### SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

### S283128 H049983 Sixth Appellate District

### GUTIERREZ (FRANCISCO) v. TOSTADO (URIEL)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 97 Cal.App.5th 786, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.) The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.* 

### S283446

### SAN FRANCISCO, CITY & COUNTY OF v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (WAYMO, LLC)

Held in abeyance

The petition to transfer the cause pending before the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, to this court for decision is denied.

The petition for writ of mandate currently before this court and the request for judicial notice will be held in abeyance pending the resolution of the cause pending before the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

### S283708 C097977 Third Appellate District

### PEOPLE v. JACKSON (TAVARES DESHAWN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Patton*, S279670 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

## S283279B333189 Second Appellate District, Div. 8BANUELOS (MANUEL) v.S.C. (AZUSA POLICE<br/>DEPARTMENT)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted.

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an order directing respondent superior court to show cause why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283391 Petition for rev	B321638 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	VELASQUEZ (JOSE) v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD; THE SALVATION ARMY
S283396 Petition for rev	D081861 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. BERRY- VIERWINDEN (RYAN)
-	B333938 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 or judicial notice are granted. or review is denied.	COSENTINO GROUP, S.A. v. S.C. (SOLANO-CLAUSTRO)
S283410	B306910 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	ARGUETA (EUNICES) v. WORLDWIDE FLIGHT SERVICES, INC.

Petition for review denied

S283422	G062731 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	FALB (MICHELE) v. SCHERER (LYNNE)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S283436	B325927 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SCALLON (JAMES) v. ARCHE (LORI)		
	n to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. ( or review is denied.	Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).)		
S283444	A166311 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. DE GUZMAN, JR., (VENANCIO DIZON)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S283451	B326497 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. MASON (AUGUSTA)		
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied			
S283460	B333831 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. FLETCHER (MATTHEW POWELL)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S283469		SANCHEZ DE TAGLE (AUSTIN ORLANDO) v. S.C.		
(SANCHEZ) (SANCHEZ)				
S283477	D082421 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. NEWSOM (GAVIN)		
Petition for review denied				
S283480	B321803 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. STEVENSON (LAMAR DUWAN)		
Petition for review denied				

S283485	B334019 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	COSENTINO GROUP, S.A. v. S.C. (REYES-GONZALEZ)	
The requests for judicial notice are granted. The petition for review is denied.			
S283493 Petition for rev	D082102 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 iew denied	IN RE A.B.	
<b>S283536</b> Petition for rev	B334153 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied	HALL (STEPHEN E.) v S.C. (DELIS)	
<b>S283544</b> Petition for rev	H051044 Sixth Appellate District iew denied	DO (DAVID (ANH QUAN)) v. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
S283560	E082799 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	CANYON RIDGE MENTAL HEALTH HOSPITAL, INC. v. S.C. (IBARRA)	
Petition for review denied			
S283588	C096521 Third Appellate District	MALIK (MUHAMMAD YOUNAS) v. CARLSON & GEVELINGER	
Petition for review denied			
S283596	A164779 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. SALVANT (MARVEL)	
Petition for review denied			
S283615 Petition for rev	B324039 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 iew denied	HERNANDEZ (JEREMIAH LEO) ON H.C.	

S283630	F084479 Fifth Appellate District	MELKONIAN ENTERPRISES, INC. v. SUN-MAID GROWERS OF CALIFORNIA
	on to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. for review is denied.	
S283640	B320513 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SWITZER (KAYE) v. BIG TICKET PICTURES INC.
Petition for re	view denied	
<b>S283641</b> Petition for re	F084940 Fifth Appellate District eview denied	PEOPLE v. YANG (XENG)
S283645	C098054 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (EDUARDO)
Petition for re	eview denied	
S283652	B322737 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	ST. HUBERT (FRITZGERARD) v. FOX CORPORATION
Petition for re	eview denied	COMUNATION
S283675	B334253 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. PINKSTON (STEVEN TERROS)
Petition for re	view denied	
S283750	B328573 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. DAVIS, JR.,</b> ( <b>LEROY</b> )
Petition for re	view denied	
S283758	F084667 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GARZA (STEVE FLORES)
Petition for re	view denied	,

