

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 2026
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S297307 B347193 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (EDGAR)**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Munoz*, S290828 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Evans, JJ.

S297078 G066948 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **REYES (CUAUHTEMOC AGUSTIN) ON H.C.**

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three. That court is ordered to vacate its summary denial dated May 28, 2026, and is further ordered to issue an order to show cause, returnable before the Orange County Superior Court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is to be ordered to show cause why petitioner is not entitled to resentencing under Penal Code section 1172.75. (*People v. Espino* (July 2, 2026, S286987) ____ Cal.5th ____.)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Evans, JJ.

S297335 B350778 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY
HOMESTATE INSURANCE
COMPANY v. WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & DOCCENTRAL**

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its order denying a petition for a writ of review and to reconsider whether the petition is from a final order. (See *Maranian v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 1068.) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, and Evans, JJ.

S297674

**BEYENE (HILLENA) ON
CLEMENCY**

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant clemency

August 19, 2026

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Governor, State of California
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Hillena Beyene
Legal Affairs File No.: GO No. D-3868-19
Case Number: S297674
Executive Clemency Number: 1295

Dear Governor Newsom:

On the application of Hillena Beyene for pardon, the court, with at least four judges concurring, hereby makes the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA GUERRERO
Chief Justice of California

S296745 E084392 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**SCHWENKER (KENNETH) v.
SILBERFEIN (SRIDHAR)**

Petition for review denied

S296781 B340852 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**AKALA (AMOSUN) v. LOS
ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S296809 B339287 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**RINARD (CANDY) v.
ALHAMBRA UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

S296823 B346636 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**NETES (ELLA) v. CHA
HOLLYWOOD MEDICAL
CENTER, L.P.**

S296867 A164404 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MACKIE (LAURA) v.
HANOVER INSURANCE
COMPANY, INC.**

S296871 F089254 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ
(LUCIANO GALINDO)**

S296920 A170988/A172221 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**CITIZENS AGAINST
MARKETPLACE
APARTMENT/CONDO
DEVELOPMENT v. CITY OF
SAN RAMON
(MARKETPLACE AT SAN
RAMON, LLC)**

S296943 B345876 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**KNIGHT, JR., (MARION H.)
ON H.C.**

S296952 G066603 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**BROWN (SUSAN J.) v.
MANSUETO (ROBERT F.)**

S296956 C102574 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**YOLO-SOLANO AIR
QUALITY MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT v. DEFTY
(SPENCER)**

S296968 G065059 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**KAMAL (EYAD) v. BURNS
(DON C.); KAMAL
(JASMINA)**

S296981 G064596 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**YANCEY (BRIANA) v. THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

S297006 B341662 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied
Groban, J., is of the opinion that the petition should be granted.

**THE CHEMICAL TOXIN
WORKING GROUP, INC. v.
THE KROGER COMPANY**

S297021 G064790 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GOMEZ, JR.,
(EDDIE)**

S297040 D084819 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. GREEN (ETHEN)

S297059 F089631 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**BERRY (ROLAND ALFRED)
v. OGBUEHI (CLEMENT)**

S297071 E087639 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**GRANDE (VICTOR
RAYMOND) ON H.C.**

S297089	B354865 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	WALLACE (DESHAWN) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S297138	A176740 First Appellate District, Div. 3	JALALIAN (ARMEN H.) v. S.C. (JALALIAN)
The petition for review and request for accommodation are denied.		
S297181	A172248 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (AMADOR)
Petition for review & publication request(s) denied		
S297187	B340275 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	SARGENTI (EDWIN) v. CITY OF LONG BEACH
Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied		
S297199	B343334 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. MADISON (ANTHONY DWIGHT)
Petition for review denied		
S297200	B342856 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	NETES (ELLA) v. ASMAR (IMAD EL)
Petition for review denied		
S297202		HADSELL (CHRISTOPHER) v. S.C. (ISHAM)
Petition for review denied		
S297203	D088322 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	TAYLOR (EBONY ESPERANZA) v. S.C. (WEBER)
Petition for review denied		

S297205 H052644 Sixth Appellate District

**CHEVALLIER (ASTRID) &
RODRIGUEZ (ANASTASIO
GARCIA), MARRIAGE OF**

Petition for review denied

S297210 H052906 Sixth Appellate District

**CHEVALLIER (ASTRID) &
RODRIGUEZ (ANASTASIO
GARCIA), MARRIAGE OF**

Petition for review denied

S297229 A176540 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**SIM (LEE CHER) v. S.C.
(CHANG)**

The request for judicial notice is denied.
The petition for review is denied.

