

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2022
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S275134 A164472 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **PACIFIC FERTILITY CASES**
Petition for review granted; issues limited

Petitioner's request to file the petition for review under seal and respondent's request to file its answer under seal are granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550, 8.46(b).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(d)(6). The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition for review and answer under seal.

The petition for review is granted. The issue to be briefed is limited to the following: Whether a petition for writ of mandate is the exclusive means of challenging an order approving or denying a good faith settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 877.6.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 78 Cal.App.5th 568, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S275272 B306321 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **LOS ANGELES POLICE
PROTECTIVE LEAGUE v.
CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following:

(1) Does Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), particularly subdivision (a)(2), constitute improper viewpoint discrimination in violation of the First Amendment?

(2) Does Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), particularly subdivision (a)(2), impose an impermissible burden on complainants' ability to file allegations of misconduct against peace officers?

(3) Is it error to compel the City to comply with a statute that has been ruled unconstitutional by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit?

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 78 Cal.App.5th 1081, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose

of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S120382

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ
(VINCENT HENRY)**

Supplemental briefing ordered

The court directs the parties to serve and file supplemental briefs addressing the following questions:

1. Did the trial court sentence appellant for every count and enhancement for which he had pleaded guilty or no contest? (See 2 Clerk's Transcript 521-542, 553; 4 Clerk's Transcript 1126; 18 Clerk's Transcript 4793-4801.)

2. Is the abstract of judgment accurate? (See 29 Clerk's Transcript 8094-8103.)

Appellant's supplemental brief addressing these questions shall be served and filed on or before September 16, 2022. Respondent must serve and file a supplemental respondent's brief within 30 days after the supplemental brief is filed. Appellant may serve and file a supplemental reply brief within 30 days after the supplemental respondent's brief is filed.

S275090 B300396 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (LUIS
JULIAN BELTRAN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tran*, S165998 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S275101 F080295 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ZARAGOZA
(JIMMY YZQUIERDO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request for consideration of an additional issue in the petition for review is granted.

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tran*, S165998 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to

California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S275341 H047847 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ
(ANGEL ISAAC)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tran*, S165998 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S272543

**FEARENCE (JAQUES OMAR)
ON H.C.**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to refile the petition as a petition for writ of mandate and to issue an alternative writ, directing the Los Angeles County Superior Court to vacate the portion of its August 19, 2021, order requiring petitioner to “send an attorney or investigator to copy or pay for copies of [the] file” and to enter a new order allowing access to the items requested without an advance payment requirement (see Pen. Code, § 1054.9, subd. (e); *Davis v. Superior Court* (2016) 1 Cal.App.5th 881, 889) or, in the alternative, to show cause why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted.

S274802 H050025 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. S.C. (WATSON)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandate or prohibition and to issue an order to show cause why petitioner is not entitled to the relief requested based on his claim that the superior court erred by granting the request for mental health diversion. The stay previously issued by this court is to remain in effect pending further order of the Court of Appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, and Jenkins, JJ.

S275008 D080001 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **KAKOWSKI (BRIAN) ON
H.C.**

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted.

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One. That court is ordered to vacate its summary denial dated May 27, 2022, and is further ordered to issue an order to show cause, returnable before that court. The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (the Department) is to be ordered to show cause why petitioner is not entitled to relief on the following ground: the Department's policy prohibiting cisgender inmates who are housed at male institutions access to the toiletries and hygiene products specified in the petition - while simultaneously allowing such access to transgender inmates and inmates having symptoms of gender dysphoria housed at male institutions - violates the equal protection clauses of the state and federal Constitutions. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 7, subd. (a); U.S. Const., 14th Amend.; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

S275336 C093099 Third Appellate District **JANE DOE v. ANDERSON
UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

Review is ordered on the court's own motion. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c)(1).) The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *Brown v. USA Taekwondo* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 204. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed April 13, 2022, which appears at 78 Cal.App.5th 236. (Cal. Const., art. VI, § 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(2).) (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 3.)

