SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MARCH 12, 2024 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S283305 B329457 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (GUEVARA)** Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 97 Cal.App.5th 978, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)*

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283326 A165613 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WILEY (ERIC DAVID)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 97 Cal.App.5th 676, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)*

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277573 F080436 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WATKINS (CHRISTOPHER)

Order filed: holding for new lead case

On January 18, 2023, this court granted and held defendant and appellant Watkins's petition for review in this matter, and further action was deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Salazar*, S275788, or pending further order of this court. Submission of

additional briefing was deferred pending further order of this court. Our decision in *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416 became final on December 21, 2023.

Further action is now deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.516.) Submission of additional briefing is deferred pending further order of this court. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2).)

S283393 C098249 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WYATT (RICHARD LEIGH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283504 B324477 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. RUIZ (FRANK)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283547 C097966 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SALDANA (SILVERIO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodi*us, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283548 E080674 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. THOMAS (KEITH W.)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Patton*, S279670 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283637 B321956 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. WELDON (DENNIS ANTHONY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mitchell*, S277314 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283660 E081067 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CASARES, JR., (EDWARD)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending finality of *People v. Hardin* (Mar. 4, 2024, S277487) ____ Cal.5th ____ (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283695 E079469 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ARAMBURO (CARLOS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Emanuel*, S280551 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

CAGE (MICKEY RAY) ON H.C.

Dismissal order filed

The above-entitled case having become moot by petitioner's death on May 3, 2023, as indicated in the certified copy of a certificate of death filed with the motion to dismiss, the "Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus Petition Due to Death of Petitioner," filed on February 22, 2024, is granted and the petition is dismissed as moot.

S276818 C094024 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SIACKASORN (JIMMY KEE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416 and Assembly Bill No. 2361 (Stats. 2022, ch. 330). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277577 B317635 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BAROCIO (MIGUEL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278797 F082699 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ORELLANA, JR., (RAUL SHAWN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S279685 A164096 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ, JR., (JOSEPH KENNETH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S280178 D080924 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. YZARARRAZ (FRANCISCO ANTHONY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S280503 F082631 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BREWER (ROBERT CRAIG)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416 and People v. Mumin (2023) 15 Cal.5th 176. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Kruger, A. C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282276 C096067 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DONOHOE (SEAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282420 H049528 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BASS (ANGEL LUIS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282535 H047865 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SAMAYOA (JOSE LUIS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282601 E076749 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GREER (RONALD DEAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Salazar* (2023) 15 Cal.5th 416 and *People v. Aledamat* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 1, 13. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283065 F084613 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RAMOS (GILBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S283066 G063147 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 McKENZIE (ELLIOTT) v. ESA MANAGEMENT, LLC

Petition for review denied

S283185 B315560 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 CORLIN (ADAM) v. BRY (RICHMAN)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S283230 E079971 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MOTA (SALVADOR GARCIA)

Petition for review denied

The petition for review is denied. (See Concurring Statement by Justice Groban.)

Concurring Statement by Justice Groban

On May 16, 1997, defendant Salvador Garcia Mota was apprehended while shoplifting a \$38 pair of jeans from a Mervyn's store. A jury found defendant guilty of petty theft. (Pen. Code, former § 666.) Approximately 20 years earlier, defendant - then a teenager - suffered several prior strike convictions arising out of a serious and violent crime that resulted in a 10-year sentence. Because of those prior strike convictions, defendant was sentenced to 25 years to life in prison under the three strikes law for the offense of shoplifting.

At a resentencing hearing pursuant to Penal Code section 1172.75, the court denied defendant's motion to strike his prior strike conviction findings pursuant to *People v. Superior Court* (*Romero*) (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497. In support, the court relied primarily on the severity of the crime defendant committed as a teenager some 45 years ago. Under the highly deferential standard of review governing Romero motions, I agree with the Court of Appeal's conclusion that we cannot say the decision was "so irrational or arbitrary that no reasonable person could agree with it." (*People v. Carmony* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 367, 377.) I therefore join my colleagues in voting to deny review. The troubling fact remains, however, that defendant, now in his mid-60s and suffering from numerous medical ailments, has spent over 27 years in prison for stealing a pair of jeans - conduct that can be sentenced as a misdemeanor under current law. (See Pen. Code, §§ 490; 666.) By comparison, the median time served in state prison before initial release for murder is 17.5 years. (Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Dept. of Justice, Time Served in State Prison, 2018 (Mar. 2021) table 1, p. 2.)

