

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2024
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S266590 B298794 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PRANG (JEFFREY) v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD (AMEN)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Majority Opinion by Evans, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S272850 B310024 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **WHEELER (EMILY) v. APPELLATE DIVISION (PEOPLE)**

Opinion filed

Because the trial court had discretion to consider Wheeler's lack of knowledge under section 1385, we reverse the Court of Appeal's judgment denying the petition for writ of mandate. Also, given the appellate division's thorough opinion, specifying which issues it was resolving, it is clear that court found fault solely with the trial court's dismissal under section 1385 insofar as it rested on Wheeler's lack of knowledge. In the interests of judicial economy and for the sake of concluding this case, we have resolved the one outstanding issue related to section 1385 that the People brought before the appellate division and which that court identified in its opinion - whether the trial court acted sufficiently of its own accord. In this instance, and given the posture of this case, we conclude, without opining on any other issues the lower court decisions might implicate, that the trial court's dismissal order should stand. Accordingly, we remand to the Court of Appeal with instructions to issue a writ of mandate that directs the appellate division to set aside its ruling and to instead issue a ruling affirming dismissal.

Majority Opinion by Jenkins, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Evans, JJ.

S200016**PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel, Deputy Attorney General Caely E. Fallini's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 3, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 2, 2024. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 32 additional days is contemplated.

S283099**EUGENE (MARCUS COLUMBUS) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response to petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to July 29, 2024.

S284532**ALBERT ON DISCIPLINE**

Extension of time granted – LENORE LUANN ALBERT

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 1, 2024.

S182161**PEOPLE v. JACKSON (LLOYD EARL)**

Order filed

The order filed on May 29, 2024, is amended to read as follows:

Respondent's Application and Declaration of Good Cause for Extension of Time to File Response to Appellant's Motion for Stay of Appeal filed on May 29, 2024, is hereby granted. Respondent shall serve and file the response on or before July 1, 2024. After that date, no further extensions are contemplated. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply within 10 days after the People have filed their response.

S262229

D074098 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JEREMIAH IRA)

Motion for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice, filed May 28, 2024, is granted. Evans, J., was recused and did not participate.