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are denied as moot.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Groban, and Guerrero, JJ.

S268363**DEAN (JAMES CLEO) ON
H.C.**

Petition ordered withdrawn

Petitioner's request, filed on August 12, 2022, to withdraw the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted.

S274770 F081386 Fifth Appellate District**ERNST (JOHN) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

Liu and Kruger, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S274974 C091266 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ
(ALFONSO HENRY)**

Petition for review denied

S275006 D078715 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**METZLER (MICHAEL &
ANDREA), MARRIAGE OF**

Petition for review denied

S275028 B304144 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**SAN LUIS OBISPO LOCAL
AGENCY FORMATION
COMMISSION v. CENTRAL
COAST DEVELOPMENT
COMPANY**

The requests for judicial notice are granted.

The petition for review is denied.

S275029 A162999 First Appellate District, Div. 2**C. (A.) v. N. (M.)**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S275037 F079788 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. LUCERO
(CHERYL LYNN)**

Petition for review denied

S275042 E078324 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST
COMPANY v. TARBUTTON
(THOMAS)**

Petition for review denied

S275050 E077105 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. LEWIS (PETER
MICHAEL)**

Petition for review denied

S275072 E077588 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

IN RE E.M.

On its own motion, the court takes judicial notice of the transcript of the August 11, 2021, hearing held in the juvenile court. (Evid. Code, § 452, subd. (d).)

The petition for review is denied.

S275086 B320619 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**AMOROS (MIA S.) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review denied

S275091 F080890 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. MAYNOR (GLEN
EDWARD)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S275099 H049057 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. NAKANO
(TOMOMIRO)**

Petition for review denied

S275126 D078537 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**HAMIDEH (ASHRAF O.) v.
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**

Petition for review denied

S275129 F079072 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. GALLARDO
(ERIC)**

Petition for review denied

S275131 H045450 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v.
VARGAS-ALVAREZ (JOSE)**

S275138 B309359 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**CAMPOS (NICOLE) v. LOS
ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

S275140 G060929 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

IN RE JACOB D.

S275151 F083385 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE D.S.

S275184 H043461 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ
(ALEJANDRO)**

S275192 B299503 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FIGUEROA
(EMETERIO)**

S275200 G059966 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**PEOPLE v. WATKINS, JR.,
(MICHAEL DWAYNE)**

S275223 B320861 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**ALASTOR GROUP, INC. v.
S.C. (HAHN)**

S275246 C092141 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**SACRAMENTO, CITY OF v.
ALTSTATT (DANIEL JAMES)**

S275248 C090573 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. PENNA (MANUEL ANTHONY)

S275274 B313492 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

Liu, J., is of opinion the petition should be granted.

PEOPLE v. S. (PETERSON)

S275294 E075445 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. MANZANO (MATHEW RUBEN)

S275301 F084109 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

ZAVALA (ADONIS) ON H.C.

S275318 C091093 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HAMILTON (JOHN WINSTON)

S275323 B319997 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

Cantil-Sakaue, C. J., and Corrigan, J., were recused and did not participate.

SHELLS (TIMOTHY) v. APPELLATE DIVISION (PEOPLE)

S275327 C096296 Third Appellate District

The petition for review is denied on the merits with regard to petitioner's claim of a constitutionally disproportionate sentence. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. 86, 99-100, citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

SMITH (ANTHONY) ON H.C.

S275338 C092777 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. POLLARD (WILLIAM TORRIE DELANO)

S275340 A165102 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**RIVERS (RONALD DAVID) v.
ACADEMY OF ART
UNIVERSITY**

S275347 C086543 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**SOARES (JIM) v. R-RANCH
PROPERTY OWNERS'
ASSOCIATION**

S275352 A161904 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied
Kruger, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**PEOPLE v. CARRILLO
(DONALD WAYNE)**

S275353 E074565 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

The request for judicial notice is granted.
The petition for review is denied.

