

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2026  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S286493**      A166435 First Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. MORGAN  
(HENRY)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Evans, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Tucher\*, JJ.

\* Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

**S221846**      **PEOPLE v. HALEY (KEVIN  
BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Samuel Weiscovitz's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 2, 2026, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 4, 2026. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 212 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii).)

**S226647**      **PEOPLE v. MAGAÑA  
(BELINDA) & NARINE  
(NARESH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Naresh Narine, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 4, 2026.

**S232428****PEOPLE v. PASASOUK (KA)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 5, 2026, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 4, 2026. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii).)

**S235017****PEOPLE v. MARTIN  
(ROMAINE ULYSES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 4, 2026.

**S258017****PEOPLE v. FELIX (JOHN  
HERNANDEZ)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 28, 2026.

**S044739****PEOPLE v. BANKSTON  
(ANTHONY GEORGE)**

Order filed

The court, having received the Office of the State Public Defender's letter of February 18, 2026, concerning oral argument in *People v. Bankston* (S044739), *People v. Barrera* (S103358), *People v. Chhuon and Pan* (S105403) and *People v. Demolle* (S159120), hereby orders that oral argument in these matters, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on March 5, 2026, be combined and proceed as follows:

Counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle will be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel shall focus the above arguments as described in the February 18, 2026, letter, and should also be prepared to address: (1) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), is the defendant ineligible for the death penalty under Penal Code section 745, subdivision (1) regardless of whether the violation was prejudicial? (2) Upon a finding of a

violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), does Penal Code section 745, subdivision (l) categorically render the defendant ineligible for the death penalty in any subsequent retrial?

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Bankston will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Demolle will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Chhuon will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Pan will then be allocated 30 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

The Attorney General will then be allocated 150 minutes.

Any rebuttal will then be presented in the following order: counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle, counsel for appellant Barrera, counsel for appellant Chhuon, and counsel for appellant Pan. Each counsel will only have one opportunity for rebuttal covering all topics, regardless of whether counsel initially presented arguments on multiple topics.

**S103358**

**PEOPLE v. BARRERA  
(MARCOS ESQUIVEL)**

Order filed

The court, having received the Office of the State Public Defender's letter of February 18, 2026, concerning oral argument in *People v. Bankston* (S044739), *People v. Barrera* (S103358), *People v. Chhuon and Pan* (S105403) and *People v. Demolle* (S159120), hereby orders that oral argument in these matters, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on March 5, 2026, be combined and proceed as follows:

Counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle will be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel shall focus the above arguments as described in the February 18, 2026, letter, and should also be prepared to address: (1) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), is the defendant ineligible for the death penalty under Penal Code section 745, subdivision (l) regardless of whether the violation was prejudicial? (2) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), does Penal Code section 745, subdivision (l) categorically render the defendant ineligible for the death penalty in any subsequent retrial?

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Bankston will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Demolle will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Chhuon will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Pan will then be allocated 30 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

The Attorney General will then be allocated 150 minutes.

Any rebuttal will then be presented in the following order: counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle, counsel for appellant Barrera, counsel for appellant Chhuon, and counsel for appellant Pan. Each counsel will only have one opportunity for rebuttal covering all topics, regardless of whether counsel initially presented arguments on multiple topics.

**S105403**

**PEOPLE v. CHHUON (RUN  
PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH  
SAM)**

Order filed

The court, having received the Office of the State Public Defender's letter of February 18, 2026, concerning oral argument in *People v. Bankston* (S044739), *People v. Barrera* (S103358), *People v. Chhuon and Pan* (S105403) and *People v. Demolle* (S159120), hereby orders that oral argument in these matters, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on March 5, 2026, be combined and proceed as follows:

Counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle will be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel shall focus the above arguments as described in the February 18, 2026, letter, and should also be prepared to address: (1) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), is the defendant ineligible for the death penalty under Penal Code section 745, subdivision (1) regardless of whether the violation was prejudicial? (2) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), does Penal Code section 745, subdivision (1) categorically render the defendant ineligible for the death penalty in any subsequent retrial?

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Bankston will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Demolle will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Chhuon will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Pan will then be allocated 30 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

The Attorney General will then be allocated 150 minutes.

Any rebuttal will then be presented in the following order: counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle, counsel for appellant Barrera, counsel for appellant Chhuon, and counsel for appellant Pan. Each counsel will only have one opportunity for rebuttal covering all topics, regardless of whether counsel initially presented arguments on multiple topics.

**S159120**

**PEOPLE v. DEMOLLE  
(ALEX)**

Order filed

The court, having received the Office of the State Public Defender's letter of February 18, 2026, concerning oral argument in *People v. Bankston* (S044739), *People v. Barrera* (S103358), *People v. Chhuon and Pan* (S105403) and *People v. Demolle* (S159120), hereby orders that oral argument in these matters, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on March 5, 2026, be combined and proceed as follows:

Counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle will be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 40 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal.

Counsel shall focus the above arguments as described in the February 18, 2026, letter, and should also be prepared to address: (1) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), is the defendant ineligible for the death penalty under Penal Code section 745, subdivision (1) regardless of whether the violation was prejudicial? (2) Upon a finding of a violation of Penal Code section 745, subdivision (a)(1) or (2), does Penal Code section 745, subdivision (1) categorically render the defendant ineligible for the death penalty in any subsequent retrial?

Counsel for appellant Barrera will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Bankston will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Demolle will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Chhuon will then be allocated 10 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

Counsel for appellant Pan will then be allocated 30 minutes, minus any time reserved for rebuttal, to address case-specific matters.

The Attorney General will then be allocated 150 minutes.

Any rebuttal will then be presented in the following order: counsel for appellants Bankston and Demolle, counsel for appellant Barrera, counsel for appellant Chhuon, and counsel for appellant Pan. Each counsel will only have one opportunity for rebuttal covering all topics, regardless of whether counsel initially presented arguments on multiple topics.

**S295332****DEAGUILERA (JAMES) v.  
S.C. (SELECT PORTFOLIO  
SERVICING, INC.)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **TRANSFER ORDER**

The following matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, is transferred to the Fourth Appellate District, Division Two:

1.    B341558      People v. R. Y.