

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, JUNE 3, 2024
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S274743 H045212 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BURGOS
(FRANCISCO)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We conclude that section 1109 does not apply retroactively. Because the Court of Appeal majority concluded otherwise, we reverse its judgment and remand the matter for further proceedings consistent with our opinion.

We also disapprove *People v. Montano, supra*, 80 Cal.App.5th 82 and *People v. Ramos, supra*, 77 Cal.App.5th 1116, to the extent they are inconsistent with our opinion.

Majority Opinion by Guerrero, C. J.

-- joined by Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Groban, J.

-- joined by Corrigan, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Evans, J.

-- joined by Liu, J.

S279622 A163655 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**CASTELLANOS (HECTOR) v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
(PROTECT APP-BASED
DRIVERS & SERVICES)**

Submitted by order

The above-entitled matter, argued on May 21, 2024, is hereby ordered submitted.

S126387

**PEOPLE v. HAZLETT
(LARRY KUSUTH)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Supervising Deputy Attorney General Kimberley A. Donohue, an extension of time in which to serve and file a respondent's second supplemental answering brief is granted to August 6, 2024. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

S176812**PEOPLE v. YONKO (TONY RICKY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Linda F. Robertson's representation that the supplemental reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 5, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 6, 2024. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(B)(ii).)

S185810**PEOPLE v. THREATS (DERLYN RAY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Jessica E. Oats' representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 15, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 9, 2024. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 249 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii).)

S239380**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (GILBERT BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

Based on counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 18, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 9, 2024. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 101 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii).)

S246033**PEOPLE v. BARRERA (RAYMOND ALEX)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 2, 2024.

S279969 B312261/B312345/B312350/B312356/B312360
Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**FORD MOTOR WARRANTY
CASES**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to amicus curiae brief is extended to June 13, 2024.

S283406

**WASHINGTON (RODERICK
NATHANIEL) ON H.C.**

Order filed

The order filed on May 29, 2024, denying the petition for writ of habeas corpus is hereby amended to reflect the above title.



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
LOS ANGELES SESSION
JUNE 4 AND 5, 2024
SECOND AMENDED**

In accordance with [Administrative Order 2023-05-11](#), the Supreme Court has resumed in-person oral argument sessions. Counsel have the option to appear in person at these sessions, or remotely via video. The public may attend in person and will also continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/>.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California, on June 4 and 5, 2024.

TUESDAY, JUNE 4, 2024 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) In re Dezi C., S275578
- (2) Stone (Tamelin) et al. v. Alameda Health System, S279137
(*Evans, J., not participating; Segal, J. assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (3) In re Tellez (Victor Raul) on Habeas Corpus, S277072

1:30 P.M.

- (4) Rattagan (Michael R.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., S272113
- (5) JJD-HOV Elk Grove LLC v. Jo-Ann Stores, LLC, S275843
(*To be called and continued to a future oral argument calendar.*)

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 5, 2024 — 9:30 A.M.

- (6) City of Los Angeles v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC, S277211
(*Groban, J., not participating; Snauffer, J. assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (7) People v. Williams (Jeremiah Ira), S262229
(*Evans, J., not participating; Smith, J. assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (8) Meinhardt (David) v. City of Sunnyvale (Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety, Real Party in Interest and Respondent), S274147
(*Guerrero, C. J., not participating; Sanchez, J. assigned justice pro tempore*)

GUERRERO
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)