SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 17, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 19, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S201205

PEOPLE v. MOORE (RYAN T.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 15, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 26, 2023. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 201 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S207945

PEOPLE v. CANALES (OSMAN ALEX)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 22, 2023. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224635

PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (ROBERT LOUIS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 14, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 26, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 170 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239948

PEOPLE v. GORDON (STEVEN DEAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 26, 2023.

S242076

PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS (DAVID REY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Meredith S. White's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 26, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 26, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 183 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S279146

GALIK (KEVIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to December 1, 2023.

S280326

RAMSEY (RAVON LOVOWE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to November 8, 2023.

S281488 E080032 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (OSCAR)** Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to November 1, 2023.

S282107

WALKER (AARON ANTHONY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.