SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S282937 H049552 Sixth Appellate District

GILROY, CITY OF v. S.C. (LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 96 Cal. App.5th 818, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)*

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282950 H049554 Sixth Appellate District

LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY v. S.C. (CITY OF GILROY)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 96 Cal.App.5th 818, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)*

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283169 E080064 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PE**6

PEOPLE v. RHODIUS (ANDREW CHRISTIAN)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 97 Cal.App.5th 38, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)*

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282937 H049552 Sixth Appellate District GILROY, CITY OF v. S.C. (LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY)

S282950 H049554 Sixth Appellate District LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY v. S.C. (CITY OF GILROY)

Case consolidated with:

The above-entitled cases are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including briefing, argument, and decision.

S283134 B325028 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. BARRASCOUT (OSCAR RODOLFO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Hardin*, S277487 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283189 D081330 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CHRISTIANSON (RYAN DAVID)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration

and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283275 B324856 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. TAGHILOU (FARAMARZ)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Hernandez*, S282186 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283401 B324331 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HUEZO (JUAN CARLOS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Hardin*, S277487 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283427 D082203 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JAMAL HUSSEIN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Emanuel*, S280551 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283452 H049363 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. De La ROSA BURGARA (MARIANO ALEJANDRO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mitchell*, S277314 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S283474 F084489 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MITCHELL (BRYSON CLAYTON)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S281488 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S282462 B308495 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MOODY (TYRONE EUGENE)

Appeal abated

As indicated in a certified copy of a certificate of death, appellant Tyrone Eugene Moody died on October 19, 2023. Accordingly, the cause in the above-entitled action is hereby severed as to appellants Tyrone Eugene Moody and Frank Rafael Perez, and the criminal proceedings against Moody are permanently abated. As to appellant Moody, the matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to enter an abatement order in Case No. B308495 and to require the Superior Court for Los Angeles County to enter an order to that effect in Case No. BA445427. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.258(d); *People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.) As to appellant Perez, the matter is retained for decision, as previously ordered, under the above number and retitled as follows:

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

FRANK RAFAEL PEREZ et al., Defendants and Appellants.

S282817 G062734 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 DOBY (SAMARI) v. CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S282938 E080240 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 WANG (LIREN) v. IVERSON (MICHAEL DENNIS)

Petition for review denied

S283055 A166441 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CASTRO (NICHOLAS)

Petition for review denied

S283078 G061459/G061674/G062420

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 O'MALLEY (PRISCILLA) v.

DIAMOND RESORTS MANAGEMENT, INC.

Petition for review denied

S283093 A166543 First Appellate District, Div. 4 MOTISKA (DALE) & FORD

(CAROLINE), MARRIAGE

OF

Petition for review denied

S283112 B319925 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 HICKS, JR., (CHRISTOPHER T.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

Liu and Jenkins, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S283125 B321544 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 OPPENHEIMER (STEVEN) v.

CENTINELA STORAGE

ASSOCIATES

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S283156 B311811/B314779 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ROSTACK INVESTMENTS INC.v. SABELLA (ANGELA C.)

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).) The petition for review is denied.

S283182 B321121 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 DASHUTA (LEONID) v. LA STAINLESS KINGS, INC.

Petition for review denied

S283199 C096378/C096379 Third Appellate District MISZKEWYCZ (JENNIFER) v. COUNTY OF PLACER

Petition for review denied

S283243 C098176 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. THAVISACK

(BONNEY)

Petition for review denied

S283266 BECK (JUSTIN S.) v. STATE

BAR OF CALIFORNIA

(CATANZARITE)

The requests for judicial notice are granted.