I write separately to highlight that our denial of the petition for review does not necessarily preclude defendant from obtaining relief at some point in the future, including:

- (1) a potential referral for recall and resentencing by the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Board of Parole Hearings, or the district attorney (see Pen. Code, § 1172.1, subd. (a)(3) ["The resentencing court may, in the interest of justice and regardless of whether the original sentence was imposed after a trial or plea agreement," reduce or vacate the sentence]);
- at a future parole suitability hearing (see Cal. Code Regs., tit. 15, § 2281, subd. (b) ["All relevant, reliable information available to the panel shall be considered in determining suitability for parole. Such information shall include . . . the base and other commitment offenses"]; Pen. Code, § 4801, subd. (c));

(3) pursuant to a commutation recommendation (see Pen. Code, § 4801, subd. (a) ["The Board of Parole Hearings may report to the Governor, from time to time, the names of any and all persons imprisoned in any state prison who, in its judgment, ought to have a commutation of sentence or be pardoned and set at liberty on account of good conduct, or unusual term of sentence, or any other cause" (italics added)].

GROBAN, J.

We Concur:

LIU, J.

EVANS, J.

S283291 A166619 First Appellate District, Div. 3 MUNGOVAN (STEPHEN) v.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN

FRANCISCO

Petition for review denied

S283306 B321095 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 LEVY (ESTHER) v. LEVY (AVRAHAM)

Petition for review denied

S283311 G061633 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 TRAN (BRUCE) v. NGUYEN

(QUE PHUNG THI)

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. The petition for review is denied.

S283314 E079675 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 B. (R. & J.L.), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S283324 B323377 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PAGE (ELLIE) v. PARK

(HYUN)

Petition for review denied

S283333 E079183 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. TURNER, JR., (BRIAN LIONEL)

E080208 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S283338 TILEI (PUNAOFO TSUGITO) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S283347 A165224 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **JOHN DOE v. LEDOR (GINA)**

Petition for review denied

S283353 B322747 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **DE WITTE MORTGAGE**

> INVESTORS FUND, LLC v. **CARRADINE (SANDRA**

WILL)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S283356 F087039 Fifth Appellate District WEST (KENNETH JAMES)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S283362 G061672 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 BACLET (JEFFREY L.) v. **BACLET (ROSALIE)**

Petition for review denied

S283374 A165515 First Appellate District, Div. 3 VILLARROEL (WILLIAM) v. RECOLOGY, INC.

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).) The petition for review is denied.

S283383 A167243 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.G.

Petition for review denied

S283392 A164985 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. OEURN (SAUN)

Petition for review denied

IN RE V.C. S283416 C096684 Third Appellate District

S283423 NGUYEN (LAN THI TRAN) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION TWO (LUEBCKE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S283428 F084966 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. POPKE (DOUGLAS LOUIS)

Petition for review denied

S283433 B316208 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 CLUNEY (CHEYANNE) v.

SOROUR DMD PC

Petition for review denied

S283435 B319181 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HSU (MICHAEL

ROE CHIEN)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S283441 LAM (FUNG) v. SUPREME

COURT OF CALIFORNIA

(KILPATRICK)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S283442 F081260 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. VALLE (KELLY

FABIAN)

Petition for review denied

S283443 F085740 Fifth Appellate District IN RE E.R.

Petition for review denied

S283450 C097707 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ

(STEVEN)

S283458 B327469 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CERNOGG, JR., (JAMES RUSSELL)

Petition for review denied

S283459 D082231 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 HELGUERA (SALVADOR) v. MID-CENTURY INSURANCE COMPANY

Petition for review denied

S283461 B322299 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RAMIRES-LOPEZ (REYNALDO)

Petition for review denied

S283462 A169355 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (HENRY DON)

The request for judicial notice is denied.

The petition for review is denied.

