS ANGELES (CHAMPION)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 18, 2023.

S281924 B325245 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **SINGH (SUKHJINDER),
ESTATE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 20, 2023.

S185640

**PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY
DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 4, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to January 4, 2024. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S279851

Order filed

PAVONE ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed on October 25, 2023, suspending BENJAMIN LAURENCE PAVONE (Respondent), is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that BENJAMIN LAURENCE PAVONE (Respondent), State Bar Number 181826, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommend by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed February 21, 2023; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed February 21, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Trust Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.1357 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is entered nunc pro tunc to October 25, 2023.”

S282368

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

**TALLEY (CLINTON JOHN) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on November 8, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Guerrero, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S274340

Jorge Luis Estrada et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,
v.
Royalty Carpet Mills, Inc., Defendant and Appellant.

And Consolidated Case.

Cause called. Daniel F. Lula argued for Appellant Royalty Carpet Mills, Inc.

Malcolm A. Heinicke argued for Amici Curiae Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, California Chamber of Commerce, National Retail Federation and Retail LITIGATION Center, Inc..

Clifton E. Smith argued for Appellants Jorge Luis Estrada et al. **(VIDEOCONFERENCE)**.

Mr. Lula replied.

Cause submitted.

S275023

Tatana Spicakova Romero et al., Plaintiffs, Cross-defendants and Appellants,
v.
Li-Chuan Shih et al., Defendants, Cross-complainants and Respondents;
U.S. Bank National Association, Cross-defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Janet Humphrey argued for Respondents.
Scott M. Reddie argued for Appellants (**VIDEOCONFERENCE**).

Ms. Humphrey replied.
Cause submitted.

S258376

In re Oscar Manuel Vaquera on Habeas Corpus.

Cause called. Abby Taylor, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Petitioner.
Paige Hazard, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Taylor replied.
Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the court and officer present as first shown.

S132256

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent.
v.
Glen Taylor Helzer, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Jeanne Keevan-Lynch, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Sarah J. Farhat, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Keevan-Lynch replied.
Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.