

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S278309 B319961 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. WALKER
(MAURICE)**

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Does the amendment to Penal Code section 1385, subdivision (c) that requires trial courts to “afford great weight” to enumerated mitigating circumstances (Stats. 2021, ch. 721) create a rebuttable presumption in favor of dismissing an enhancement unless the trial court finds dismissal would endanger public safety?

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 86 Cal.App.5th 386, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278121 B318751 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE ATHENA R.**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Dezi C.*, S275578 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278127 B315986 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE AN.L.**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Dezi C.*, S275578 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278427 E077878 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. BARNES**
(ANTHONY TYRONE)
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278503 B318060 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. KELLY (GLORIA**
NYLEEN)
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mitchell*, S277314 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278610 D079539 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. NASH (ROBERT)**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Vaquera*, S258376 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278640 D079641 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ELDRIDGE
(REGINALD)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. McDavid*, S275940 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278654 B315418 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. CABADA (JOSE
MANUEL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S267403 C091345 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CLARK (ERNEST
ERASTUS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S267865 C091028 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BROWNLEE
(BENJAMIN JUSTIN)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S267909 C091683 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ
(MICHAEL)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268036 C092275 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. EADDY
(DESMOND)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268174 E075387 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CARTER (PIERRE
DEMITRIUS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268439 E075801 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. FAUMUI (VAVAO
POLO)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268729 B308270 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG
(CHARLES LEE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S269366 C093046 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BARRON
(ISAIAH)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S271139 H047156 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BREW
(EMMANUEL LARS)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272048 C093500 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CURRIE, JR.,
(WALTER)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272111 B309086 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. AGUILAR
(DANIEL)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272357 C093571 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BANKS (ROBBIE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272423 C093647 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BLACKMAN
(ROGER WELLBORN)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S276254 C095101 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. BURNETT
(CARLOS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S276874 E079192 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. BROWN (JOHN CURTIS)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider whether to exercise its discretion to conduct an independent review of the record or provide any other relief in light of *People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232-233 & fn. 6. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277305

GODOY, SR., (MAURICE) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION EIGHT (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S277500

POTTS (DARRYL LEE) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S277858

SAIF'ULLAH (KHALIFAH E.D.) v. SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S278036 D081254 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

LAWRIE (MATTHEW) v. RODRIGUEZ (YVONNE LAWRIE)

Petition for review denied

S278124 B325415 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

LOPEZ (ISRAEL E.) v. S.C. (SUNNY ACRE, LLC)

Petition for review denied

S278130 B322696 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. LEON (JOSE GOMEZ)

S278133 B324155 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

CRUMB (EALISE) v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & APC TECHNOLOGY

S278134 A160767 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. BROWN (SHAWN CHRISTOPHER)

S278137 A165501 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Defendant's application to file the petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(b), 8.47.) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(d)(6). The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition for review under seal.
The petition for review is denied.

BROWN (SHAWN) ON H.C.

S278140 D081434 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. STONEBREAKER (SCOTT CRAIG)

S278143

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

PERRY (MICHAEL M.) v. S.C. (NASCH PROPERTIES LLC)

S278147 G061547 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

CALHOON (ERNEST) v. DOF II CITY TOWER

S278171 C094782/C095565 Third Appellate District

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is denied.

IN RE A.A.

S278188 B306213 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **H.P. AUTOMOTIVE & TOW,
INC. v. CITY OF
HUNTINGTON PARK**

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).)
The petition for review is denied.

S278196 E074525 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **E-COMMERCE LIGHTING,
INC. v. E-COMMERCE
TRADE, LLC (BANC OF
CALIFORNIA, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION)**

Petition for review denied

S278225 G062113 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **THOMAS (DENISE) v. S.C.**
Petition for review denied

S278243 G060980 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. AGUILAR-
CALIXTO (IRVING ABEL)**

Petition for review denied

S278268 **PEOPLE v. GONZALES
(JAMES A.)**

Petition for review denied

S278269 B311144 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **AIDS HEALTHCARE
FOUNDATION v. CITY OF
LOS ANGELES**

Petition for review denied

S278275 D077999 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **SAN DIEGO POLICE
DEPARTMENT v. S.
(GEOFFREY)**

Petition for review denied

S278276 E076529 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**NIZ (TIFFANY) v.
SOUTHERN GLAZER'S
WINE & SPIRITS, LLC**

S278292

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**SOUTHERN GLAZER'S
WINE & SPIRITS, LLC v.
COURT OF APPEAL,
FOURTH APPELLATE
DISTRICT, DIVISION TWO
(NIZ)**

S278308 D081387 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**RHODES (LEILA) v. S.C.
(ASSAF)**

S278326 F084310 Fifth Appellate District
Petition for review denied

IN RE G.K.

