

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2024  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S285484**      B330202 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **COHEN (CHARLES) v. S.C.  
(SCHWARTZ)**

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 102 Cal.App.5th 706, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Does Government Code section 36900, subdivision (a) confer upon private citizens a right to redress violations of municipal ordinances?

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286267** D083446/D083475 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **SNAP, INC. v. S.C. (PINA)**  
Petition for review granted

The requests to appear pro hac vice are granted.

The petitions for review are granted.

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled proceeding are denied.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 103 Cal.App.5th 1031, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286184** A161633/A161814 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. NAZARETA-ALBANO (DEION)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Bankston*, S044739 and *People v. Hin*, S141519 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286301** E080232 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. SEIDEL (DAVID BARTLETT)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Morris*, S284751 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286369** H051260 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ANGEL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286455** H051277 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CLAYTON (ADIO KAMAU)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S286299** C101535 Third Appellate District**MUNOZ (OSCAR ALONZO)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District. That court is ordered to vacate its summary denial dated July 26, 2024, and is further ordered to issue an order to show cause, returnable before that court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) The San Joaquin County Sheriff is to be ordered to show cause why petitioner is not entitled to relief on the grounds that, at the detention hearings held on June 26 and July 2, 2024, the San Joaquin County Superior Court failed to address the feasibility of less restrictive alternatives to detention and to articulate the factual basis for its findings - made pursuant to article I, section 12, subdivision (b) of the California Constitution - with sufficient specificity to facilitate review of its detention orders. (*In re Humphrey* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 135, 154-156.)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S278492****FRENCH LAUNDRY  
PARTNERS LP; KRM, INC.;  
YOUNTVILLE FOOD  
EMPORIUM, LLC v.  
HARTFORD FIRE  
INSURANCE COMPANY;  
TRUMBULL INSURANCE  
COMPANY; RELUCIO  
(KAREN)**

Dismissal order filed

In light of this court's opinion in *John's Grill, Inc. v. The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.* (2024) 16 Cal.5th 1003, resolution of the question posed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit is no longer "necessary . . . to settle an important question of law." (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.548(f)(1).) We therefore dismiss consideration of the question. (See *id.*, rules 8.528(b)(1), 8.548(f)(3).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S280846**      D080008 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **SHOWA HOSPITALITY, LLC  
v. SENTINEL INSURANCE  
COMPANY, LIMITED**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *John's Grill, Inc. v. The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.* (2024) 16 Cal.5th 1003, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S284887**      D081132 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **BROOKLYN RESTAURANTS,  
INC. v. SENTINEL  
INSURANCE COMPANY,  
LTD.**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *John's Grill, Inc. v. The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.* (2024) 16 Cal.5th 1003. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) As explained in *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21, and California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3), corresponding comment, paragraph 3, the opinion is hereby rendered either "depublished" or "not citable."

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S285681**      **WOODS (WALTER  
EARLONNE) ON CLEMENCY**

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant clemency

September 18, 2024

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Governor, State of California  
State Capitol Building  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Walter Earlonne Woods

Legal Affairs File No.: GO No. 10-23-00149  
Case Number: S285681

Executive Clemency Number: 1249

Dear Governor Newsom:

On the application of Walter Earlonne Woods for pardon, the court, with at least four judges concurring, hereby makes the recommendation required by Article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA GUERRERO  
Chief Justice of California

**S285799** F084970 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ-ORTUNO  
(JORGE)**

**S285824** A165963 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEREA (ANALILIA  
JIMENEZ) v. GHALY  
(MARK)**

**S285924** B328179 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ  
(RICHARD ANTHONY)**

**S285939** C097960 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VALLI (KACEY)**

**S285953** F088202 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**RIAZ (SAMREEN) v. S.C.  
(CITY OF VISALIA)**

**S286034** B337663 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**LARA (MATTHEW) v. S.C.  
(VIKING RIVER CRUISES,  
INC.)**

**S286045** E084210 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied  
Liu, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**SANCHEZ (BONNY ISABEL)  
ON H.C.**

**S286079** E082211 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FISCHER II  
(BILLY JOHN)**

**S286143** B325728 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PUBLIC GUARDIAN OF LOS  
ANGELES COUNTY v.  
TALBOT (SUSAN)**

