

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, MAY 20, 2024  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S278262**      C094949 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. CARTER  
(ISHMAEL MICHAEL)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand with instructions to direct the trial court (1) to conditionally vacate its September 27, 2021, order finding Carter a sexually violent predator within the meaning of Welfare and Institutions Code section 6600 et seq., (2) to vacate its January 15, 2020, order denying Carter's motion pursuant to *Marsden, supra*, 2 Cal.3d 118, (3) to reconsider that motion consistent with this opinion, (4) to give counsel an opportunity to evaluate Carter's motion to dismiss, and (5) to determine whether any *Marsden* error affected the judgment.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S285055**      B337359 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**M. (S.) v. S.C. (LOS ANGELES  
UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT)**

Stay order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, the jury trial in *S.M., a minor, by and through her Guardian Ad Litem, Socorro M. v. Los Angeles Unified School District et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC704733 is hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

**S158112**

**PEOPLE v. HAMILTON  
(ALEXANDER RASHAD)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Mark D. Greenberg, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental reply brief is granted to May 30, 2024.

**S225020****PEOPLE v. MURTAZA  
(IFTEKHAR)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 30, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 22, 2024. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 70 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(B)(ii).)

**S282546****THOMPSON (SEKOU  
KWANE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 25, 2024.

**S284880****CABADA (JACK JIMENEZ)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 28, 2024.

**S276649** C096051 Third Appellate District  
Order filed**IN RE KENNETH D.**

The court construes "Respondent's Application for Leave to Serve, File, and Cite Additional Authorities Under Rule 8.524," filed on May 16, 2024, as an application for leave to file an untimely supplemental brief. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.60(b), 8.520(d).) The application is denied.

**S278309** B319961 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**PEOPLE v. WALKER  
(MAURICE)**

Order filed

Appellant's "Application for Order Allowing Appellant to Watch Oral Argument from Prison on a Computer," filed May 9, 2024, is denied.

**S284224** B331737 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**CONTRERAS (ISRRAEL RODRIGUEZ) v. S.C. (CHAMPION DODGE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY)**

Order filed

The order filed in the above matter on May 15, 2024, denying the request for publication, is hereby amended to reflect the title above.

**S284173**

**GEORGE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JENNIFER MICHELLE GEORGE (Respondent), State Bar Number 211701, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S284174**

**HOLGUIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALEX HOLGUIN (Respondent), State Bar Number 271861, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 120 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 16, 2024; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be

terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 16, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

## **S284642**

## **SAMBHI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BIMAL KUMAR SAMBHI (Respondent), State Bar Number 223914, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Karla Solis, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$1,350 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 23, 2021 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S284643**

**VANDERVEEN ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JEFFREY THOMAS VANDERVEEN (Respondent), State Bar Number 110064, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 13, 2024.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 13, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S284644****SMITH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JEFFREY BRYAN SMITH (Respondent), State Bar Number 150095, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 14, 2024; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.