S278345 F082322 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**WHITLACH (JAMES R.) v.
PREMIER VALLEY, INC.**

S278397 B315162 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**LAW OFFICES OF GARY
KURTZ v. MARKOWITZ
(PHILIP)**

S278419 B316402 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LEE (CHARLES
RICHARD)**

S278422 H047147 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. REYNA (LUIS
JARA)**

S278429	A164755 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. LIPSCOMB (KEVIN)
Petition for review denied		
S278443	B312896 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. NIKOLAYAN (EDWARD)
Petition for review denied		
S278445	A164862 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (LAMAR)
Petition for review denied		
S278487	B316393 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (ARMANDO)
Petition for review denied		
S278553	B313404 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. MENDIOLA (JOSE)
Petition for review denied		
S278576	A165858 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. GRAYSON (ERICK DONTAY)
Petition for review denied		
S278582	B322600 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. DOMINGUEZ- GOMEZ (VICTOR)
Petition for review denied		
S278584	E080029 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	DERBIGNY (ALEXIS DOMINIQUE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for review denied		
S278595	C095679 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. SCOTT (DEANDRE CERRONE)
Petition for review denied		

S278596 H050589 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**ELLIOTT (JAMES T.) ON
H.C.**

S278600 H048468 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BRAVO
(FRANCISCO GARCIA)**

S278606 C095512 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GREGORY
(CHARLES WALTER)**

S278611 A166833 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**BERRY (JOHN) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S278630 B307581 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HAUN (DIANA J.)

S278641 A165177 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JONES (THOMAS
DEAN)**

S278650 B319239 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. QUIAHUA
(JERONIMO)**

S278651 B319622 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review denied

IN RE P.V.

S278664 D073015 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LITTLEFIELD
(RONALD JAMES)**

S278669 A167176 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MOSS (CODY BERTRAN) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S278672 C095260 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ROBINSON
(OLYRIC)**

S278675 C090994 Third Appellate District

Defendant Gi's motion to join defendant Ackerson's petition for review is granted.
Defendant Gi's petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.
Defendant Ackerson's petition for review is denied.

**PEOPLE v. ACKERSON
(ELTON ALVIN)**

S278676 F083624 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MASSIE (LUIS
JESUS)**

S278682 F082551 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (EDDIE
AYON)**

S278727 C095747 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

IN RE D.R.

S275257

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**MORALES (FERNANDO
TORRES) ON H.C.**

S277416

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**EDWARDS (RAUL
ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

S277455**CRAWFORD (DARRYL P.)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S277477**WATTS (MICHAEL LEON)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277492**ROBERSON (MARLON) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277497**KING (SIMON J.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S277521**GAZAWAY (JERALD) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied
Evans, J., was recused and did not participate.

S277524**TRAN (BA T.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S277602**CHAVIRA (VICTOR) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277629**GODOY, SR., (MAURICE) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277642**BRAZIER (KEVIN DEON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277657**CLOUD (MARCELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S277750**JEFFERSON (TAIWAN DESHAWN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277762**POLLARD, JR.,
(THEOPOLIS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277821**DOUGLAS (PATRICK CHRISTIAN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277825**TRAN (BA T.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277828**PEASLEY (DAVID S.) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S277844**OLIC (MILORAD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277845**JOINTER (MICHAEL
ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277881**SHAREEF (QAYED
MURTAZA) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277883**JOHNSON (MATTHEW
LOUIS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278355**TRAN (BA T.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278478

A164629 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**SAINT IGNATIUS
NEIGHBORHOOD
ASSOCIATION v. CITY &
COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO**

Depublication ordered (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is granted. The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed November 18, 2022, which appears at 85 Cal.App.5th 1063. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(1).) The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S277706**PARK I SPECTRUM LLC v.
SOBO (ALEXANDRA)**

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S278023 B315167 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**VARGAS (NATALIA) v. THE
VONS COMPANIES, INC.**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278026 B314239 Second Appellate District, Div. 7**PEOPLE v. DELGADO
(JAIME)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S278420 D079001 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. HENLEY
(HEATHER LEATRICE)**

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S278846 D081299 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**COLE (GEOFF) v. S.C.
(ZEINER)**

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled proceeding is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S278248 B319417 Second Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. BRYANT
(STANFORD)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 21, 2023.

S278324 A165607 First Appellate District, Div. 2**K. (SARAH) v. S.C. (SONOMA
COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES
DEPARTMENT)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2023.

S278333 B312232 Second Appellate District, Div. 5**LEMM (STEPHEN) v.
ECOLAB INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 9, 2023.

S278382 A166896 First Appellate District, Div. 3

ADAMS (SARRITA ANASTASIA) v. S.C. (BILLINGS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 28, 2023.

S278391 C091172 Third Appellate District

ROCKLIN, CITY OF v. LEGACY FAMILY ADVENTURES-ROCKLIN, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 28, 2023.

S278394 B325061 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

SALGUERO (FRANDER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 28, 2023.

S278412 G061122/G061124 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

KEMP (R.) v. S.C. (ACCURATE BACKGROUND LLC)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 1, 2023.

S278428 B310170 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

KAMINSKY (ESTHER) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 2, 2023.

