

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, AUGUST 17, 2023  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S271049**      D076916 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. MUMIN (AHMED)**  
Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal affirming the conviction on count 5 is reversed. In all other particulars the judgment is affirmed. The matter is remanded to the Court of Appeal with directions to remand the matter to the trial court for further proceedings as may be necessary.

Majority Opinion Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Jenkins, Acting C. J., Kruger, Groban, and Robie\*, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Evans, J.

\* Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

**S272237**      C087191 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. SCHULLER  
(JASON CARL)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment is reversed, and the matter is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Groban, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Evans, J.

**S065877**      **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (BOBBY)  
& TRUJEQUE (JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant Bobby Lopez for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Joseph G. Baxter's representation that the appellant Bobby Lopez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 9, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 9, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 31 additional days is contemplated.

**S199741****PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS  
(MANLING TSANG)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Linda F. Robertson's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 11, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 23, 2023. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 52 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S212477****PEOPLE v. FRAZIER  
(TRAVIS) & NOWLIN  
(KENNETH LEE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 20, 2023.

**S212477****PEOPLE v. FRAZIER  
(TRAVIS) & NOWLIN  
(KENNETH LEE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 20, 2023.

**S214917****PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 20, 2023.

**S278844****HUBBARD (NAKIA) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to September 25, 2023.

**S280256** B314490 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**FUENTES (EVANGELINA  
YANEZ) v. EMPIRE NISSAN,  
INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 7, 2023.

**S273340**

**GANTNER (ANTHONY) v.  
PG&E CORPORATION;  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC  
COMPANY**

Order filed

The request of counsel for respondent to allocate to the California Public Utilities Commission, as amicus curiae, 10 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is hereby granted. Having scheduled oral argument for September 6, 2023, the court will take no further action regarding amicus curiae counsel's request to avoid oral argument on September 5, 2023.



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION  
SEPTEMBER 6, 2023**

In accordance with [Administrative Order 2023-05-11](#), the Supreme Court has resumed in-person oral argument sessions. Counsel have the option to appear in person at these sessions, or remotely via video. The public may attend in person and will also continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/>.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 6, 2023.

**WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2023 — 1:30 P.M.**

- (1) People v. Salazar (Norman Thomas), S275788
- (2) People v. Curiel (Freddy Alfredo), S272238
- (3) Gantner (Anthony) v. PG&E Corporation et al., S273340  
(*Kruger, J. not participating; O'Rourke, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

---

**GUERRERO**  
*Chief Justice*

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)