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

S283269 D081023 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 MOREIRA (ISRAEL) v. NEW

REZ, LLC

Petition for review denied

S283273 C098893 Third Appellate District KOENIG (JAMES S.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

Liu and Jenkins, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S283281 F087381 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. APPELLATE

DIVISION (MARTIN)

Petition for review denied

Petition for review denied

COULTER (MATTHEW WARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

PEOPLE v. PHILLIPS S283284 C098418 Third Appellate District (ROBERTO ARMANDO) Petition for review denied S283289 A165769 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SHIRLEY (MARKIS CAPRICE **DEWAYNE**) Petition for review denied S283293 B323331 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WILSON (ROSIE LEE) Petition for review denied S283322 C097774 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VALINTINO JOAQUIN) Petition for review denied S283348 B328139 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 IN RE ISRAEL H. Petition for review denied S283350 F085161 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL (ROBERT ANTHONY) Petition for review denied S283370 B312058 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 KLEINHANS (JOHN), **ESTATE OF** Petition for review denied S283378 A163908 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WATSON (BRANDON MATTHEW) Petition for review denied

C100173 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S283411	F082052 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PEARSON (DAYVONE DUANE)
Petition for review denied		
S283412 Petition for re	C097209 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GILLIAM (FORREST HENRY)
S283413 Petition for re	C096980 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. WHITAKER (DEANTE DeSHAWN)
S283415 Petition for re	B326466 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. CRUZATA (ANGEL)
S283419 Petition for re	A166930 First Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. VALDIVIA (MARC J.)
S283420 Petition for re	B323553 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (MARIO CARLOS)
S283424 Petition for re	F084668 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. GONSALVES (JOSHUA STEPHEN)
S283425 Petition for re	A162458 First Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. TEAGUE (SAMUEL)
S283426	A167580 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PONTIKIS (STEFANOS) v. ATIEVA, INC.

S283431 C094461 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. KOORKOFF (ALEXANDER)

Petition for review denied

S283432 B320009 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. RILEY (STEVEN ERICK)

Petition for review denied

S283434 E079724 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.P.

Petition for review denied

S283437 B319849 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. PRINCE (THOMAS RAVON)

Petition for review denied

S283449 B325371 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MARSH (VERNON LEE)

Petition for review denied

S283454 F085373 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GONZALES (MICHAEL ALEX)

Petition for review denied

S283465 B319020 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. DIAZ (JOSE)**Petition for review denied

S283466 E079625 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MAGANA (EDGAR RUIZ)

Petition for review denied

S283476 G061771 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SMITH (TERRYANCE ACEY)

Petition for review denied

S283481 H051573 Sixth Appellate District LOWE (JORDAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S283496 D080717 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (SAMUEL SAMSON)

Petition for review denied

S283554 C100028 Third Appellate District SANDHU (TARLOCHAN) v.

BOARD OF

ADMINISTRATION OF THE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

SYSTEM (REGIONAL

GOVERNMENT SERVICES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283556 C099877 Third Appellate District ESTATE OF DOUGLAS

BREEZE v. BOARD OF

ADMINISTRATION OF THE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

SYSTEM (REGIONAL

GOVERNMENT SERVICES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283559 C099861 Third Appellate District SOUZA (MARGARET) v.

BOARD OF

ADMINISTRATION OF THE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

SYSTEM (REGIONAL

GOVERNMENT SERVICES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283562 C100027 Third Appellate District DOWSWELL (DAVID) v.

BOARD OF

ADMINISTRATION OF THE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

SYSTEM (REGIONAL

GOVERNMENT SERVICES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283664 E082885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SADORRA (DENNIS) v. S.C.

(STARLIGHT DUNES HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283690 G063564 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **SOPER III (JERRY**

BENJAMIN) v. S.C. (PATEL)

The petition for review is denied.

Petitioner's "Emergency Ex Parte Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order" is denied.

S280917 GREEN (ROBERT BRANDON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281217 RIVAS (TERESA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S281791 POTTER (DEONTAY D.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction].)

