SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S269509 B300167 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (JESSALYNN KENDY)

Order filed: holding for new lead case

Defendant Jessalynn Kendy Graham's petition for review was granted on September 1, 2021, and further action was deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v*. *Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791. On September 12, 2022, defendant filed an "Application for Transfer" to the Court of Appeal requesting relief under the 2021 amendments to Penal Code section 1170, subdivision (b). In light of this motion, further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v*. *Lynch*, S274942 and *People v*. *Salazar*, S275788 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

S281324 B321066 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. NAVARRO (FRANCISCO SANDOVAL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Curiel*, S272238 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281826 F083132 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. STAFFORD (KELLY DEAN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Bankston*, S044739 and *People v. Hin*, S141519 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281862 A165018 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (MARK ALAN)

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (GUILLERMO MADRIGAL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Walker*, S278309 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281898	H048986 Sixth Appellate District
---------	----------------------------------

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Reynoza*, S273797 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281937	C096773 Third Appellate District	KIELAR (MARK) v. S.C. (HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA)
Petition for rev	iew granted; briefing deferred	

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Ford Motor Warranty Cases*, S279969 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281938B321015 Second Appellate District, Div. 1IN RE D.L.Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Emanuel*, S280551 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281526 C097389 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KIMBLE (KELLY VAUGHN)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of the Attorney General's concession that defendant was entitled to resentencing under the revised penalty provisions of the Three Strikes Reform Act. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed July 14, 2023, which appears at 93 Cal.App.5th 582. (Cal. Const., art. VI, § 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(2).) (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 3.) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S281885 B331272 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ONE MUSEUM SQUARE LLC v. S.C. (WESTERN SURETY COMPANY)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an order directing the superior court to show cause why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Pending further order of the Court of Appeal, the trial court proceedings are hereby stayed. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S267394 C090409 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CURRY (DAVID HENRY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of our decision in *People v*. *Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791, and to provide any other relief to which defendant is entitled. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) As explained in *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21, and California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3), corresponding comment, par. 3, the opinion is hereby rendered either "depublished" or "not citable."*

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268936 C090655 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WADE (CHANCELLOR LENARD)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S270895D078183 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(DANAE MARIE)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) As specified by rule 8.1115(e)(2) of the California Rules of Court, pursuant to this order the Court of Appeal's opinion, filed September 2, 2021, which appears at 68 Cal.App.5th 584, is non-citable and nonprecedential "to the extent it is inconsistent with" our decision in *Braden*. Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate. Votes: Liu, A. C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272860A155950 First Appellate District, Div. 4PEOPLE v. WEITH (JAN A.)Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S279423D080380 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ
(HECTOR DANIEL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of our decision in *People v. Braden* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 791. (See *id.* at p. 818, fn. 15; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

TINNEY (BRIAN) ON CLEMENCY

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant clemency

October 25, 2023

The Honorable Gavin Newsom Governor, State of California State Capitol Building Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Brian Tinney Legal Affairs File No.: GO No. CR-3012-18 Case Number: S281918 Executive Clemency Number: 1248

Dear Governor Newsom:

On the application of Brian Tinney for pardon, the court, with at least 4 judges concurring, hereby makes the recommendation required by Article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA GUERRERO

Chief Justice of California

S281348

Petition for review denied

S281353

Petition for review denied

LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COVARRUBIAS (CHRISTOPHER)

LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. FIGUEROA (PABLO RAFAEL)

S281487	G061004 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	GRACE (KATHLEEN) v. THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY	
-	o appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted. or review is denied.		
S281515	C097264 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PHILLIPS (ANTONIO MONTRELL)	
-	or review is denied without prejudice to any relief nis court decides <i>People v. Kopp</i> , S257844.	f to which defendant might be	
S281566	G060892 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	CAMACHO (RAUL) v. JLG INDUSTRIES INC.	
Petition for rev	view & depublication request(s) denied		
S281574	G061331 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. SLATER (ROBERT IRVING)	
Petition for review denied			
S281622		ORTIZ (YAZMIN) v. EISLER (RUDY)	
S281622 Petition for rev	view denied	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	view denied C094344 Third Appellate District	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Petition for rev S281634 The request for		(RUDY) SCACCIA (BRIAN) v.	
Petition for rev S281634 The request for The petition for S281668	C094344 Third Appellate District r judicial notice is denied. or review is denied. E074939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	(RUDY) SCACCIA (BRIAN) v.	
Petition for rev S281634 The request for The petition for	C094344 Third Appellate District r judicial notice is denied. or review is denied. E074939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	(RUDY) SCACCIA (BRIAN) v. SCACCIA, JR., (JOHN) PEOPLE v. SCOTT	
Petition for rev S281634 The request for The petition for S281668	C094344 Third Appellate District r judicial notice is denied. or review is denied. E074939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	(RUDY) SCACCIA (BRIAN) v. SCACCIA, JR., (JOHN) PEOPLE v. SCOTT	