**S286145** B330290 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MARROQUIN,  
JR., (RUDY)**

**S286149** B324184 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (LUIS  
R.)**

**S286162** A168321 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FRANCIS, JR.,  
(CARLOS RONTEZ)**

**S286163** G063251 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DAVILA (JOSEPH  
FRANKLIN)**

**S286181** B319969 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GONZALES  
(RUBEN MATTHEW)**

**S286211** C097584 Third Appellate District

**PALENY (ERIKA) v.  
FIREPLACE PRODUCTS U.S.,  
INC.**

Petition for review denied

**S286213** A167458/A167709 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **JANE DOE WHBE 3 v. UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).)  
The petition for review is denied.

**S286216** B329640 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. SAWYER  
(CLIFTON CHRISTOPHER)**

Petitions for review denied

**S286223** A167411 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. ELSHERE  
(GARRETT LARS)**

Petition for review denied

**S286236** A165142 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. HALL (ANDREW  
ALBERT)**

Petition for review denied

**S286257** F088357 Fifth Appellate District

**MILES (JIMMY LEE) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for review denied

**S286265** B333268 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. TURNER (MOSES  
LEE)**

Petition for review denied

**S286282** E081618 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**IN RE A.T.**

Petition for review denied

<b>S286291</b>	B327651 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>LOWITSCH (MARCEL) v. WELLES (LEA)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286312</b>	A167289 First Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. BOLOURCHI (HAADI)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286358</b>	C096769 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BLINSTON (RYAN SCOTT)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286359</b>	B324598 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>PEOPLE v. SMITH (PRINTICE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286361</b>	C098081 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. VITAL (RAFAEL)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286363</b>	A170887 First Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>TURNER (ANTHONY RICHARDO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286364</b>	C101461 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. SAMM (STERLING)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286365</b>	B336904 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>CURRIE (MARK A.) v. S.C. (FIRE INSURANCE EXCHANGE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286366</b>	B328070 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. WATSON (SHAYLOR)</b>
Petition for review denied		

Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.



<b>S286368</b>	H050584 Sixth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. CISNEROS (GERARDO CRUZ)</b>
Petition for review denied Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.		
<b>S286372</b>	B328885 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>PEOPLE v. GARY (REGINALD ANDRE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286378</b>	G062272 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (AKKIA JUNICE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286381</b>	G063105 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>OLAVARRIA (RAUL) v. FLUENCE CORPORATION, LLC</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286383</b>	H050103 Sixth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (HO THAI)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286393</b>	B326859 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>GRAND VIEW REAL PROPERTY LLC v. KIM (BENJAMIN JOON TAE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286410</b>	B328626 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>PEOPLE v. TORRES (JOSHUA ANTHONY)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S286419</b>	E084027 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>TUCKER (RICCO) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S286425**      B329864 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. SEDILLO (JESUS)**  
Petition for review denied  
Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**S286427**      C098326 Third Appellate District      **ZHOU (XINYAO) v. HOTEL WINTERS, LLC**  
Petition for review denied

**S286429**      B328596 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. SAENZ (JOSE LUIS)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286435**      B324221 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. ROJAS (RANDALL LEE)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286438**      B325816 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v. BORJAS (GEOVANNI)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286442**      G062076 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **O'NEILL (JULIE) v. CARA (ANTHONY P.)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286449**      **SHALANT (JOSEPH L.) v. PIERCE (DAVID H.)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286454**      G062197 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. LANZ (ERIC JOSEPH)**  
Petition for review denied

**S286513****SANAI (CYRUS MARK), IN  
RE**

The motion for clarification is denied.  
The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

**S286890** H052208 Sixth Appellate District**CHARTERS (KALEB  
McMILLAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review &amp; application for stay denied

**S284777****THOMPSON (RICHARD  
RAHN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S284828****THOMAS (EDWARD NOEL)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S284869****DURDEN (VICTOR  
DWAYNE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

**S284888****LAWSON (JAMAR LEE) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S284915****LAWRIE (MATTHEW ALAN)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege

sufficient facts with particularity].)