S283486 A165262 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WEBB, JR., (DANNY)

Petition for review denied

S283489 C098160 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BAILEY III (WILLIE)

Petition for review denied

S283507 A168785 First Appellate District, Div. 2 DURAISAMY (NAGARAJAN) v. PULI (PRADEEP)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283512 C097135 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. YOUNG (CHARLES)

S283520	E077535 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	RAY (RAKESH) v. FITZGERALD (JEANNE M.)		
Petition for rev	view denied	(
S283524	D081678 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	SHIN (MICHAEL) v. STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY		
Petition for rev	view denied	INSURANCE COMPANT		
S283534 Petition for rev	B322931 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	TABB (CHRIS DAVID) v. WOOD (MALEE)		
S283535	B326877 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	MEDINILLA (BRYAN STEVEN) ON H.C.		
Petition for rev	view denied	,		
S283537	E078784 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	VACATION RENTAL OWNERS & NEIGHBORS OF RANCHO MIRAGE v. CITY		
OF RANCHO MIRAGE Petition for review denied				
S283546	B323963 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (LUIS DANIEL)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S283575	H051715 Sixth Appellate District	SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED) v. S.C. (U.S. BANK		
Petition for rev	view & application for stay denied	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION)		
S283580	A169294 First Appellate District, Div. 3	WILLIAMS (CAMERON) ON H.C.		

S283584 D081301 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. TOLENTINO (EDUARDO)

Petition for review denied

S283586 A166850 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE TONY R.

The petition for review is denied.

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Minor's motion for protective nondisclosure is denied without prejudice to any relief to which he may be entitled in the Court of Appeal.

S283591 E080278 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SALVADOR MENDOZA)

Petition for review denied

S283594 B324268 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PICKARD

(STEVEN RAY)

Petition for review denied

S283595 F086410 Fifth Appellate District MAZZEI (PAUL) v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT

OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION

Petition for review denied

S283597 C094559 Third Appellate District GAUNA (RAINN) v.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,

N.A.

Petition for review denied

S283598 A166549 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. LANGI (JOHN

KATOA)

Petition for review denied

S283599 D082207 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SILVA (ERNESTO

SILVA)

S283603 A167157 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RUIZ (JUAN CARLOS)

Petition for review denied

S283605 B317535 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. McVOY, JR., (JOHN KEVIN)

Petition for review denied

S283616 C097871 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. JACOBSEN (CHASE JOHNNIE)

Petition for review denied

S283617 F084670 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. VARGAS (EFRAIN)

Petition for review denied

S283618 E080151 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (EDUARDO GODINA)

Petition for review denied

S283619 B322756 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. QUIROZ (JOSE DE JESUS)

Petition for review denied

S283620 G062722 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. JONES (SHELVIN TERRELL)

Petition for review denied

S283625 H051719 Sixth Appellate District SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED)

v. S.C. (U.S. BANK

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283626 A169087 First Appellate District, Div. 5 VALDEZ (JOHN) v. S.C.

(FOREMAN)

S283628 A165552 First Appellate District, Div. 5 SCHOENMANN (E. LYNN) v. ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP

Petition for review denied

S283633 A166288 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. OLAY (DERYLL TEAORIO)

Petition for review denied

S283634 H050082 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MUONG (MADISON MENG)

Petition for review denied

S283642 D080610 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ALFARO (JOSE LUIS)

Petition for review denied

S283646 C097554 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SPLAWN (ISAIAH

THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S283651 F085363 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WORKMAN

(DAVID SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S283655 H050011 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ

(ROBERT)

Petition for review denied

S283657 PEOPLE v. DRUMM

(RAYMOND S.)

Petition for review denied

S283658 B317632 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GAINES

(RAPHAEL)

S283663 B326911 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. NAVARRO (PEDRO)

Petition for review denied

S283665 C094889 Third Appellate District C. (J.) v. H. (N.)

The petition for review is denied.

The "Motion to Dismiss Injunction" is denied.

S283666 A169482 First Appellate District, Div. 3 TURNER (ANTHONY RICO)

v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S283673 B322598 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BOOKER

(DONALD EARL)

Petition for review denied

S283676 B318816 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON

(CLARK LORENZO)

Petition for review denied

S283678 C096625 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ACKERMAN

(JOSHUA CHARLES)

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, C. J., and Corrigan, J., were recused and did not participate.

S283680 D081499 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (JESUS

ANGEL

Petition for review denied

S283689 A165177 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. JONES (THOMAS

DEAN)

Petition for review denied

S283700 C097802 Third Appellate District IN RE D.H.