**SILVA (RAUL M.) v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF TRANSPORTATION**

S275362 G059753 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**LOEFFLER (JENNIFER) v.
TRABUCO HIGHLANDS
COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION**

S275409 D079880 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MORSE (GERALD
WAYNE)**

S275418 C090650 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LEONARD
(THOMAS FREDERICK)**

S275429 B315204 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**OWENS (CHRISTINE) v.
SIMONET (MAHERSHAL)**

S275443 F081563 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GALAFATE
(LENY PETERSON)**

S275448 B303780 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**ORTIZ (YAZMIN) v. EISLER
(RUDY)**

S275451 F080332 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ
(ADRIAN ISAAC)**

S275464 G060934 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MACIEL
(REGINO CANDIA)**

S275466 A165490 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**QUINTANILLA (JOSE
ALEXANDER) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S275476 E075259 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PHILLIPS
(JEREMY NEIVES)**

S275477 A160808 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**ALLMAN (THOMAS) v.
ALDRICH (ADAM)**

S275496 E078040 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MOTSINGER
(DANIEL CHARLES)**

S275497 E074481 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**JOSEPH (VICTOR) v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION**

Petition for review denied

S275502 H047612 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. CARTER (GREG
EUGENE)**

Petition for review denied

S275522 B320924 Second Appellate District, Div. 8
Petition for review denied

WILSON (DAVID W.) ON H.C.

S275531 A159925 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. DeLEON
(ALEJANDRO ARTURO)**

Petition for review denied

S275538

**LOPEZ (GEORGE L.) v.
COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH
APPELLATE DISTRICT
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S275779 E079226 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**DE LIGHT (JOHN) v. S.C. (DE
LIGHT)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S268512

**VELASQUEZ (RONALD) ON
H.C.**

Respondent's motion for judicial notice is granted.
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S270586

**CARPENTER (DAVID
LIONEL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S271217

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

ORR (TALYON JEROME) ON H.C.

S273420

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

FREDRICK, JR., (SHARVON EDWARD) ON H.C.

S273964

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

BLACH (RODNEY) ON H.C.

S274012

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

WILBURN (TERRENCE LAMAR) ON H.C.

S274581

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

BANNER (LAMONTE) ON H.C.

S275100

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

CABRERA (JOSE D.) ON H.C.

S275109

B310427 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Depublication requests denied (case closed)

PEOPLE v. OWENS, JR., (DERECK FLOURNOY)

S266254

A157026 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

B. (BRENNON) v. S.C. (WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to November 2, 2022, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S273733**JONES ON DISCIPLINE**

Time extended to consider State Bar rehearing – DEREK JAMES JONES

Pursuant to rule 9.18(a) of the California Rules of Court, the order imposing discipline in the above-entitled case will become final upon the date the petition for rehearing is either granted or denied.

S275427

F083550 Fifth Appellate District

VASQUEZ (JORGE) ON H.C.

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to October 17, 2022. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S275206

B310772 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**CALVERT (JAY W.) v. FOX
TELEVISION STATIONS,
LLC**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 22, 2022.

S275221

F082467 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. FLORES-
VENTURA (JOSE ANTONIO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 22, 2022.

S275230 B304072/B308345 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **HELDMAN (WILLIAM
JOSEPH), ESTATE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 22, 2022.

S275236

G061452 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**YU (BANN-SHIANG LIZA) v.
S.C. (NORTHLAND
INSURANCE COMPANY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 22, 2022.

S275251 D079221 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **CASEY (MATTHEW C.) v.
HILL (PATRICE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 26, 2022.

S275254 G061175 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. ZARGARI
(PAYMAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2022.

S275267 B312091 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **CARDENAS (PAUL) v.
HORIZON SENIOR LIVING,
INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 26, 2022.

S275278 H047991 Sixth Appellate District **R. (C.) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2022.

S275281 G060299 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **BLACK (CHRISTIANNE M.)
v. GALINDO (MIRIAM J.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 26, 2022.

S275308 G060663 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE Z.O.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2022.