S278437 A158868 First Appellate District, Div. 4

BADER (SUSAN JEAN) v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 3, 2023.

S278440 D079752 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **SAVE 30TH STREET
PARKING v. CITY OF SAN
DIEGO (ORTIZ
CORPORATION)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 2, 2023.

S278454 G062019 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **BISHARA (MARIAM) v. S.C.
(ALTAMED HEALTH
SERVICES CORP.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 3, 2023.

S278476 B314858 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **REMSEN (LAWRENCE) v.
SHAFFER (JENNIFER)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 4, 2023.

S278483 A166944 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **SABRAH (WALEED) v. S.C.
(KITAMI)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 4, 2023.

S278488 E080116 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **UPLAND, CITY OF v. S.C.
(VAGNOZZI)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 4, 2023.

S278500 H049878 Sixth Appellate District **O'CONNOR (SHANNON
MARIE) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 5, 2023.

S278502 B323095 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **SAN JOSE NIHONMACHI,
LLC v. JAPANTOWN
DEVELOPMENT, L.P.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 11, 2023.

S278505 G061046 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **SIMON (JOYCE M.) v.
COUNTY OF ORANGE**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 5, 2023.

S278510 A162852 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **JENKINS (CHARLES) v.
BRANDT-HAWLEY (SUSAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 5, 2023.

S278514 B305911 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **SHAPIRO (SERGIO) v.
FINANCIAL SERVICES
VEHICLE TRUST**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 5, 2023.

S278591 B325769 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **ESTRADA (ERICA) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 11, 2023.

S146528 **PEOPLE v. SNYDER
(JANEEN MARIE) &
THORNTON (MICHAEL
FORREST)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Stephanie H. Chow's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 17, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 26, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 175 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S217774**PEOPLE v. THOMSON (JOHN WAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Paul Couenhoven's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 17, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 17, 2023. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 245 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S225020**PEOPLE v. MURTAZA (IFTEKHAR)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Junichi P. Semitsu's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 20, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 22, 2023. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 29 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S229694**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (LUIS JESUS)**

Application to file over-length brief granted

Appellant's, "Application for Leave to File an Overlength Opening Brief in Excess of 102,000 Words, Declaration of Counsel," filed on March 20, 2023, is granted. The opening brief must not exceed 150,000 words.

S229694**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (LUIS JESUS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel J. Wilder Lee's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 19, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 19, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S275272 B306321 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**LOS ANGELES POLICE
PROTECTIVE LEAGUE v.
CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae brief is extended to May 17, 2023.

S275746 E075532 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. CLARK (KEJUAN
DARCELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 24, 2023.

S276140

SANAI ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time denied – CYRUS MARK SANAI

The application filed by petitioner requesting an extension of time to file the petition for rehearing on March 15, 2023, is hereby denied.

S277766

JANSEN (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 28, 2023.

S278327

V. (S.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to March 24, 2023.

S278744 B295181/B295315 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **HILL RHF HOUSING PARTNERS, L.P. v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to April 6, 2023.

S279135 **CLARK (HELGA G. SUAREZ) v. S.C. (NAHUE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S278144 **ACCUSATION OF TSIRTSIS**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278150 **ACCUSATION OF TSIRTSIS**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278151 **ACCUSATION OF TSIRTSIS**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278152 **ACCUSATION OF TSIRTSIS**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278194 **ACCUSATION OF TSIRTSIS**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278383 **ACCUSATION OF WARD**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278392 **ACCUSATION OF MOORMAN**
Petition denied (accusation)

S278566**ACCUSATION OF
ROBINSON**

Petition denied (accusation)

S278099**BURTON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN CHRISTOPHER BURTON (Respondent), State Bar Number 86029, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 15, 2022; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 15, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278106**CHANG ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVE SUNGSOO CHANG (Respondent), State Bar Number 205082, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 8, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule

5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278108**FARGEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICAH DAVID FARGEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 240458, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Sarah Jillson-Lee, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$800 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 7, 2021 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278111**HERNANDEZ ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ERICK G. GARCIA HERNANDEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 278603, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of

probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 15, 2022.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 15, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, and 2026. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S278231

**HOLLINGSWORTH, JR., ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GERALD VERNON HOLLINGSWORTH, JR., (Respondent), State Bar Number 145081, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

December 6, 2022; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 6, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, and 2026. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S278235

KAGEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID L. KAGEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 58961, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Benjamin Oren, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$25,000, plus 10 percent interest per year from October 25, 2020 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278238**YANG ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ELIZABETH YANG (Respondent), State Bar Number 249713, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 1, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on December 1, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law

S278240**PERUN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT KENNETH PERUN (Respondent), State Bar Number 175281, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 16, 2022.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on November 16, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278241**TU ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that YU-CHING TU (Respondent), State Bar Number 280208, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$6,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278242**MAFFEO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANIEL MATTHEW Maffeo (Respondent), State Bar Number 259359, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 29, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 29, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.