#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

#### SIMMONS (CARL DWAYNE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition stricken (case closed)

The petition for writ of mandate filed On March 27, 2023, is hereby stricken for failure to comply with California Rules of Court, rule 8.486.

#### S105403

#### PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH SAM)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Louis W. Karlin's representation that the second supplemental respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 6, 2023, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S156877

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file supplemental appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S182059

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

sentation that the second

PEOPLE v. BROTHERS (VINCENT EDWARD)

# **PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT PAUL)**

## ,• ,

#### S279260

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S185640

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 5, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 6, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S204700

THOMAS (REGIS DEON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Samantha B. Jacob's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 10, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to July 10, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S212161

PEOPLE v. WALTERS (MICHAEL J.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender AJ Kutchins' representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 24, 2024, an extension of time

#### PEOPLE v. JACKSON (LLOYD EARL)

**PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY** 

DALE)

in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 14, 2023. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 225 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S214388

#### PEOPLE v. AGUILAR (JEFFREY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General J. Michael Lehmann's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 11, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 14, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S217284

#### JONES (BRYAN MAURICE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Shelley J. Sandusky's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 21, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to July 5, 2023. After that date, only two further extension totaling about 139 additional days are contemplated.

#### S218457

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

S221846

#### PEOPLE v. HALEY (KEVIN BERNARD)

**PEOPLE v. VANG (RONNIE)** 

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Rudolph J. Alejo's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 4, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 30, 2023. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 35 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).

#### S226030

PEOPLE v. CORONADO, JR., (JUAN RAMON)

**PEOPLE v. HARTS (TYRONE** 

LEVOID)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S226647

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Naresh Narine, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S226647

PEOPLE v. MAGAÑA (BELINDA) & NARINE (NARESH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Belinda Magaña, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S226653

PEOPLE v. DUNSON (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Wayne C. Tobin's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 24, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is

#### 573

#### PEOPLE v. MAGAÑA (BELINDA) & NARINE (NARESH)

## PEOPLE v. DUNSON

granted to July 7, 2023. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 383 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S226781

# PEOPLE v. FELIX (MIGUEL ENRIQUE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Genevieve R. Herbert's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 5, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 5, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S232428

#### PEOPLE v. PASASOUK (KA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 5, 2023.

#### S235017

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2023.

#### S239714

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 14, 2023.

#### PEOPLE v. MARTIN (ROMAINE ULYSES)

#### PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (ELIAS CARMONA)

#### 574

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 28, 2023.

#### S239971

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 10, 2023.

#### S276239

## MEANS (LAURA LEESHA) ON H.C.

**PEOPLE v. CHEARY** (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to July 14, 2023.

**S277736** B314386 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 30, 2023.

#### S278396

## CHAVIRA (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 16, 2023.

#### **S279381** F082233 Fifth Appellate District

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file

## PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHNNY)

#### ZHANG (JINSHU "JOHN") v. S.C. (DENTONS U.S. LLP)

## RAFFERTY (AMANDA) v.

## DEL MONTE FOODS, INC.

the answer to petition for review is extended to May 19, 2023.

#### S279616 C094406 Third Appellate District

#### LaCASSE (MEGAN) v. USANA HEALTH SCIENCES, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to May 18, 2023.

## S274625E073766 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2RODRIGUEZ (EVERARDO) v.FCA US, LLC

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Katherine M. Kopp for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

**S274625** E073766 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

#### RODRIGUEZ (EVERARDO) v. FCA US, LLC

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Christopher J. Cariello for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

**S277633** B317366 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE M.G.** Counsel appointment order filed

The order filed on April 19, 2023, appointing Anne Fragasso as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

The California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S278121** B318751 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE ATHENA R.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Terence M. Chucas is hereby appointed to represent appellant J. O. on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S278127** B315986 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE AN.L.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Karriem Baker is hereby appointed to represent appellant Maria D. on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S278127** B315986 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE AN.L.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Roni Keller is hereby appointed to represent appellant Hector L. on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278592 C095977 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. TOSCHI (MICHAEL KEFFE SHELLEY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Allan Junker is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278714 C095526 Third Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Aaron Joseph Schechter is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S278726 B317490 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sylvia Beckham is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### **S278770** F079285 Fifth Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kyle Gee is hereby appointed to represent

# **PEOPLE v. SPRATT (IVORY JORDAN)**

#### PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (DANIEL CHRISTOPHER)

PEOPLE v. SUTTON (CEDRIC JERMAINE)

#### 577

appellant Darnell Devon Wheat on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### **S278770** F079285 Fifth Appellate District

#### PEOPLE v. SUTTON (CEDRIC JERMAINE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kendall D. Wasley is hereby appointed to represent appellant Cedric Jermaine Sutton on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278836	F081267 Fifth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. LAWS</b>
		(ANTHONY JEROME)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon Wrubel is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278927	E077359 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ</b>
		(PEDRO PAREDES)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Stephen M. Lathrop is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S195568

#### PEOPLE v. BELL (CIMARRON BERNARD)

Order filed

"Appellant's Motion to File a Supplemental Brief and to File Oversize Brief," filed on May 9, 2023, is granted. Respondent must serve and file a second supplemental respondent's brief within 30 days of the date of this order. Any second supplemental reply brief by the appellant must be served and filed within 30 days of the filing of the supplemental respondent's brief.