S275342 H050001 Sixth Appellate District **SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED)
v. NATIONSTAR
MORTGAGE LLC**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 29, 2022.

S275346 G060878 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE A.T.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 29, 2022.

S097668

**PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE,
JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Valarie Kalb's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 19, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted September 19, 2022. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S210054

**PEOPLE v. CERVANTES
(DANIEL) & CONTRERAS
(CARLOS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that appellant Carlos Contreras' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 20, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 24, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S215960

**PEOPLE v. MENDOZA
(ANGEL)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Catherine White's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 14, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 14, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 31 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S220332**PEOPLE v. TURNER
(CHESTER DEWAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 24, 2022.

S271869 H045791 Sixth Appellate District**CHEVRON U.S.A., INC. v.
COUNTY OF MONTEREY
(PROTECT MONTEREY
COUNTY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of interveners and appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 16, 2022.

S272054**CERVANTES (DANIEL) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 14, 2022.

S273797 H047594 Sixth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. REYNOZA
(RAYMOND GREGORY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 22, 2022.

S273802 B309408 Second Appellate District, Div. 4**RAMIREZ (ANGELICA) v.
CHARTER
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 13, 2022.

S273840 A159026 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. FAIAL (JERRY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 1, 2022.

S274080

MONTUE (ROGER NICHOLAS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 14, 2022.

S274927 H048486 Sixth Appellate District

SANTA CLARA, COUNTY OF v. S.C. (DOCTORS MEDICAL CENTER OF MODESTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 26, 2022.

S275373 B302544 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

KLINE (GARY) v. ZIMMER, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to August 19, 2022.

S275465 H049089 Sixth Appellate District

GARCIA (ESEQUIEL PAUL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to August 24, 2022.

No further extensions of time will be contemplated.

S275724**RUPE (PAUL ANTHONY) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to August 30, 2022.

S275839

A161546 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**JOHN DOE v. THE REGENTS
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA (JANE ROE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of defendant and respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to September 1, 2022.

S275930

E074729 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**ALLIED ANESTHESIA
MEDICAL GROUP, INC. v.
INLAND EMPIRE HEALTH
PLAN**

Extension of time granted

On application of defendant and respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to September 13, 2022.

S265172

B298366 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**PEOPLE v. HENDERSON
(LEVEL OMEGA)**

Order filed

The order filed on January 26, 2021, appointing Rudolph J. Alejo as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S267391

B301638 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

JENKINS (JASMINE) ON H.C.

Order filed

The order filed on May 19, 2021, appointing Rudolph J. Alejo as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S274745**HERRON ON
REINSTATEMENT**

Order filed

Upon recommendation of the State Bar Court, the court orders that BENJAMIN EMIL HERRON be reinstated as an attorney of the State Bar of California upon payment of fees and taking the oath required by law.

S275127**ACCUSATION OF WORTH**

Petition denied (accusation)

S275284**ACCUSATION OF
SHORNIKOV**

Petition denied (accusation)

S275540**ACCUSATION OF BARSKY**

Petition denied (accusation)

S274206**EDGAR ON DISCIPLINE**

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that DONALD S. EDGAR, State Bar Number 139324 is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DONALD S. EDGARD must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S262081 B277750/B279009/B285904

Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**SIRY INVESTMENT, L.P. v.
FARKHONDEHPOUR
(SAEED)**

Motion denied

The motion, filed July 29, 2022, to stay issuance of the remittitur in the above-captioned case, is denied.



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION
SEPTEMBER 6, 2022**

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-3-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/>.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 6, 2022.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Yahoo! Inc. v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, S253593
- (2) People v. Ramirez (Marcos Antonio), S262010
- (3) People v. Ware (Victor) et al., S263923

1:30 P.M.

- (4) People v. Henderson (Level Omega), S265172
- (5) People v. Miranda-Guerrero (Victor M.), [Automatic Appeal], S118147
- (6) People v. Camacho (Adrian George), [Automatic Appeal], S141080

CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