S283766	B330535 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	DOWNS (LADARIOUS) ON H.C.	
-	for judicial notice are granted. For review is denied.		
S283779	B322613 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. BERG (RONALD LEE)	
Petition for re-	view denied		
S283781 Petition for re	B325893 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. BAKER (TOMMIE LEE)	
I etition for re	view demed		
S283782	F085862 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. VALDOVINOS (ORLANDO ACOSTA)	
Petition for re-	view denied		
S283783	D081544 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. JARVIS (TERRENCE)	
Petition for review denied			
S283784	B317367 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. MALLOY	
Petition for re-	view denied	(EDWARD)	
S283786	A169595 First Appellate District, Div. 1	TOSCANO (BENJAMIN) ON	
Petition for review denied H.C.			
S283789	B334503 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	WHITAKER (WENDEL) ON	
Petition for review denied H.C.			
S283790	E078499 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. MASON	
Petitions for re	eview denied	(TYRONE)	

<b>S283791</b> Petition for re	B327505 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 wiew denied	IN RE S.R.
S283793 Petition for re	B324095 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 wiew denied	PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ERIK ARMENTA)
<b>S283798</b> Petition for re	B327701 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 wiew denied	<b>PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ</b> ( <b>RICARDO</b> )
<b>S283799</b> Petition for re	G062085 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (JOEL)
<b>S283803</b> Petition for re	A166757 First Appellate District, Div. 1 wiew denied	IN RE A.R.
S283807 Petition for re	D081313 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 wiew denied	PEOPLE v. GANN (NATHANIEL MARCUS)
<b>S283821</b> Petition for re	C097697 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. LANE (ANDREW)
S283823 Petition for re	D080824 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 wiew denied	PEOPLE v. SUCALDITO (ARMANDO PIDOT)
S283826 Petition for re	F085821 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. CORONA (ALONSO)
<b>S283829</b> Petition for re	B319299 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 wiew denied	PEOPLE v. TORRES (ISAAC)

SAN FRANCISCO

321

#### S283830

GOMEZ (JOSHUA NOEL) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE (PEOPLE)

**SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED)** 

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION)

v. S.C. (U.S. BANK

**GORMAN ROOFING** 

SERVICES, INC. v. S.C. (M.A. SILVA CORKS, USA, LLC)

WINDING (JACOB) v. S.C.

WHITE (JEREMY) v. S.C.

(WAHLA)

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S283915 H051784 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283942E083212 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2JEREMIAH (MARIE) v. S.C.<br/>(PDQ INVESTMENTS, LLC)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S284081 A169707 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S284115 C100460 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S284215 D083786 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review & application for stay denied

#### S276239

MEANS (LAURA LEESHA) ON H.C.

The "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Mandate, Coram Vobis, or Other Appropriate Relief," filed September 16, 2022, is denied.

#### S281828

THOMPSON (JOHN WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Lynch*, S274942.

### S282421

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S282512

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S282516

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S282752

### **STEWART (TRACY LEE) ON** H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

### S282761

### HAYTHORNE (EDDIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

### S282777

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S282784

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### **TAYLOR (STEFAWN) ON** H.C.

**MARTINEZ (HARVEY) ON** H.C.

AGUILAR (OSCAR) ON H.C.

**FISCHER (BRUCE DEAN) ON** H.C.

### **ZUNZACHAN (ROGER ALBERTO) ON H.C.**

**SHARONOFF (KENNETH** ALLEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S283135

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S283162

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S283165

# The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

### S283168

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

### S283176

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S283178

### JIMENEZ (JESUS CARMELO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745) and requests the disclosure of discovery and the appointment of counsel. The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the disclosure of discovery or for the appointment of counsel

TOSCANO (EVARISTO) ON H.C.

FINCHER (JAMES ANTHONY) ON H.C.

STEVENSON, JR., (DAMON) ON H.C.

### **BROUSSARD** (CARLTON) ON H.C.

### OSMENT (STEVEN LOUMONT) ON H.C.

MARTINEZ (BRANDON) ON H.C.

under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, §§ 1473, subds. (d), (e) [providing for the disclosure of discovery and appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who alleges facts constituting a violation of the Racial Justice Act].) Petitioner does not describe or attach trial court transcripts concerning displays of racial bias or use of racially discriminatory language. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; cf. also People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].) The requests for discovery and counsel are denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

### S283357

### H.C.

**STEWART (TRACY LEE) ON** 

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

### S283359

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

### S283513

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

### S283533

### **BROUSSARD (CARLTON) ON** H.C.

**JOHNSON III (FOSTER) ON** 

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

### **BENNETT (RONALD DALE)** ON H.C.

S283215 Publication re	A165484 First Appellate District, Div. 1 quest denied (case closed)	SALKHI (ARASH) & BEHROYAN (NOOSHIN), MARRIAGE OF
S283236	B318644 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SCHWADE (PATRICIA) v. SOUTH PASADENA REHABILITATION CENTER, LLC
	quest denied (case closed)	
Groban, J., Wa	s recused and did not participate.	