S281711	B319175 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. FUENTESFLORES (CARLOS)	
Petition for review denied			
S281736	B315031 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	ISOM (MICHAEL R.) v.	
Petition for rev	view denied	MacCARTHY (BRANDON T.)	
S281739	A163638 First Appellate District, Div. 4	VILCHES (FRANK) v. LEAO	
Petition for rev	view denied	(MICHELLE)	
S281744	B314973 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS; RE-IMAGINE LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
COALITION Petition for review denied Jenkins, J., was recused and did not participate.			
S281778 Petition for rev	D082533 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	ACEDO (DANIEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
S281781 Petition for rev	H049311 Sixth Appellate District view & publication request(s) denied	INTELLISOFT, LTD. v. ACER AMERICA CORPORATION	
S281797 A16	4935/A166022 First Appellate District, Div. 5	TRAIMAN (LELAND) v. ALAMEDA UNIFIED	
Petition for rev	view denied	SCHOOL DISTRICT	

S281814	F083126 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. OKUWOGA (TOKUNBO ARTHUR)	
Petition for review denied			
S281818 Petition for rev	E081777 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	RIDEAU (GREGORY) ON H.C.	
S281824 Petition for rev	E078497 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. ALVARADO (JUAN CARLOS LOPEZ)	
S281829 Petition for rev	B323890 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view & publication request(s) denied	PEOPLE v. BOYD (HARRY JACKSON)	
S281837 Petition for rev	A168338 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	MISHIN (DMITRI) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
S281842 Petition for rev	A162883 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. BLACKWELL (COSTELLO)	
S281854 Petition for rev	B320667 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (ADRIAN)	
S281865 Petition for rev	E079750 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. VANCE, JR., (GREGORY)	
S281868 Petition for rev	D080359 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. VAUGHN (ANTON LAMAR)	

S281891 Petition for re	D079680 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. DIKES (MICHAEL GERALD)	
	., was recused and did not participate.		
S281919	F084151 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GARCIA (JOEL RUBEN)	
Petition for re	view denied		
S281922	A165853 First Appellate District, Div. 4	VITAL BUILDING & ENTERPRISES, INC. v. BOISVERT (RENE)	
Petition for re	view denied		
S281927	C097144 Third Appellate District	TORRES (ELVIRA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
Petition for review denied			
S281932	E074875 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SEAHOLM (CAMERON CHARLES)	
Petition for re	view denied		
S281936		FLINDERS (MATTHEW) v. STATE BAR OF	
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied			
S281946	A165264 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (KRISTOPHER MICHAEL)	
Petition for review denied			
S281952	E080205 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	VALDEZ (PONCILO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
Petition for review & application for stay denied			

S282163B327653 Second Appellate District, Div. 8BRENNER (MICHAEL
BENNETT) v. S.C. (MEDICAL
BOARD OF CALIFORNIA,
DEPARTMENT OF
CONSUMER AFFAIRS)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S276208 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S279905 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S280548 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281097

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281124

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281130 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281133 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281134 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied RAMIREZ (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

PAISANO (NORMA) ON H.C.

POLK (SUSAN MAE) ON H.C.

WREN (JEFFREY CHARLES) ON H.C.

JACOB (ANTHONY WAYNE) ON H.C.

SAENZ (ROBERT) ON H.C.

PEREZ (URIEL) ON H.C.

KELLY (LAMAR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281138

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281155 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281206

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281220

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281759

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S281777

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281821

ANDREWS (JOSEPH MICHAEL) ON H.C.

KING (MICHAEL STEVEN) ON H.C.

REID (WALTER R.) ON H.C.

CALZADA (JOVENCIO DELA) ON H.C.

GOODLOW (SHERODE) ON H.C.

LEWIS (PAUL DIXON) ON H.C.

THOMPSON (DEWAYNE) ON H.C.

NEILSON II (GERALD WILLIAM) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

SAN FRANCISCO

S282004

HARELL (CHAUNSIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (j)(3).)

S281344	H049809 Sixth Appellate District	WILCOX (COLLEEN) v. CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIRMENT SYSTEM
Publication req	uest denied (case closed)	

S281351	C093064 Third Appellate District	EQUITZ (MICHAEL C.) v.
		SEACO AMERICA, LLC

Publication request denied (case closed)

S281391 B322269 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 HIGGINS (KIMBERLY K.) v.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S281584 A162932 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HEARN (JENNIE & ROCKFORD), MARRIAGE OF

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S281689	B319442 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	IN RE Z.F.V.
Publication request denied (case closed)		

S281801

Depublication request denied (case closed)

DUARTE (FRANCISCO) v. MORRIS (LEONARD R.)

ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

STUDIO

S281955 D080122 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled matter is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S281713 B325978 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE K.M.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 4, 2023.

S281714 B316745 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

ATON CENTER, INC. v. UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY

ALLIANCE MARC & EVA STERN MATH & SCIENCE HIGH SCHOOL v. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD (UNITED TEACHERS LOS ANGELES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 5, 2023.

S281720 B321344/B323677 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE K.M.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 4, 2023.

S281743 F085715 Fifth Appellate District

GREGORY (KENNETH) v. S.C. (MIKE CLARK TRUCKING)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 5, 2023.

S281773 G061141 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

RUNNELLS (CLAUDIA MARIE), ESTATE OF

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 7, 2023.

S281790A163496 First Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. GUDINO (DANIEL
ANTONIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 11, 2023.

S281803 F083583 Fifth Appellate District

LITTLE (LAURENCE MITCHELL) v. SINGH (DAVID)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 8, 2023.

S281810 G061254 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. MUFG HOLDING CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 12, 2023.

S281846 D080199 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

ALLIANCE SAN DIEGO v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 14, 2023.

S281847 A168070 First Appellate District, Div. 1

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION v. S.C. (HANCOCK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 14, 2023.

S281849

DREVALEVA (TATYANA E.) v. ALAMEDA HEALTH SYSTEM

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 14, 2023.

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Alex Coolman's representation that appellant John Anthony Bertsch's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 22, 2024, an extension of time to serve and file that brief is granted to December 22, 2023. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 122 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239724

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 26, 2023.

S279969 B312261/B312345/B312350/B312356/B312360 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

FORD MOTOR WARRANTY CASES

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 16, 2024.

S156877

PEOPLE v. BROTHERS (VINCENT EDWARD)

Record decertification granted

Appellant's "Motion to Decertify the Record and Remand to the Superior Court for Augmentation, Settlement, and Correction," filed July 7, 2023, is granted as follows. The matter of the certification for accuracy of the record on appeal is remanded to the superior court. The superior court is ordered to consider the requests made in appellant's motion and to prepare any corrections and additional materials necessary to creating a record that complies with rule 8.610 of the California Rules of Court. All corrections and material added to the existing record pursuant to this order must be sent to appellate counsel for the parties, who must promptly review such corrections and material for compliance with this order and, when they have finished their review, inform the superior court either that the record is ready to be recertified for accuracy or that specific further additions or corrections should be made. The superior court is ordered to then prepare all corrections and additional materials, recertify the record for accuracy, and send the corrections and additional materials to this court, with copies to counsel, and to do so by February 22, 2024.

S274340 G058397/G058969 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 ESTRADA (JORGE LUIS) v. ROYALTY CARPET MILLS, INC.

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant Royalty Carpet Mills, Inc., to allocate to amicus curiae Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, California Chamber of Commerce, National Retail Federation, and Retail Litigation Center, Inc., 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is hereby granted.

S280256 B314490 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Motion to file document under seal denied

Appellants' application for permission to file an unredacted certificate of interested entities or persons under seal, filed on August 25, 2023, is denied. The Clerk is directed to notify appellants that an unredacted certificate of interested entities or persons must be served and filed within 15 days from the issuance of the Clerk's notice. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.208(d)(3).) The court will deem this directive satisfied if appellants notify the court within 15 days of issuance of the Clerk's notice that the unredacted certificate of interested entities or persons, as previously lodged with the court on August 25, 2023, has been served by appellants and should be filed by the Clerk.

S281931 C095414 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. PEREZ-ROBLES (FERMIN)

Order filed

Pursuant to the Court of Appeal's order filed September 18, 2023, granting partial publication, the request for publication filed on September 26, 2023, is hereby denied as moot.

S281834 Petition denied

(accusation)

ACCUSATION OF HASLER

FUENTES (EVANGELINA YANEZ) v. EMPIRE NISSAN, INC.

PAVONE ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that BENJAMIN LAURENCE PAVONE (Respondent), State Bar Number 181825, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommend by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed February 21, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed February 21, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Trust Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.1357 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S273840 A159026 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. FAIAL (JERRY ANTHONY)

Motion denied

Appellant Jerry Anthony Faial's "Application for Immediate Partial Remand to Avoid Dead Time" filed April 3, 2023, and his "Motion for Limited Remand" filed June 27, 2022, are denied.