

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2024
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S275746 E075532 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CLARK (KEJUAN DARCELL)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal as to Clark’s gang enhancement, with instructions to remand to the superior court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. The People are free on remand to offer additional evidence to satisfy the newly enacted requirements of section 186.22. (See *People v. Cooper, supra*, 14 Cal.5th at p. 746 [reversing the pre-Assem. Bill 333 gang enhancement for insufficient proof and recognizing authority entitling the People to retry the affected charges on remand].)

Majority Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S093944 **PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Alex Coolman’s representation that appellant John Anthony Bertsch’s reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 22, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 22, 2024. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S174886 **PEOPLE v. MADISON (RICKY RENE)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Deputy Attorney General Colleen M. Tiedemann, an extension of time in which to serve and file respondent’s supplemental answering brief is granted to April 29, 2024. Within 20 days after any supplemental answering brief has been filed pursuant to this order, Appellant may thereafter serve and file a supplemental reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length.