[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 2023.]

462

SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S278592 C095977 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TOSCHI (MICHAEL KEELE SHELLEY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mitchell*, S277314 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278614 B313907 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

SHUSHA, INC. v. CENTURY-NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Another Planet Entertainment v. Vigilant Insurance Company*, S277893 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278714 C095526 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SPRATT (IVORY JORDAN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

The request for an order directing publication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278770 F079285 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SUTTON (CEDRIC JERMAINE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Rojas*, S275835, and *People v. Hardin*, S277487 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278786 B320627 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (MARY SUSAN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Walker*, S278309 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278810 D077636 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HUBBS (NORMAN JAMES)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Camacho v. Superior Court*, S273391 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278836 F081267 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LAWS (ANTHONY JEROME)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The People's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rojas*, S275835 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendant's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278927 E077359 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (PEDRO PAREDES)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Vaquera*, S258376 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S270424 B307066 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE M.K.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S271084 B308299 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE DESTINY V.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S271097 F081725 Fifth Appellate District **IN RE N.N.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S273262 B311414 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **IN RE LUKE S.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S273342 B309348 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **IN RE CASEY E.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S274842 A163190 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE Z.T.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S276496 B317112 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **IN RE V.G.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *In re D.P.* (2023) 14 Cal.5th 266. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278330

DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA v. NEWSOM (GAVIN)

Petitioner's request for judicial notice is granted. The petition for writ of mandate is denied. S278483 A166944 First Appellate District, Div. 2 SABRAH (WALEED) v. S.C. (KITAMI)

Petition for review denied

S278485 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (LOPEZ)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S278493 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (LOPEZ)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S278496 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (LOPEZ)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S278497 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (LOPEZ)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S278498 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (LOPEZ)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S278500 H049878 Sixth Appellate District O'CONNOR (SHANNON

MARIE) ON H.C.

S278502 B323095 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SAN JOSE NIHONMACHI, LLC v. JAPANTOWN **DEVELOPMENT, L.P.**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S278597 SAN JOSE NIHONMACHI, LLC v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE

DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE

(JAPANTOWN

DEVELOPMENT, L.P.)

The petition for writ of certiorari or mandate is denied

S278618 H049161 Sixth Appellate District SANTA CLARA, COUNTY OF

v. S.C. (AT&T MOBILITY

LLC)

Petition for review denied

E080432 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S278623 SANDOVAL (DENNIS MICHAEL) v. HUPP (PAUL)

Petition for review denied

S278635 F083471 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. USILTON III (WILLIAM BECK)

Petition for review denied

S278642 B310811 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA** GAS COMPANY v. PUBLIC **UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S278674 A165852 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GUEVARA (LORENZO)

S278684 H050441 Sixth Appellate District

SAN JOSE SHARKS LLC v. S.C. (FACTORY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY)

Application to transfer denied

The applications to appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).) The petition to transfer cause H050441, currently pending before the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, to this court for decision is denied.

S278715 B314033/B317917 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 KANE (DAWN) v. HIEKALI (NASSER)

Petition for review denied

S278731 G062265 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 DUARTE (BANI MARCELA)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S278744 B295181/B295315 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HILL RHF HOUSING

PARTNERS, L.P. v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S278778 D079801 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **H. (D.) & G. (B.), MARRIAGE**

OF

Petition for review denied

S278782 B316038 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 LESHEM (SHMUEL) v.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN

CALIFORNIA

Petition for review denied

S278789 G062230 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 WALKER (SCOTT J.) v. S.C.

(WALKER)

S278851 C089456 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. JONES (TIMOTHY RAY)

Petition for review denied

S278863 C094738 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JAVIER MENDOZA)

Petition for review denied

S278864 D079272 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 CASTRONOVO

(CATHERINE) v. CASTRONOVO (MARY

ELLEN)

Petition for review denied

S278871 F084373 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BLAIR (TRAVIS

JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S278881 B315417 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WILSON

(MARCUS)

Petition for review denied

S278916 FREDERICKSON (DANIEL CARL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Daniel Carl Frederickson's "Petition for Writ of Error Coram Nobis" filed on March 6, 2023, is denied.

