

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2024  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S287440**      D083713 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **WILLIAMS (BENJAMIN C.),  
ESTATE OF**

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 19, 2024. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

**S120382**      **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ  
(VINCENT HENRY)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Deputy Attorney General Kristen Inberg, an extension of time in which to serve and file the second supplemental respondent's brief is granted to December 23, 2024. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

**S223978**      **PEOPLE v. FULLER  
(ROBERT DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ross K. Naughton's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by March 17, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 17, 2024. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S239948**      **PEOPLE v. GORDON  
(STEVEN DEAN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 24, 2024.

**S283924** A168286 First Appellate District, Div. 2  
Extension of time granted

**PEOPLE v. DAIN (YACOB)**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 5, 2024.

**S285614**

**SCOTT (LIONEL ANDREW)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 27, 2024.

**S286215**

**JONES (JEFFREY GERARD)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to December 23, 2024.

**S286973**

**BORDEN (DANIEL  
FRANKLIN) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 27, 2024.

**S287063**

**FLETCHER (ANTHONY  
DEWITT) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 27, 2024.

**S287228****ROBINSON (LORIN  
RAYNARD) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including November 27, 2024.

**S285408****TAJON ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, the order filed September 11, 2024, suspending JOAN M. TAJON, State Bar Number 312427, is hereby amended in its entirety to read:

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that JOAN M. TAJON (Respondent), State Bar Number 312427, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. Respondent makes restitution to Teresa Billingsley, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 27, 2021 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law; and
  - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1)).
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on February 13, 2024.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on February 13, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified

in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to September 11, 2024, with the exception of Respondent's obligations under California Rules of Court, rule 9.20. The requirement that Respondent perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule shall run from the date this amended order is filed.

**S287154**

**MAXEY (MICHAEL SCOTT)  
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.