S283702 Petition for re	B333241 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	HERRERA (RUBEN) ON H.C.
S283704	B323968 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. SMITH (DAZJOHIN RENE)
Petition for re	view denied	
S283706	B321112 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. DOWNS (LADARIOUS)
Petition for re	view denied	
S283711	A169503 First Appellate District, Div. 4	C. (JAIME R.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for re	view denied	
S283714	B322172 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	ECKART (MICHELE) v. THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
Petition for re	view denied	CALIFORNIA
S283718	B328328 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. MARROQUIN (BRIAN)
Petition for re	view denied	
S283723	D081866 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	IN RE PRINGLE FAMILY TRUST, DATED APRIL 8,
Petition for re	view denied	2004, AS AMENDED
S283728	E080312 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. CARLTON (KENNETH)
Petition for re	view denied	

C100080 Third Appellate District S283733 WHITE, JR., (ALONZO TARRANCE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied A167099 First Appellate District, Div. 2 S283737 **BOLTON (A.) v. GUERRERO** (JUAN CARLOS) Petition for review denied S283739 A165926 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. JONES (QUADAIR TYREE) Petition for review denied S283740 A166574 First Appellate District, Div. 4 THE PEP BOYS MANNY **MOE & JACK OF** CALIFORNIA LLC v. OLD REPUBLIC INSURANCE **COMPANY** Petition for review denied S283741 H051750 Sixth Appellate District **SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED)** v. S.C. (U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION) Petition for review & application for stay denied C097434 Third Appellate District S283745 PEOPLE v. LYNCH (PERRY MICHAEL) Petition for review denied S283748 F085823 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PERRY (DESMOND JEROME) Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. OROZCO

(ANTANCIO)

Petition for review denied

H050446 Sixth Appellate District

S283754

S283757 G061641 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GIL (JUNIOR)

Petition for review denied

S283762 JACOBS (JAMES)v. COURT

OF APPEAL, THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S283765 D083493 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BAZZO (FRANK MONACO)

ON H.C.

The request for judicial notice is denied.

The petition for review is denied.

S283771 B334766 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 MAHJOBI (DJAMILEH) v.

S.C. (FERMELIA)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283772 B334650 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 MAHJOBI (DJAMILEH) v.

S.C. (FERMELIA)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S284033 H051475 Sixth Appellate District MINKOWSKI (JULIA) v. S.C.

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S282541 DORSEY (DARNELL DEANGELO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S282545 SIMPSON (DIONTE JAMAL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

FAVOR (BRANDON ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S282653

EVANS (GENE EDWARDS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction]; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S282695 TALLEY (CLINTON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282697 MEDRANO (ANTHONY

JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282705 TAYLOR (MARK JAMES) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S282730 HAWTHORNE (CARLOS

ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282841 GOMEZ (JOHN BENNIE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

ROBLEDO, JR., (RAYMOND ALBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282860

RAMOS (JUAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282862

CRUZ (BRIAN THOMAS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S282865

NEWMAN (CHARLES) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S282869

JACKSON (STEPHEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S282871

WALKER (KEVIN DWAYNE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282967

SMITH (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

OLIVER (JAMES ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S282972

GAGE (KENNETH EUGENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S282981

CARTER (RICHARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S283016

ROMERO (MIGUEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283037

LAPHAM (RICHARD WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283038

VETTER (GEORGE WILLIAM) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction]; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are

denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S283057

PEACOCK (RICHARD DEAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S283058

POWERS (TYRELL ANTHONY TAURAS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283627

WILLIAMS (LEONARD CHARLES) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745) and requests the disclosure of discovery and the appointment of counsel. The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the disclosure of discovery or for the appointment of counsel under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, §§ 1473, subds. (d), (e) [providing for the disclosure of discovery and appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who alleges facts constituting a violation of the Racial Justice Act].) Petitioner does not describe or attach trial court transcripts concerning displays of racial bias or use of racially discriminatory language, and he does not explain why he believes he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense or had a longer or more severe sentence imposed as a result of his race, ethnicity, or national origin. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; cf. also *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].) The requests for discovery and counsel are denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S283735

STEPHENS (JIMMIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745) and requests the disclosure of discovery. The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the disclosure of discovery under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subds. (d) [providing for the disclosure of discovery upon a showing of good cause].) Petitioner does not sufficiently describe or attach trial court transcripts concerning displays of racial bias or use of racially discriminatory language. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34

Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; cf. also *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].) The request for discovery is denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S283818 CHOI (ERNEST) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S283875 ELSMORE (RICHARD SCOTT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus & application for stay denied

S283882 KALSO (ZACHARY LLOYD)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283063 D081047 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 VIDAL (RICARDO) v. PICK

AXE HOLDING, LLC; WILLIAMS (CHRISTOPHER)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S283422 G062731 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FALB (MICHELE) v. SCHERER (LYNNE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 15, 2024.