S278918 C093875/C095978 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SILVA (ALBERT

JORGE)

Petition for review denied

S278920 E080195 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 HUTSON (RANDEL) ON H.C.

B316847 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 S278953 PEOPLE v. FLORES (JASON **ALEXIS**) Petition for review denied S278955 A164987 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **SAVE LIVERMORE DOWNTOWN v. CITY OF** LIVERMORE (EDEN **HOUSING, INC.)** Petition for review denied S278979 B317641 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PALUMBO (VICTOR) v. WESTLEY (DAVID) Petition for review denied S278994 B319323 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. KARELS (WILLIAM ANTHONY) Petition for review denied S279008 A165488 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (CESAR) Petition for review denied S279012 C095235 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (ANGEL PINEDA) Petition for review denied

S279014 B309862 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SHANKAR (ARVIND) v. MEDPOINT MANAGEMENT, INC.

Petition for review denied

S279016 B326543 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **HERRERA (RUBEN) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied

S279017 B314070 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. VIERRA (DAVID)**Petition for review denied

S279026 D080225 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DUENAS (MAXIMILIANO) Petition for review denied S279032 C097953 Third Appellate District **MUNTEAN (SHANE** CHRISTIAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied S279039 A167293 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **SEYMOUR (LEON MELVIN)** v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review & application for stay denied S279052 C095585 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GONZALES (JOSEPH) Petition for review denied S279055 F080953 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BARRERA (BRENDA ESTEFANIA) Petition for review denied S279061 B315453 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. NIXON (LEONARD RAY) Petition for review denied PEOPLE v. MARCUS S279079 C095769 Third Appellate District (DEMETRIOUS MONTRAIL) Petition for review denied

S279093 H049848 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RUIZ (EDGAR ALFREDO)

Petition for review denied

S279094 E077448 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SGARLATO (CAMELIA) v. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

S279095 A167304 First Appellate District, Div. 5

WAGNER (MICHAEL THOMAS) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S279096 CRAVEN (KALVIN) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S279129 H048625 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ

(EDGARDO)

Petition for review denied

S279332 DUMBRAVA (SEBASTIAN) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S279472 C098264 Third Appellate District

JOHNSON (CHRISTIAN L.) v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION)

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

S274130 ATUATASI (KAMAU D.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277765 MILTON (WILLIAM) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S278337 LASTER (MICHAEL JULIUS)
ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

LITTLEJOHN (EDWARD) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S278354

HAMILTON (MARQUIS LAVON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S278360 BEASLEY III (ROY RAMSON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278361 CASARES, JR., (EDWARD)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278389 HENDERSON (JAMES

ROLAND) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278479 AMADI-SALAAM (RISHARD

HASAUN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278480 MILES (TRACY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278482 DEARWESTER (FRANK LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

THOMPSON (SEKOU KWANE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

Evans, J., was recused and did not participate.

S278507

NEWTON (ARNOLD LEE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S278546

COX (EVERETT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S278575

VERNON, JR., (JIMMY LEE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S278580

COTA (EDWARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278604

QUAIR III (DAVID SABINO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction].)

NEWTON (ARNOLD LEE) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S278931 QUAIR III (DAVID SABINO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278950 ARNOLD (CHAD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S279072 LITTLETON (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S279156 HANDY (MICHAEL DWAYNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S279264 MAYORGA, JR., (NOEL

GEORGE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278076 D080906 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 FLORES (ROY) v. C.H.

ROBINSON COMPANY, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278504 B315277 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 IN RE SOPHIE K.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278548 F082800 Fifth Appellate District MAMA K'S DINER, LLC v. AMCO INSURANCE

COMPANY

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S278660 F082437 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LOWE (RUSSELL

LEE)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278668 D079665 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BOFFO CINEMAS, LLC v.

