SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Mark E. Cutler, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis' reply brief is granted to December 23, 2022. The court anticipates that after that date, only five further extensions totaling about 305 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Alex Coolman's representation that appellant John Anthony Bertsch's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 24, 2023, an extension of time to serve and file that brief is granted to December 23, 2022. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 244 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S200016

PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel, Deputy Attorney General Caely E. Fallini's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 2, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 30, 2022. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 276 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S200982

PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO (GABRIEL ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie C. Santoro's representation that the third supplemental respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 26, 2022, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 28, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S200982

PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO (GABRIEL ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that appellant's comprehensive reply brief, replying to the original Respondent's Brief, the Supplemental Respondent's Brief and the Second Supplemental Respondent's Brief, will be filed by July 28, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 27, 2022. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 213 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S207945

PEOPLE v. CANALES (OSMAN ALEX)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 31, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 23, 2022. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 159 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212030

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that appellant John Michael Perez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to

December 15, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S223978

PEOPLE v. FULLER (ROBERT DALE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 30, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 30, 2022. After that date, only no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224635

PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (ROBERT LOUIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 27, 2022.

S224710

PEOPLE v. ESPARZA (ANGEL ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia Brisbois' representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 31, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 27, 2022. After that date, only two further extension totaling about 94 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226760

PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY (CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Kari Ricci Mueller's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 28, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 28, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S239552

PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON (DARNELL KEITH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2022.

S274173

AUTEN (ALLEN RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to November 9, 2022.

S274625

E073766 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

RODRIGUEZ (EVERARDO) v. FCA US, LLC

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 9, 2023.

S025520

PEOPLE v. WALDON (BILLY RAY)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to be allotted 45 minutes of oral argument time is hereby granted.

S269099

GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY v. PUBLIC UTILITIES

COMMISSION

S271493

Order filed

On October 21, 2022, the Public Utilities Commission requested that the court take judicial notice of Senate Bill No. 1469 (2021-2022 Reg. Sess.) and related legislative history materials and filed a motion to dismiss the petitions for writ of review in these matters. On October 24, it filed a

request to suspend briefing pending resolution of the motion to dismiss.

The request for judicial notice of Senate Bill No. 1469 (2021-2022 Reg. Sess.) and related legislative history materials is granted.

Petitioners may file and serve an opposition to the motion to dismiss within 15 days of the date of this order. Action on the motion to dismiss is deferred pending receipt of opposition, if any. The Commission's request for a suspension of briefing pending resolution of the motion to dismiss is granted. The court will issue a revised briefing schedule if the motion to dismiss is denied.

S276830

GEORGE (JOSEPH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S276857

NEWMAN (CHARLES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.