S283436 B325927 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 SCALLON (JAMES) v. ARCHE (LORI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 15, 2024.

S283467 A169362 First Appellate District, Div. 5 DEMARTINI (LISA) v. S.C. (THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 17, 2024.

S283480 B321803 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. STEVENSON (LAMAR DUWAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 18, 2024.

S283485 B334019 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 COSENTINO GROUP, S.A. v. S.C. (REYES-GONZALEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 18, 2024.

S283492 E077464 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SANDOVAL (DENNIS) v. HUPP (PAUL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 22, 2024.

S283495 F086824 Fifth Appellate District MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT v. S.C. (LOR)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 18, 2024.

S283515 D082110 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE R.M.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 19, 2024.

S283521 B333757 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ONTRAK, INC. v. S.C. (BRAUN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 19, 2024.

S283523 F085705 Fifth Appellate District

GARCIA (EFRAIN) v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & COUNTY OF FRESNO

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 22, 2024.

S283532 B329482 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE Z.R.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 22, 2024.

S283541 E081106 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 LOPEZ-LEON (CRISTIAN) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 23, 2024.

S283561 A167444 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **A. (C.) v. R. (R.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2024.

S283568 B320522 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 KRAUT (JONATHAN) v. QUINTANA (LEVI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2024.

S283573 A168767 First Appellate District, Div. 3 HAYDON (SALLY ANN) v. ELEGANCE AT DUBLIN

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2024.

S283576 A169444 First Appellate District, Div. 5 SAFEWAY, INC. v. S.C. (JAUREGUI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2024.

S283581 G060839 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

SMITH (SCOTT) v. ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2024.

S086355

PEOPLE v. LEWIS (KEITH ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Pamala Sayasane, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to May 17, 2024. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

S149039

PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Pamala Sayasane, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to May 20, 2024. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

S207510

PEOPLE v. BARBAR (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nerissa J. Huertas' representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by July 15, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 17, 2024. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 20, 2024.

S242792

PEOPLE v. ELLIS (JAMES DAWNTAY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 17, 2024.

S258581

PEOPLE v. VILLANUEVA (RIGOBERTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 17, 2024.

S280472

GONZALEZ (JOSE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 15, 2024.

S283576

A169444 First Appellate District, Div. 5

SAFEWAY, INC. v. S.C. (JAUREGUI)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to March 21, 2024 at 4 PM. The time to serve and file the reply to the answer to petition for review is extended to March 27, 2024 at 12 PM.

S284011 B312212/B316290 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MORALES (CHRISTOPHER)
v. GARFIELD BEACH CVS,
LLC; HYAMS (RYAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to April 8, 2024.

S281510 A163848 First Appellate District, Div. 2

ROSENBERG-WOHL (KATHERINE) v. STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY

Order filed

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, the request for relief from default to file the reply brief on the merits is granted.

S284138

JAMES (RONALD EUGENE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S283255 ACCUSATION OF YOUNG

Petition denied (accusation)

S282783 THIBAULT ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that ELANA THIBAULT, State Bar Number 302572, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and ELANA THIBAULT is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ELANA THIBAULT is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ELANA THIBAULT must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on October 17, 2023; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ELANA THIBAULT has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ELANA THIBAULT must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on October 17, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9. 10(b).) ELANA THIBAULT must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. ELANA THIBAULT must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

ELANA THIBAULT must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1656)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION APRIL 3, 2024

In accordance with <u>Administrative Order 2023-05-11</u>, the Supreme Court has resumed inperson oral argument sessions. Counsel have the option to appear in person at these sessions, or remotely via video. The public may attend in person and will also continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California, on April 3, 2024.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 3, 2024 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Make UC a Good Neighbor et al. v. The Regents of the University of California et al. (Resources for Community Development et al., Real Parties in Interest), S279242
- (2) Truck Insurance Exchange v. Kaiser Cement and Gypsum Corp. et al. (London Market Insurers et al.), S273179
- (3) Himes (Michelle) et al. v. Somatics, LLC, et al., S273887

1:30 P.M.

- (4) Needham (Nicholas) v. Superior Court of Orange County (People, Real Party in Interest), S276395
- (5) In re Harris (John, Jr.) on Habeas Corpus, S272632
- (6) People v. Nadey (Giles Albert, Jr.), [Automatic Appeal], S087560

GUERRERO
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)