FIREMAN'S FUND

INSURANCE COMPANY

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278698 A165722 First Appellate District, Div. 2 D. (JEFFORY) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278736 B313600 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PHARMBLUE CALIFORNIA

LLC v. DEPARTMENT OF

HEALTH CARE SERVICES

Publication request denied (case closed)

S279199 A164947/A165208 First Appellate District, Div. 3 EDAIS (MUSTAFA) v. S.C. (FOUCRAULT)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S278767 B322534 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. STAFFORD (ISAIAH JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278855 C094425 Third Appellate District PENAN (ALAN) v. MOLINA (MIGUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278879 G062133 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 THOMAS (DENISE) v. S.C. (McKEON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278880 G062159 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **THOMAS (DENISE) v. S.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278882 G062177 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 THOMAS (DENISE) v. S.C. (McKEON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278884 D079536 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PRECIADO (RODRIGO RODRIGUEZ) v. FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2023.

S278897 B322164 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE N.R.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2023.

S152463 PEOPLE v. HILL (IVAN J.)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Senior Deputy State Public Defender Jessica E. Oats, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's reply brief is granted to June 12, 2023. The court anticipates that after that date, only five further extensions totaling about 305 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180711

PEOPLE v. KLING (RANDOLPH CLIFTON)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is extended to June 16, 2023.

S185810

PEOPLE v. THREATS (DERLYN RAY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Jessica Oats', representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 11, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 12, 2023. After that date, only five further extensions totaling 306 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S199741

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (MANLING TSANG)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Linda F. Robertson's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 11, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 20, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 175 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S210054

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that appellant Carlos Contreras' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 20, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 22, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S211060

PEOPLE v. GALVAN (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea G. Asaro's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 9, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 12, 2023. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 242 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212030

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Amanda Lopez's representation that respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 17, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 13, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 34 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212477

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 20, 2023.

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 20, 2023.

S214917

PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 20, 2023.

S220332

PEOPLE v. TURNER (CHESTER DEWAYNE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 23, 2023.

S225017

PEOPLE v. KING (COREY LYNN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Stacy S. Schwartz's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 17, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 16, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 31 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239380

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (GILBERT BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

Based on counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 10, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 12, 2023. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing dated. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631 (d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239963

PEOPLE v. MEZA (HERACLIO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 13, 2023.

S242076

PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS (DAVID REY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 14, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 5, 2023. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 39 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S275614

FIORE (BRIAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to May 30, 2023.

S275835 F080361 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ROJAS (FERNANDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 19, 2023.

S276208

RAMIREZ (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to July 17, 2023.

S277211 B310118 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

LOS ANGELES, CITY OF v. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLC

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 23, 2023.

S277314 A163476 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MITCHELL (SUNEE LYNN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to brief on the merits is extended to May 24, 2023.

S277487 B315434 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. HARDIN (TONY)** Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 12, 2023.

S277628 D079364/D079369 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 MORGAN (BARBARA) v. YGRENE ENERGY FUND, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents' Ygrene Energy Fund, Inc., et al., and respondents' Renew Financial Group LLC., et al., and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 23, 2023.

S277893 ANOTHER PLANET
ENTERTAINMENT, LLC v.
VIGILANT INSURANCE

COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 5, 2023.

S277910 A162977 First Appellate District, Div. 3

KOWALCZYK (GERALD JOHN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 14, 2023.

S278262 C094949 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CARTER (ISHMAEL MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 23, 2023.

S278466 MADDEN ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – ALISON M. MADDEN

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to May 9, 2023.

S279343 D079927 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BEST REST MOTEL, INC. v.

SEQUOIA INSURANCE COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to May 10, 2023.

S279367 PORCHE (JOSEPH LAWRENCE), IN RE

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response by State Bar is extended to May 12, 2023.

