

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MARCH 7, 2024
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S126387**PEOPLE v. HAZLETT
(LARRY KUSUTH)**

Application to file over-length brief granted

Appellant's "Application for Permission to File Oversized Second Supplemental Opening Brief," filed on March 6, 2024, is granted.

S212030**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN
MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant John Michael Perez's reply brief is granted to May 13, 2024. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling about 186 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S283674 D082048 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**CASTANARES (ARTURO) v.
S.C. (CITY OF CHULA
VISTA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to March 25, 2024.

S283749**SAMM (STERLING) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S284032**MAHJOBI (DJAMILEH) v.
S.C. (FERMELIA)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.