## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S277487** B315434 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. HARDIN (TONY)** Supplemental briefing ordered

The parties are directed to serve and file supplemental letter briefs addressing the following question: Whether the first step of the two-part inquiry used to evaluate equal protection claims, which asks whether two or more groups are similarly situated for the purposes of the law challenged, should be eliminated in cases concerning disparate treatment of classes or groups of persons, such that the only inquiry is whether the challenged classification is adequately justified under the applicable standard of scrutiny?

The parties are directed to serve and file simultaneous letter briefs on or before October 25, 2023. Amici curiae may serve and file letter briefs on or before that date. The briefs are not to exceed 10 pages. The parties may serve and file reply briefs, subject to the same page limit, within five court days after the initial supplemental brief that is or could have been timely filed under this or any subsequent order of this court. No extension of time for the filing of these briefs is contemplated.

S281280 D080991 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NORTH RIVER INS. CO. (BAD BOYS BAIL BONDS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2023.

S281487 G061004 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 GRACE (KATHLEEN) v. THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 16, 2023.

S267522 B305359 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. FLORES (MARLON)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 1, 2023.

**S279622** A163655 First Appellate District, Div. 4

CASTELLANOS (HECTOR) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA (PROTECT APP-BASED DRIVERS & SERVICES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants, State of California and Katie Hagen and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to December 11, 2023.

S281889 B319338 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 METABYTE, INC. v. TECHNICOLOR, S.A.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 19, 2023.

S277487 B315434 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. HARDIN (TONY)
Order filed

The People are directed to serve and file an answer to the amicus curiae briefs on or before October 25, 2023. No extension of time for the filing of this response is contemplated.

## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on October 4, 2023, at 1:30 p.m.

Present: Chief Justice Guerrero, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S275835 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Fernando Rojas, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Sharon G. Wrubel, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for

Appellant

Stacy Schwartz, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Wrubel replied.

S272129 Mario Rodriguez, Petitioner,

v.

Superior Court of Santa Clara County, Respondent;

The People, Real Party in Interest.

Cause called. Brian C. McComas argued for Petitioner.

Emilou MacLean argued for Amicus Curiae American Civil Liberties

Union Foundation of Northern California.

Alexandra Gadeberg, Office of the District Attorney, argued for Real Party

in Interest.

Mr. McComas replied.

Cause submitted.

## S274943

In re N.R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

O.R., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Sean Burleigh, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

David Michael Miller, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Burleigh replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.