S278481 A162709 First Appellate District, Div. 4

JOHN'S GRILL, INC. v. THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Jonathan M. Freiman for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S277121 B317652 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. SOVALBARRO (ALEXANDER)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Teresa Biagini is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277633 B317366 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE M.G.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Anne Fragasso is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277702 H046931 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. STEWARD (AARON LAMONT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Candace Hale is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277962 H049413 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARELLANO (LUIS RAMON MANZANO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Peter Goldscheider is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S278254 F083684 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CORRALES (DANIEL ORTEGA)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Navarro is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278309 B319961 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. WALKER (MAURICE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jason Szydlik is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S278459 B316538 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. CUMMINGS (CARL CORNELIUS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Alex Coolman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278490 PEOPLE v. CHARLES (DION PATRICK)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Carl Fabian is hereby appointed to represent appellant Adreiona Taylor on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278490 PEOPLE v. CHARLES (DION PATRICK)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Theresa Osterman Stevenson is hereby appointed to represent appellant Dion Patrick Charles on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278503 B318060 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. KELLY (GLORIA NYLEEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278512 E077444 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. STEELE (TEJAY ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Janice Mazur is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278513 F083245 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GARCIA (EMMANUEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kaiya R. Pirolo is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278603 C095199 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SMITH (JOSE CARL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James Bisnow is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278608 G060701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ALEJANDRO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard Schwartzberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278610 D079539 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. NASH (ROBERT)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Patrick M. Ford is hereby appointed to

represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278640 D079641 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ELDRIDGE (REGINALD)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Raymond DiGuiseppe is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S278654 B315418 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. CABADA (JOSE MANUEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Nancy Wechsler is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S118775 PEOPLE v. WILSON (JAVANCE MICKEY)

Order filed

Appellant's Request for Hearing on Motion for Stay of Appeal and Limited Remand, filed March 21, 2023, is granted. The parties will be afforded 15 additional minutes during the oral argument for appellant's direct appeal to address the Motion for Stay of Appeal and Limited Remand.

S269401 LOS ANGELES TIMES
COMMUNICATIONS LLC v.
STATE BAR OF

STATE DAK OF

CALIFORNIA (GIRARDI)

Order filed

The application of Peyman Roshan filed April 11, 2023, is hereby denied. Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.

S275530 B307156/B312647 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 GERRO (GEORGE J.) v. BLOCKFI LENDING, LLC

Order filed

The motion filed by Marco A. Pulido to withdraw as counsel for defendant on April 5, 2023, is hereby granted.

S278063

RUBALCAVA ON RESIGNATION

Order filed

Due to the State Bar of California having received confirmation on February 10, 2023, that the attorney ROLAND GRANADOS RUBALCAVA, #67362 was deceased prior to the order of resignation filed on January 11, 2023, the order of resignation, is hereby stricken nunc pro tunc.

S276946

SALZWEDEL ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for writ of review & application for stay denied; discipline imposed

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

The court orders that WILLIAM AUGUST SALZWEDEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 225331, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - a. Respondent makes restitution to the Conservator of the Estate of Lester G. Moore, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$96,077.14 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 25, 2013 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law; and
 - b. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on September 16, 2022.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on September 16, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277667

JIMENEZ ON DISCPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ, State Bar Number 249468, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on November 8, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on November 8, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be

notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278463

COX ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LAWRENCE JAY COX (Respondent), State Bar Number 147376, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 6, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278468

SAFAVI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that HAMID SAFAVI (Respondent), State Bar Number 290252, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 6, 2023.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions

of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 6, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S278469

WELCH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICHAEL DAVID WELCH (Respondent), State Bar Number 111022, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

ORTIZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SALVADOR ORTIZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 237940, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Ciro Renato Mejia in the amount of \$1,550 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 19, 2020;
- (2) Rosa Edith Mazariego in the amount of \$4,020 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 20, 2019;
- (3) Martin Espinosa in the amount of \$4,810 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 27, 2010;
- (4) Fermin B. Mercado and Maricela Garcia in the amount of \$4,530 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 1, 2019; and
- (5) Margarita Ramirez in the amount of \$4,350 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 1, 2021.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$7,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278570

NEWMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL PAUL NEWMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 293907, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the

Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on August 2, 2022; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on August 2, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278571

COMFORT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that NAOMI SUE COMFORT (Respondent), State Bar Number 195582, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Robert H. Covington, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 28, 2021 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money

judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278572

DOBBINS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER PHILLIP DOBBINS (Respondent), State Bar Number 258002, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 4, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 4, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

HARRIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHAD ANDREW HARRIS (Respondent), State Bar Number 181103, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 22, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 22, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S279416

BONNEY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LEON JON BONNEY, State Bar Number 109010, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279417

COCHRAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TOD ALEXANDER COCHRAN, State Bar Number 168946, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DEVLIN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CHRISTOPHER DAMIAN DEVLIN, State Bar Number 169539, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279419

DOVER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LYNN NALANI DOVER, State Bar Number 131804, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279420

DURBIN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of VALERIE ANNE DURBIN, State Bar Number 130635, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279421

FOGG ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TAMARA K. FOGG, State Bar Number 110393, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279422

GILBERT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KAY MIRANDA GILBERT, State Bar Number 143494, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279424

HUNTER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GARY B. HUNTER, State Bar Number 208258, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KNOWLES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID EDWARD KNOWLES, State Bar Number 117558, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279427

LAMBERSON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ELISE SHARON LAMBERSON, State Bar Number 145926, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279429

O'LEARY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARTIN JOHN O'LEARY, State Bar Number 106546, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279532

VOSS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD KENNETH VOSS, State Bar Number 148843, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279533

BANGERTER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STEVEN R. BANGERTER, State Bar Number 167689, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279534

BENOIT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of C. DENISE BENOIT, State Bar Number 127271, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BIVINS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMES D. BIVINS, State Bar Number 98339, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279536

BOZONE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of AMY JOANNE BOZONE, State Bar Number 163309, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279538

EKBLAW ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KARLA INGRID EKBLAW, State Bar Number 121593, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279539

GABER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WHA-AI PAULINE GABER, State Bar Number 204412, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279540

GRABOWSKI, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of THEODORE JOSEPH GRABOWSKI, JR., State Bar Number 117832, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S279541

GREEN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LISA S. GREEN, State Bar Number 109840, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **TRANSFER ORDERS**The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division One:

1.	E080677	People v. Travis Hicks
2.	E080395	People v. Elvis Tate
3.	E079256	Mission Springs Water District v. Desert Water Agency et al.; City of
		Coachella Water Authority
4.	E080513	People v. Felipe Bernabe



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION MAY 9 and 10, 2023

Due to scheduled upgrades to the audio-visual system in the San Francisco courtroom, the May 9–10, 2023 oral argument session will be conducted with all justices and counsel participating remotely. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 9 and 10, 2023.

TUESDAY, MAY 9, 2023 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Kuciemba (Corby) et al. v. Victory Woodworks, Inc., S274191
- (2) Adolph (Erik) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., S274671
- (3) Family Health Centers of San Diego v. State Department of Health Care Services, S270326

1:30 P.M.

- (4) Boermeester (Matthew) v. Carry (Ainsley) et al., S263180
- (5) California Medical Association v. Aetna Health of California, Inc., \$269212
- (6) People v. Carney (James Leo) et al., S260063

WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 2023 — 9:00 A.M.

- (7) Quishenberry (Larry) v. UnitedHealthcare, Inc. et al., S271501
- (8) People v. Martinez (Monica Marie), S267138
- (9) County of Santa Clara v. Superior Court of Santa Clara County (Doctors Medical Center of Modesto et al., Real Parties in Interest), S274927

 GUERRERO	
Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)