**S284985**

**EDWARDS (WALTER L.) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S285003**

**COLLINS (DENISHO  
DEMME TRIUS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S285040**

**RIOS (KEITH RAUL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S285044**

**CARROLL III (JAMES  
EDWARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S285066**

**HATCHETT (CECIL  
JEROME) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S285670**

**HATCHETT (CECIL  
JEROME) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

**S285678**

**MERAZ (ISAAC BROOKS)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S285997****THOMPSON (RICHARD  
RAHN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S286971****SANCHEZ (JUAN R.) ON H.C.**

Order: (dispositive)

The Clerk is directed to file the petition for writ of habeas corpus, submitted to the court by petitioner in propria persona, nunc pro tunc to the date it was received, April 10, 2024. This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed by a capital inmate is being filed in this court after October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (a), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Tulare.

In issuing this order, the court has not considered the applicability of Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (d) to this petition.

**S285441**      A166861 First Appellate District, Div. 2**MOTHERS AGAINST TOXIC  
HOUSING v. DEPARTMENT  
OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES  
CONTROL (HRP CAMPUS  
BAY PROPERTY, LLC)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S285572**      B324520 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**MACKEL (VIRGINIA A.) v.  
McDONOUGH (PAUL)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S285633**      E082896 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**HOOTERS OF AMERICA,  
LLC v. S.C. (GARCIA)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S285680**      B326031 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**FREDEEN (LISA) v.  
CALIFORNIA CEMETERY &  
FUNERAL SERVICES, LLC**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S286095** A163971 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**STUPP (STEVEN) &  
SCHILDERS (ANNEMARIE),  
MARRIAGE OF**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S286129** C098492 Third Appellate District

**ALLIANCE FOR  
CONSTITUTIONAL SEX  
OFFENSE LAWS, INC. v.  
BONTA (ROB)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S285938** B320813 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**STOFFEL (SEAN) v. THE  
REGENTS OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286098** F086185 Fifth Appellate District

**BAREFOOT (JOAN MAURI)  
v. JENNINGS (JANA SUSAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286135** C098169 Third Appellate District

**SMITH (KATHLEEN) v.  
MYERS (EMMA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2024.

**S286158** F087380 Fifth Appellate District

**AMERICAN CLAIMS  
MANAGEMENT v.  
WORKERS'  
COMPENSATION APPEALS  
BOARD & MEJIA (JOSE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 25, 2024.

**S286170**      B339318 Second Appellate District, Div. 7      **CALIFORNIA-PACIFIC ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH v. S.C. (KUM RAN UNITED METHODIST CHURCH)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 25, 2024.

**S286173**      G062646 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **TALLEY AMUSEMENTS, INC. v. THE 32ND DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 25, 2024.

**S286209**      G060819/G061168 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **WIESE (JILL & GRANT K.), MARRIAGE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 25, 2024.

**S286256**      B339107 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **DAVIS (DENNIS L.) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286264**      B326977 Second Appellate District, Div. 7      **LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286274**      D084470 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **CUEVA (FRANCISCO) v. S.C. (CUEVA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286278**      B330395 Second Appellate District, Div. 1      **RAMOS (MARY) v. OROS  
(BRETT M.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2024.

**S286482**      B331080 Second Appellate District, Div. 1      **MORELL (JAMES B.) v.  
BOARD OF RETIREMENT  
FOR ORANGE COUNTY  
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT  
SYSTEM**

Extension of time denied

The application filed by counsel for respondent requesting an extension of time to file the reply to the answer to petition for review on September 18, 2024, is hereby denied.

**S214917**      **PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)**  
Marsden motion denied

The document submitted to the court by appellant in propria persona and received on April 25, 2024, is construed as a motion for substitution of counsel pursuant to *People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118. The Clerk is directed to file the motion. The motion is denied.

**S004642**      **PEOPLE v. KIRKPATRICK,  
JR., (WILLIAM)**

Motion denied

The document titled "Demand for this Court to Issue an Emergency Order to the FPDs to Cease and Desist Their Abuses and Surrender Any and All 'Documents' - Legal and Otherwise - to Me - Petitioner - at Once," submitted to the court by appellant in propria persona, is construed as a motion for self-representation for post-judgment proceedings. The Clerk is directed to file the motion nunc pro tunc to the date it was received, April 22, 2024. So construed, the motion is denied.