S297234 E088619 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**RAMIREZ (ROBIN JEAN) v.
S.C. (WITHEROW)**

Petition for review denied

S297242 C101306 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (IVAN
CISNEROS)**

Petition for review denied

S297243 F088769 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MUNOZ (JUAN)

Petition for review denied

S297259 A170937 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. LEUNG (WYN)

Petition for review denied

S297271 B355332 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**BULLARD (PHILLIP
EUGENE) ON H.C.**

The requests for judicial notice are granted as to the decisional law of this state. (Evid. Code, § 452, subd. (a).) The remainder of petitioner's requests for judicial notice are denied.
The petition for review is denied.

S297282 D085313 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**ANIMAL PROTECTION &
RESCUE LEAGUE, INC. v.
CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

S297296 A172889 First Appellate District, Div. 2

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).)
The petition for review is denied.

**CHODNIEWICZ (JOSHUA) v.
ART.COM, INC.**

S297327 B347271 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BOWMAN (KURT
FREDERICK)**

S297328 E088440 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**FANIEL (WINDEL) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S297341 B355480 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**BROOKS (JARRED) v. S.C.
(D'AMATO)**

S297356 B344075 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied
Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**PEOPLE v. DUNLAP
(ANTHONY TERRELL)**

S297394 A176588 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**NFORKEMBA (SERGE) ON
H.C.**

S297397 B337065 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**KASHFIAN (ANGEL) v.
STATE FARM GENERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY**

S297399 G064228 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied
Evans, J., was recused and did not participate.

**IMAGES LUXURY NAIL
LOUNGE, INC. v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA**

S297418 A171532 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**BUSCH (TIMOTHY R.) v.
MORGAN (GLORIA)**

S297460 E088749 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review & application for stay denied

IN RE A.U.

S297481 H050904 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**HIRAMANEK (RODA) v.
HIRAMANEK (ADIL)**

S297485 H051141 Sixth Appellate District
Petitions for review denied

PEOPLE v. SHEN (JASON)

S297486 A173642 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HAYES, JR.,
(LAWRENCE)**

S297487 B342172 Second Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. BARAJAS (NOEL)

S297488 E083293 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**MEJIA (CHONA) v. GURVITS
(VADIM)**

S297489 B340422 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CERRATOS
(ROMAN)**

S297496 G064345 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. CRUZ
(ALFREDO)**

Petition for review denied

Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S297504 **DORSEY (JAMES
MATTHEW) v. COURT OF
APPEAL, SECOND
APPELLATE DISTRICT
(PEOPLE)**

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

S297519 G064087 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MYERS (TERRY
LYN)**

Petition for review denied

S297526 B345935/B346518 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **CRUMB (EALISE) v.
WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & APC
TECHNOLOGY**

Petition for review denied

S297527 C101269 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. DELVALLE (LUIS
MIGUEL)**

Petition for review denied

S297529 H052446 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. TAPIA (JUAN)**
Petition for review denied

S297530 G067117 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **REDMOND (JACOB
THOMAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The "Application for permission to file proposed amicus-related material" is denied.

The "motion to take evidence," "application for leave to present newly available material," and requests for judicial notice are denied.

The petition for review is denied.

S297535 B348118 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review denied

IN RE B.E.S.

S297537 D087610 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DURAN, JR.,
(JOSHUA JESSE)**

S297538 A176173 First Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied

**CARILLO (ASHLEY) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S297540

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**QUILLAR (LEE) v. COURT
OF APPEAL, FOURTH
APPELLATE DISTRICT,
DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)**

S297546 D085185 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ROACH
(RICHARD CORRY)**

S297549 B339848/B340974 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied
Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

**STEVE P. RADOS, INC.;
TRAVELERS CASUALTY &
SURETY COMPANY OF
AMERICA v. BLACK &
VEATCH CONSTRUCTION,
INC.; FEDERAL INSURANCE
COMPANY**

S297561 B343934 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. BATH (CARSON)

S297562 H052753 Sixth Appellate District
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. REED (JOHN)

S297567 A169255/A170376 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. CACHE (KERI)**
Petition for review denied

S297569 B342847 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **HEATH & YUEN, APC v. SILVER BIRD AUTO LEASING, LLC**
Petition for review denied

S297570 F091569 Fifth Appellate District **PATTERSON (BRYAN DAMON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**
Petition for review denied

S297576 B345004 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. BARELA (ARMANDO JAMES)**
Petition for review denied

S297578 B344772 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JONATHAN CHARLES)**
Petition for review denied

S297589 H052267 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CARTER (THEODORE JAY)**
Petition for review denied

S297609 G065047 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **AMADOR (HUGUETTE MOLINA) v. ABBASS (AHMAD ABOU)**
Petition for review denied

