

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, JULY 25, 2024  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S275121**      B310458 Second Appellate District, Div. 1      **QUACH (PETER) v.  
CALIFORNIA COMMERCE  
CLUB, INC.**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand for further proceedings consistent with our decision.

Majority Opinion by Groban, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S279622**      A163655 First Appellate District, Div. 4      **CASTELLANOS (HECTOR) v.  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA;  
PROTECT APP-BASED  
DRIVERS & SERVICES**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal insofar as it held that Business and Professions Code section 7451 does not conflict with article XIV, section 4 of the California Constitution.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S152556**      **PEOPLE v. OROZCO (JOSE  
LUIS)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Tara K. Allen, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to September 23, 2024. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

**S168204****PEOPLE v. MOSLEY (BARRY WENDELL)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Ronald F. Turner, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to September 30, 2024. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

**S172750****PEOPLE v. KENNEDY (JOHN FITZGERALD)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Christian C. Buckley, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to October 1, 2024. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

**S175660****PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON ALEJANDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Carla J. Johnson's representation that the second supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 29, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 29, 2024. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S283614**      A167721 First Appellate District, Div. 3**CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, INC. v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 13, 2024.

**S283614** A167721 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL  
DIVERSITY, INC. v. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
(PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC  
COMPANY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 13, 2024.

**S285367** G064089 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**THE CINCINNATI REDS,  
LLC v. WORKERS'  
COMPENSATION APPEALS  
BOARD; FONCECA (CHAD)**

Order filed

The order filed on July 24, 2024, denying the petition for review is hereby amended to reflect the above title.

**S286066**

**FLOWERS (SAMUEL) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.