S282287 SCOTT (LIONEL A.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller*

(1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S282293

MIZE, SR., (DENNIS WAYNE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S282339

STARK (ARDEN EARL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S282373

PAYNE (ALLEN RANDOLPH)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282413

HOWARD (HILTON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282422

HOOD (DANIEL ROGER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282442

GOMEZ (ALFREDO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282554

WEISNER (FRANKIE

JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282568

ROMERO (RICHARD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

WATKINS (KENNETH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S282590 COLLIER (JOE ROBERT) ON

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282652 HERNANDEZ (JORGE

GONZALEZ) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282656 DAVIS (WILLIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282677 WEAVER (WILLIE EUGENE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282684 HILDEBRAND (MICHAEL McCOY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S282698 MENDOZA (ROBERTO FRANCISCO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S282945 HERNANDEZ (BRIAN

KEITH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

COOK (TAVNER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations].)

S282957 GREENWOOD (LEROY JAMEEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283296 THOMPSON (MAURICE LAMAR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283064 D081102 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 TAGGART (TIM) v. NORTH COAST VILLAGE HOME

OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Publication request denied (case closed)

S283087 D081551 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 CONRADO (DIEGO) v. CLS

LANDSCAPING MANAGEMENT, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S283133 A164495 First Appellate District, Div. 4 KRAFT (RANDY STEVEN) v.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION

Publication request denied (case closed)

S283234 D081025 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 TAFT (HEATHER) v. SALINAS (DAVID)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S283323 F086308 Fifth Appellate District

THE BAKERSFIELD CALIFORNIAN v. S.C. (ROBERTS)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S283066 G063147 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 McKENZIE (ELLIOTT) v. ESA MANAGEMENT, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2024.

S283128 H049983 Sixth Appellate District GUTIERREZ (FRANCISCO) v. TOSTADO (URIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2024.

S283185 B315560 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 CORLIN (ADAM) v. BRY (RICHMAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2024.

S283230 E079971 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MOTA (SALVADOR GARCIA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2024.

S283279 B333189 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 BANUELOS (MANUEL) v. S.C. (AZUSA POLICE DEPARTMENT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2024.

S283291 A166619 First Appellate District, Div. 3 MUNGOVAN (STEPHEN) v. CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2024.

S283305 B329457 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. S.C.** (**GUEVARA**) The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2024.

S283306 B321095 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 LEVY (ESTHER) v. LEVY (AVRAHAM)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2024.

S283311 G061633 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 TRAN (BRUCE) v. NGUYEN (QUE PHUNG THI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2024.

S283324 B323377 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PAGE (ELLIE) v. PARK (HYUN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2024.

S283326 A165613 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WILEY (ERIC DAVID)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2024.

S283338 E080208 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 TILEI (PUNAOFO TSUGITO) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2024.

S283347 A165224 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **JOHN DOE v. LEDOR (GINA)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2024.

S283356 F087039 Fifth Appellate District WEST (KENNETH JAMES)
ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2024.

S283362 G061672 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 BACLET (JEFFREY L.) v. BACLET (ROSALIE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2024.

S283374 A165515 First Appellate District, Div. 3 VILLARROEL (WILLIAM) v. RECOLOGY, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2024.

S283383 A167243 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.G.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 10, 2024.

S283391 B321638 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 VELASQUEZ (JOSE) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD; THE SALVATION

ARMY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2024.

S283393 C098249 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WYATT (RICHARD LEIGH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 10, 2024.

S283402 B333938 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 COSENTINO GROUP, S.A. v. S.C. (SOLANO-CLAUSTRO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2024.

S283410 B306910 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

ARGUETA (EUNICES) v. WORLDWIDE FLIGHT SERVICES, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2024.

S201205

PEOPLE v. MOORE (RYAN T.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 15, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 26, 2024. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S219152

PEOPLE v. NISSENSOHN (JOSEPH MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Matthew A. Kearney's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 29, 2024. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S281921

HOLLIS (ANDREW) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 2, 2024.

BRADSHAW ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – DREXEL ANDREW BRADSHAW

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to March 13, 2024.

S283305 B329457 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (GUEVARA)** Extension of time denied

The application for extension of time to file the reply to the answer to petition for review filed by real party in interest, on February 21, 2024, is hereby denied.

S283621 A165418 First Appellate District, Div. 3

FAIR III (R. THOMAS) v. WV 23 JUMPSTART, LLC

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to February 23, 2024.

