INO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2022, IN OBSERVANCE OF LABOR DAY.]

1096

SUPREME COURT MINUTES **TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2022** SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S275689

LINDOW (ROBERT) v. **COURT OF APPEAL, SIXTH** APPELLATE DISTRICT (PERKINS)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

S275735

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

S275862

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

S185640

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 2, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 1, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 62 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

TSANG (SUE) v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF **REHABILITATION**)

HIRAMANEK (ADIL) v. **COURT OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT** (KAPADIA)

PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY

DALE)

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S188156

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John Lanahan's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 5, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to October 5, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 7, 2022.

S200016

PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel, Deputy Attorney General Caely E. Fallini, an extension of time in which to file the respondent's brief is granted to October 31, 2022. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 334 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208354

PEOPLE v. HIRSCHFIELD (RICHARD JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General John W. Powell's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 2, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 2, 2022. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE) ON H.C.

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that appellant John Michael Perez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 31, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 31 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S216466

PEOPLE v. BALCOM (JASON MICHAEL)

JONES (BRYAN MAURICE)

ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 28, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 28, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S217284

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Cliona Plunkett's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 15, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to November 7, 2022. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

S219152

PEOPLE v. NISSENSOHN (JOSEPH MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Matthew A. Kearney's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 1, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 1, 2022. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 364 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

1099

S221846

PEOPLE v. HALEY (KEVIN BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 7, 2022.

S223978

PEOPLE v. FULLER (ROBERT DALE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 15, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 25, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 21 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226030

PEOPLE v. CORONADO, JR., (JUAN RAMON)

PEOPLE v. PASASOUK (KA)

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 7, 2022.

S232428

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 7, 2022.

S239724

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 31, 2022.

1100

S272027

DUFFEY (NEIL DEONTRAI) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to October 3, 2022.

S272627 G060049 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LEWIS (RODNEY TAUREAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to October 12, 2022. No further extensions are contemplated.

S272632 A162891 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **HARRIS, JR., (JOHN) ON H.C.** Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae brief is extended to September 21, 2022.

S273134 B304490 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. COOPER

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 10, 2022.

S273840 A159026 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. FAIAL (JERRY ANTHONY)

(ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to October 21, 2022.

SANCHEZ (JOE ANGEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 16, 2022.

S274115

TRAN (HUY TRONG) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to October 12, 2022.

S275134A164472 First Appellate District, Div. 1PACIFIC FERTILITY CASESExtension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to October 17, 2022.

S275272 B306321 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

LOS ANGELES POLICE PROTECTIVE LEAGUE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to October 21, 2022.

S275641	D080428 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. COOPER (DAVION COLUMBUS MARQUISE)
		MARQUISE)

Extension of time denied

The application filed by appellant requesting an extension of time to file the reply to answer to petition for review on September 12, 2022, is hereby denied.

RUPE (PAUL ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 29, 2022.

S275855 A160591 First Appellate District, Div. 5

BROOME (ANNE) v. THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to September 13, 2022.

S276130 A164710 First Appellate District, Div. 3

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT v. S.C. (WILSON)

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to September 30, 2022.

S118147

PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to be allotted 45 minutes of oral argument time is hereby granted.

S141080

PEOPLE v. CAMACHO (ADRIAN GEORGE)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to be allotted 45 minutes of oral argument time is hereby granted.

KELLY (RUSSELL JAMES) v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One.

S276262

PAYNE (JULIANA) v. S.C. (VALENTINE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1583)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 6, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S253593	Yahoo! Inc., Plaintiff and Appellant, v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh Pennsylvania, Defendant and Respondent. Cause called. William T. Um argued for Appellant. Steven S. Fleischman argued for Respondent.
	Mr. Um replied. Cause submitted.
S262010	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Marcos Antonio Ramirez, Defendant and Appellant.
	Cause called. Jacquelyn E. Larson, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Amanda D. Cary, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.
	Ms. Larson replied. Cause submitted.

S263923	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Victor Ware et al., Defendants and Appellants.	
	Cause called. Nancy Olsen, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Christine Bergman, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.	
	Ms. Olsen replied. Cause submitted.	
Court re	ecessed until 1:45 p.m. this date.	
	econvened pursuant to recess. rs of the court and officer present as first shown.	
S265172	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Level Omega Henderson, Defendant and Appellant.	
	Cause called. Rudolph J. Alejo, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Kimberley A. Donohue, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.	
	Mr. Alejo replied. Cause submitted.	
S118147	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Victor M. Miranda-Guerrero, Defendant and Appellant.	
	Cause called. Denise Kendall, Office of the State Public Defender, argued for Appellant. Meredith White, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.	
	Ms. Kendall replied. Cause submitted.	

S141080	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Adrian George Camacho, Defendant and Appellant.
	Cause called. Barry Morris, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Robin Urbanski, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.
	Mr. Morris replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.