

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S146528

**PEOPLE v. SNYDER
(JANEEN MARIE) &
THORNTON (MICHAEL
FORREST)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Stephanie H. Chow's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by January 16, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 27, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 50 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S279737

B322744 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. COLLINS
(BRITTNEY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 13, 2023.

S274943

B312001 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

IN RE N.R.

Order filed

Appellant's request for judicial notice, filed December 14, 2022, is granted as to Exhibits C, D, and F. The request for judicial notice is denied as unnecessary as to Exhibits A and B (*Quelimane Co. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co.* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 26, 45-46, fn. 9 [a request for judicial notice of published material may be denied on the ground that it is unnecessary in circumstances where a citation to that material, on its own, has already put the material properly before the court]) and denied as to Exhibit E (see *Quintano v. Mercury Casualty Co.* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1049, 1062, fn. 5).