S283421	G062730 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	SUESS (JAMES) v. CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SVSTEM
		SYSTEM
Publication rec	uest denied (case closed)	

S283636 A166490 First Appellate District, Div. 3

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. This matter is now final. Groban and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the review should be granted on the court's own motion.

S283726 C096511 Third Appellate District

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

### S154053

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Deputy Attorney General Sarah J. Farhat, an extension of time in which to serve and file a supplemental answering brief is granted to April 4, 2024. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

### PEOPLE v. POTTER HANDY, LLP

### PEOPLE v. RHOADES

(ROBERT BOYD)

STATE WATER BOARD

CASES

### Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 29, 2024.

### S283099

### EUGENE (MARCUS COLUMBUS) ON H.C.

EADDY (DESMOND L.) ON

H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to May 13, 2024.

### S163417

### **PEOPLE v. DIXON (JAMES WINSLOW)**

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the motion of Harry M. Caldwell, appointed appellate-only counsel for James Winslow Dixon, for permission to withdraw as attorney of record for appellant, filed January 23, 2024, is granted.

The order appointing Harry M. Caldwell as appellate-only counsel of record for appellant James Winslow Dixon, filed June 22, 2011, is hereby vacated.

Mark Greenberg is hereby appointed as counsel of record, to represent appellant James Winslow Dixon, for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court. Harry M. Caldwell is hereby directed to deliver to Mark Greenberg, within 60 days from the filing of this order, all appellate work product, trial files, and all other appellate materials that he has

obtained from appellant James Winslow Dixon or from his trial counsel or paralegals, or from any other source.

### S093944

### PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Motion to file document under seal granted

Appellant's "Motion for Permission to File Appellate Reply Brief Claim Under Seal, for Order Maintaining Partial Confidentiality of Sealed Transcripts, and for Protective Order," filed on February 26, 2024, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file under seal the document entitled "Claim X from Appellant's Reply Brief May Not Be Examined Without Court Order-Contains Material from Conditionally Sealed Record," lodged conditionally under seal in this court on February 26, 2024, and to file the redacted Appellant's Reply Brief received in this court on

February 26, 2024.

### S179454

### PEOPLE v. JASSO (CHRISTOPHER GUY)

Filing stricken per court order

Respondent's "Motion to Strike Supplemental Respondent's Brief and Request for Permission to File Replacement Supplemental Respondent's Brief," filed March 1, 2024, is granted. The Clerk is directed to strike the supplemental respondent's brief filed on February 22, 2024, and to file the replacement "Supplemental Respondent's Brief," received on March 1, 2024.

### S256903 C088341 Third Appellate District

### PEOPLE v. PORCHE (JOSEPH LAWRENCE)

PEOPLE v. PORCHE (JOSEPH LAWRENCE)

Motion to file document under seal granted

The request for judicial notice is granted.

Petitioner's application to seal the records in Case No. S256903 and suppress the case name is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(b).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(d)(6). The clerk of this court is directed to seal the records in this case. Further, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.90, the clerk of this court is also directed to redact the case name on the docket to reflect petitioner's initials: People v. J.P.

S273225 C091519 Third Appellate District

Motion to file document under seal granted

The request for judicial notice is granted.

Petitioner's application to seal the records in Case No. S273225 and suppress the case name is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(b).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(d)(6). The clerk of this court is directed to seal the records in this case. Further, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.90, the clerk of this court is also directed to redact the case name on the docket to reflect petitioner's initials: People v. J.P.

### TAMMAN ON REINSTATEMENT

Petitioner reinstated

Upon recommendation of the State Bar Court, the court orders that DAVID MARSH TAMMAN be reinstated as an attorney of the State Bar of California upon payment of fees and taking the oath required by law.

D083449

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

### SHELL ENERGY NORTH AMERICA (US), L.P. v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two.