#### S278909

#### McCALL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that INGRID McCALL (Respondent), State Bar Number 281893, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.

- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 1, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 1, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S278912

#### SIMONINI ON DISCPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID MICHAEL SIMONINI (Respondent), State Bar Number 64615, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on November 14, 2022);
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 23, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 23, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for the year 2024. If Respondent fails to pay costs as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, costs due and payable immediately.

#### S278917

## BAGHDASSARIAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ALEC JOHN BAGHDASSARIAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 265112, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Yuan Fu Guo, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 27, 2020 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S278919

#### **BAILEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN CLIFFORD BAILEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 146382, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the

Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 25, 2023; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 25, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation. Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by

## S278923

law.

#### HANNON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK J. HANNON (Respondent), State Bar Number 107829, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 1, 2023; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 1, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by

law.

#### S278924

#### LACHER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PAMELA GAYLE LACHER (Respondent), State Bar Number 174895, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - Respondent makes restitution to Lavell Catlin, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$1,346.67 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 2, 2019 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law; and
  - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on February 7, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on February 7, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S279904

#### ABRAHAM ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DIANE LYNN ABRAHAM, State Bar Number 189170, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279906

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of HAROLD ROBERT ANDERSON, State Bar Number 78712, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279907

**BEAVES ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARTHA ELIZABETH BEAVES, State Bar Number 196621, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279908

**BLACK ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LINDA LEE BLACK, State Bar Number 167602, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279911

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SHER ALLEN BLACK, State Bar Number 176909, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## 583

### **BLACK ON RESIGNATION**

# RESIGNATION

ANDERSON ON

#### BUONASSISSI ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CHARLES ANTHONY BUONASSISSI, State Bar Number 232929, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279913

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MELISSA ANNE CHOI, State Bar Number 209921, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279915

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NOELLE SHERENE COOK, State Bar Number 100594, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279916

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAIME LUIS FUSTER, State Bar Number 137582, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279917

GRESCHNER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DONNA MARGARET GRESCHNER, State Bar Number 244496, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279918

JENSEN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CHARLES EDWARD JENSEN, State Bar Number 299365, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### **CHOI ON RESIGNATION**

## FUSTER ON RESIGNATION

**COOK ON RESIGNATION** 

#### Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KENNETH LARRY KHACHIGIAN, State Bar Number 45436, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279920

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BRANT THOMAS LEE, State Bar Number 157748, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279921

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT LEE, State Bar Number 161054, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279922

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WERNER SIEGFRIED LEWIN, JR., State Bar Number 98164, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279923

#### **STEWART ON** RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WENDY HEATHER STEWART, State Bar Number 237253, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279924

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SINIKKA KAARINA SUIKKONEN, State Bar Number 242730, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### **KHACHIGIAN ON RESIGNATION**

#### LEWIN, JR., ON RESIGNATION

LEE ON RESIGNATION

#### LEE ON RESIGNATION

SUIKKONEN ON RESIGNATION

#### 585

#### WEISSMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DONNA SUE LEVIT WEISSMAN, State Bar Number 86335, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279926

#### ZELTSERMAN ON RESIGNATION

WOLF ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALAN EDWARD ZELTSERMAN, State Bar Number 170136, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S279927

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SARALYN TUCKER WOLF, State Bar Number 231322, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 10, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Guerrero, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S271501	Larry Quishenberry, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. UnitedHealthcare, Inc. et al., Defendants and Respondents. Cause called. Russ Balisok argued for Appellant.	
	Kahn Scolnick argued for Respondents. Mr. Balisok replied. Cause submitted.	
S267138	The People, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. Monica Marie Martinez, Defendant and Appellant. Cause called. Samuel Harbourt, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent. John M. Rorabaugh argued for Appellant.	
	Mr. Harbourt replied. Cause submitted.	

County of Santa Clara, Petitioner, v. Superior Court of Santa Clara County, Respondent; Doctors Medical Center of Modesto et al., Real Parties in Interest.

Cause called. Mitchell C. Tilner argued for Real Parties in Interest. Susan P. Greenberg, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Petitioner.

Mr. Tilner replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.