

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, AUGUST 1, 2022
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S252702 F075101 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HENSON (CODY WADE)

Opinion filed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed except insofar as it directs the consolidation of magistrate case 1499 and magistrate case 3119. In resolving defendant's section 995 motion on remand, the trial court is free to consider the records of both magistrate cases, and no consolidation of those cases is necessary.

Majority Opinion by Jenkins, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, and Guerrero, JJ.

Dissenting Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Liu and Groban, JJ.

S258574 C071785 Third Appellate District

**BUTTE, COUNTY OF v.
DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES (STATE
WATER CONTRACTORS,
INC.)**

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal in part, reverse in part, and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Corrigan, J.

S274671 G059860/G060198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ADOLPH (ERIK) v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Issues ordered limited

Review was granted in this matter on July 20, 2022. The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Whether an aggrieved employee who has been compelled to arbitrate claims under the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) that are "premised on Labor Code violations actually sustained by" the aggrieved employee (*Viking River Cruises, Inc. v. Moriana* (2022) 596 U.S. __, __ [142 S.Ct. 1906, 1916] (*Viking River Cruises*); see Lab. Code, §§ 2698, 2699, subd.

(a) maintains statutory standing to pursue “PAGA claims arising out of events involving other employees” (*Viking River Cruises*, at p. __ [142 S.Ct. at p. 1916]) in court or in any other forum the parties agree is suitable.

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 19, 2022. No further extensions are contemplated.

Respondent’s application for calendar preference and an expedited briefing schedule, filed July 27, 2022, is denied.

S275677 A164628 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**COPELAND (CARISSA) v.
MOGOS ZEREGABER
BEHRE**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