S297613 F085335 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CLARK (AL RICKEY)**
Petition for review denied

S297661**THOMAS (RAYSHON DERRICK) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT (PEOPLE)**

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

S297698 D088266 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**ZAVALA (JOSE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S297700 G065657 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3**EMERY (K.C.) v. MAROVIC (MARIO)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S297751**REED (MYCHAL ANDRA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

S298060 B356169 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**FRIDMAN (ISIDORA) v. S.C. (PFN LENDING GROUP, INC.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S292420**ESTRADA (JAIME IGNACIO) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S292570**NESBITT (KEITH LORRELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745). In this respect, petitioner alleges that the judge, an attorney, a law enforcement officer, an expert, or a juror in the case exhibited bias or animus toward petitioner because of petitioner's race; he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated; prosecutors in Los Angeles County more frequently sought or obtained convictions for more serious offenses against people who share his race, ethnicity, or national origin; he received a longer or more severe sentence compared to similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense and

longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants who share petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin in Los Angeles County; and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants in cases with victims of one race, ethnicity, or national origin than in cases with victims of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in Los Angeles County.

The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the appointment of counsel or the disclosure of discovery under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, §§ 1473, subd. (e)(5) [providing for the appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who pleads a plausible violation of the Racial Justice Act]; 745, subd. (d) [providing for disclosure of evidence relevant to a potential violation of the Racial Justice Act in the possession or control of the state].) The requests for counsel and discovery are denied.

Petitioner "has not established a prima facie showing" under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(7)(A).) The petitioner fails to allege particularized facts that support his claim based on alleged exhibitions of racial bias or that petitioner was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (*Id.*, § 745, subd. (a)(1), (3)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach supporting documentary evidence concerning these claims. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S292677

**STARR, JR., (ROBIN
GILLEN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745). In this respect, petitioner alleges that the judge, an attorney, a law enforcement officer, an expert, or a juror in the case exhibited bias or animus toward petitioner because of petitioner's race; during in-court trial proceedings, the judge, an attorney, a law enforcement officer, an expert, or a juror used racially discriminatory language about petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin; he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated; prosecutors in Yolo County more frequently sought or obtained convictions for more serious offenses against people who share his race, ethnicity, or national origin; and he received a longer or more severe sentence compared to similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense in Yolo County. The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the disclosure of discovery under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (d) [providing for disclosure of evidence relevant to a potential violation of the Racial Justice Act in the possession or control of the state].) The request for discovery is denied.

Petitioner "has not established a prima facie showing" under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(7)(A).) The petitioner fails to allege particularized facts that support his claim based on alleged exhibitions of racial bias or that petitioner was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national

origins. (*Id.*, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach supporting documentary evidence concerning these claims. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S293980

**BELTRAM (RICARDO
CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S294062

**CANADA, SR., (JAMES
EDWARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S294082

**THORNTON (KEVIN
ZACRKHUES) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020. (Pen. Code, § 745.) In this respect, petitioner alleges he received a longer or more severe sentence compared to similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants who share petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin in San Bernardino County.

The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the appointment of counsel under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(5) [providing for the appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who pleads a plausible violation of the Racial Justice Act].) The request for counsel is denied.

Petitioner "has not established a prima facie showing" under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(7)(A).) Petitioner fails to allege particularized facts that support his claim that petitioner was sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (*Id.*, § 745, subd. (a)(4)(A); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach sufficient supporting documentary evidence concerning this claim. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S294098**SANDERS (PHILLIP
CONRAD) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020. (Pen. Code, § 745.) In this respect, petitioner alleges that he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated; prosecutors in Los Angeles County more frequently sought or obtained convictions for more serious offenses against people who share his race, ethnicity, or national origin; and he received a longer or more severe sentence compared to similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants who share petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin in Los Angeles County.

The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the appointment of counsel or the disclosure of discovery under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, §§ 1473, subd. (e)(5) [providing for the appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who pleads a plausible violation of the Racial Justice Act]; 745, subd. (d) [providing for disclosure of evidence relevant to a potential violation of the Racial Justice Act in the possession or control of the state].) The requests for counsel and discovery are denied.