**S286825****PROPOSED  
MODIFICATIONS TO THE  
CALIFORNIA BAR  
EXAMINATION**

Request re rule denied

The State Bar of California's petition filed on September 9, 2024, to modify the California Bar Examination, beginning with the February 2025 exam administration, is denied without prejudice to a future petition seeking modifications that have been considered and approved by the Committee of Bar Examiners. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.6(a).) For purposes of opening the application period for the February 2025 California Bar Examination, the October 1 date set forth in rule 4.61(a) of the Rules of the State Bar is hereby suspended, and the State Bar shall open the application period for that exam no later than October 15, 2024.

**S286019****RAMIREZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SERGIO VALDOVINOS RAMIREZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 318157, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Case Management and Supervision or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Salvador Mora in the amount of \$11,800 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 10, 2020;
- (2) Lisa Mendez in the amount of \$4,350 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 22, 2019;
- (3) Osvaldo Buenrostro Hernandez in the amount of \$26,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 1, 2021;
- (4) Jolynn Vega in the amount of \$45,465 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 12, 2022;
- (5) Robert Torres in the amount of \$28,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 12, 2022;

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money

judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S286020****CAMPBELL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RANDEL JAY CAMPBELL (Respondent), State Bar Number 209324, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2024; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S286022****CHANG ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STEVE SUNGSOO CHANG (Respondent), State Bar Number 205082, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money

judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S286023****DAUGHETEE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE (Respondent), State Bar Number 257018, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 28, 2024; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on May 28, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S286920****BEJAR ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MABEL C. BEJAR, State Bar Number 221964, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286921****BROOMFIELD ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JENNIFER ANNE BROOMFIELD, State Bar Number 326120, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286923****DADD ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TAMARA K. DADD, State Bar Number 172199, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286924****DUNDAS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GREGORY STEVEN DUNDAS, State Bar Number 206043, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286925****EISNER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of EVAN SPENCER EISNER, State Bar Number 141352, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286926****FUA ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of IDA CRISTINA CRUZ FUA, State Bar Number 267029, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286928****GALLOWAY ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GRAHAM ALEXANDER GALLOWAY, State Bar Number 114089, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286929****INDENBAUM ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROSA ALICE INDENBAUM, State Bar Number 302725, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286931****KENDALL ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GEOFFREY PETER KENDALL, State Bar Number 141861, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286932****LIVELY ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ELESHEA DICE LIVELY, State Bar Number 144110, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286933****McCABE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CHERRY LYNN McCABE, State Bar Number 159709, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286934****MILLER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KARL PHILIPP MILLER, State Bar Number 304627, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286936****NITZKOWSKI ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GREGORY M. NITZKOWSKI, State Bar Number 116056, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286937****OH ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of YOUNG KOO OH, State Bar Number 168265, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286938****PLANAS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LUIS MEDINA PLANAS, State Bar Number 109829, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286940****RHA ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of YUN AH RHA, State Bar Number 300184, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286941****ROSENTHAL ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LAUREN ROSENTHAL, State Bar Number 236233, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286943****STAINER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANDREW JAMES STAINER, State Bar Number 271095, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S286944**

**STEEN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of PAMELA LYNN STEEN, State Bar Number 153771, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SPECIAL SESSION -- FRESNO  
OCTOBER 9, 2024**

In accordance with [Administrative Order 2023-05-11](#), the Supreme Court has resumed in-person oral argument sessions. Counsel have the option to appear in person at these sessions, or remotely via video. The public may attend in person and will also continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/>.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its Special Session at the courtroom at 2424 Cesar Chavez Boulevard, Fresno, California, on October 9, 2024.

**WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 9, 2024 — 9:00 A.M.**

*Opening Remarks: Special Session*

- (1) Capito (Taylor) v. San Jose Healthcare System, LP, S280018
- (2) JJD-HOV Elk Grove LLC v. Jo-Ann Stores, LLC, S275843
- (3) People v. Superior Court of Ventura County (Richard Allen Mitchell, Real Party in Interest), S281950

**2:00 P.M.**

- (4) People v. Collins (Brittney), S279737

---

**GUERRERO**  
*Chief Justice*

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)