Petitioner "has not established a prima facie showing" under the Racial Justice Act at this time. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(7)(A).) Petitioner fails to allege particularized facts that support his claims that he was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (*Id.*, § 745, subd. (a)(3)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach sufficient supporting documentary evidence concerning these claims. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S294538**WILLIAMS (JOSEPH
ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020. (Pen. Code, § 745.) In this respect, petitioner alleges that during in-court trial proceedings, the judge, an attorney, a law enforcement officer, an expert, or a juror used racially discriminatory language about petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin; he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated; prosecutors in Los Angeles County more frequently sought or obtained convictions for more serious offenses against people who share his race, ethnicity, or national origin; he received a longer or more severe sentence compared to similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants who share petitioner's race, ethnicity, or national origin in Los Angeles County; and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently

imposed for the same offense on defendants in cases with victims of one race, ethnicity, or national origin than in cases with victims of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in Los Angeles County.

The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the appointment of counsel or the disclosure of discovery under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, §§ 1473, subd. (e)(5) [providing for the appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who pleads a plausible violation of the Racial Justice Act]; 745, subd. (d) [providing for disclosure of evidence relevant to a potential violation of the Racial Justice Act in the possession or control of the state].) The requests for counsel and discovery are denied.

Petitioner “has not established a prima facie showing” under the Racial Justice Act at this time. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e)(7)(A).) Petitioner fails to allege particularized facts that support his claims based on alleged exhibitions of racial bias or that support a claim that petitioner was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (*Id.*, § 745, subd. (a)(2)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach sufficient supporting documentary evidence concerning these claims. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S294832

JACKSON (ANDRE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S296684

D086591 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**TRAVIS (MIRIAM
ELBERTA), ESTATE OF**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S296854

B342302 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**FOX HILLS CANTERBURY
COMPANY v. CITY OF
CULVER CITY (MEADOWS
FORWARD ACTION
COMMITTEE)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S296887

D084157 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**CESENA (PATRICIA) v.
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON COMPANY**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S297158 B347325 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**LICHTENSTEIN (DEMIAN) v.
STALLEY (FRED)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S297263 H052577 Sixth Appellate District

**FERREIRA (DAVID) v. CITY
OF PALO ALTO**

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S297337

**EF HOLDINGS GG, LLC v.
RADI (ANDY)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S297157 C103331 Third Appellate District

**MILLER (SCOTT AARON) v.
DOLMO (BESS-CAROLINA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2026.

S297257 G066369 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEARCE (FRANK G. & GERI
KATE MACALINO),
MARRIAGE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2026.

S297260 D085152 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**SOBERLIFE RECOVERY
SOLUTIONS v. C-4
CONSULTING GROUP, INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2026.

S297281 B338670 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**JONES (DEREK) v. EATON
(JOSEPH)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2026.

S297295 C104253 Third Appellate District**R. (R.), CONSERVATORSHIP
OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2026.

S297323 B343293 Second Appellate District, Div. 1**D&M INVESTMENTS
HOLDINGS INC. v. PUNCHY
LIMITED LLC**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2026.

S297324 A176299 First Appellate District, Div. 5**PTI UNION, LLC v. S.C.
(GODINA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2026.

S297354 H054391 Sixth Appellate District**PETERSON (MARIE) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2026.

S164370**PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH
(BRENDT ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ismah Ahmad's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 25, 2026, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 23, 2026. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S213242**PEOPLE v. SMITH
(CHARLES RAY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Mark Feeser's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 17, 2026, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 23, 2026. After that date, only one

further extension totaling about 55 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S223978

**PEOPLE v. FULLER
(ROBERT DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 27, 2026, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 26, 2026.

After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 337 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii). & (B)(ii).)

S239552

**PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON
(DARNELL KEITH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 20, 2026.

S239948

**PEOPLE v. GORDON
(STEVEN DEAN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 23, 2026.

S294046

**ALCARAZ (ALEJANDRO
MARTINEZ) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 30, 2026.

S297516

ACCUSATION OF OBIENU

Petition denied

(accusation)

S297577**ACCUSATION OF
VALENZUELA**

Petition denied (accusation)

S297604**ACCUSATION OF
GUTIERREZ**

Petition denied (accusation)

S297628**ACCUSATION OF ROSE**

Petition denied (accusation)

S296555**PENSANTI ON DISCIPLINE**

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that LOUISA BELLE PENSANTI (Respondent), State Bar Number 200988, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on April 6, 2026; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on April 6, 2026. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).) Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1775)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION
SEPTEMBER 9, 2026**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 9, 2026. The public may attend in person and will also continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/>.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2026 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) People v. Meno (Peter John), S286264
(justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (2) People v. Ball (Jacob Frederick), S292969
(justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (3) People v. Argueta (Carlos Marvin), [Automatic Appeal], S150524
(Keley, J., assigned justice pro tempore)

1:30 P.M.

- (4) People v. Wesson (Marcus Delon), [Automatic Appeal], S136171
(Bendix, J., assigned justice pro tempore)

GUERRERO
Chief Justice