S068863

PEOPLE v. SCOTT (DAVID LYNN)

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the motion of Gary B. Wells, appointed habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel, to withdraw as counsel of record, filed January 5, 2024, is granted. The July 11, 2016, order appointing Wells as habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel is hereby vacated. Attorney John N. Aquilina is hereby appointed as habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel of record for David Lynn Scott, including in the proceedings in the superior court related to *In re Scott*, S199551.

Gary B. Wells is directed to deliver to John N. Aquilina, within 30 days from the filing of this order, all appellate and habeas corpus work product, trial files, and all other appellate and habeas corpus related materials that he has obtained from appellant David Lynn Scott or from his trial counsel, experts, investigators, or paralegals, or from any other source.

S271056 F078875 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MONTES (ERMILO GARCIA)

Counsel appointment order filed

The order filed on December 8, 2021, appointing Christine Vento as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

Jean Marinovich is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277872 B311683 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ARNOLD (STEPHEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

The order filed on February 15, 2023, appointing Lori Kantor as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

Rudy Kraft is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S279679 D079231 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CHAGOLLA, JR., (JAMES ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Raymond DiGuiseppe is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S280278 D079771 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WERNTZ (KRISSY LYNN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Appellate Defenders, Inc., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S281249 E080328 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE M.R.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Joseph T. Tavano is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282047 C096437 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SLATON (CURTIS ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Charles Bonneau, Jr., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282099 E079831 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE ASHTON C.** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Liana Serobian is hereby appointed to represent appellant R. C. on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282261 B320205 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. EVANS (MARQUES TERREL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Brad Kaiserman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282271 B325218 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ALVEZ (JOHN CHARLES)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mi Kim is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282387 A164502 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. URIBE (JOSHUA)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Joy Maulitz is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282399 B319845 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. YI (JAE HEE)
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Lanahan is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282434 E080908 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CHALLONER (DAMON JAMES ANKER)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael Sampson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282462 B308495 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MOODY (TYRONE EUGENE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant Tyrone Eugene Moody on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282462 B308495 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MOODY (TYRONE EUGENE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard Levy is hereby appointed to represent appellant Frank Rafael Perez on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282462 B308495 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MOODY (TYRONE EUGENE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Boyce is hereby appointed to represent appellant Christopher Vargas on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282474 C095767 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. THOLMER (TYRIE ALLEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Benjamin Owens is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282495 E080948 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SALGADO (ELIAS PERAZA)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark A. Hart is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282507 C096805 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. RHONE (TARUS TASHUME)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael E. Allen is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282535 H047865 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SAMAYOA (JOSE LUIS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Allen Glen Weinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282574 F084669 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CERPA (JAIME)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Scott Concklin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282639 F084058 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARAUJO (MARTIN PARRA)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kevin Smith is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282644 C096306 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. TRENT (PATRICK ALLEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Brad Kannof Kaiserman is hereby

appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282694 C095167 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SERPAS (CESAR EDUARDO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kevin Smith is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282711 C097390 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MITCHELL (JAMES CHESTER)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, William D. Farber is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282733 F083580 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ATWELL (MICHAEL VINCENT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Diane E. Berley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282902 A163880 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. PINEDA (JAIME)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Janice Lagerlof is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S282925 A166053 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. PONDER (KALI)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Marylou Hillberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)

Order filed

The court has received respondent's "Motion to Correct the Record," filed on December 21, 2023, and its "Supplemental Motion to Correct the Record," filed on January 24, 2024. To facilitate the court's review, the parties are directed to meet and confer regarding the text of a proposed order granting the motion. Following this meet and confer, and no later than March 6, 2024, the parties shall file a joint proposed order with the court or advise the court of their inability to reach agreement.

S283216

DEPEW ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PETER MATTHEW DEPEW (Respondent), State Bar Number 294298, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 7, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 7, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,750 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

LEONE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FERNANDO LEONE (Respondent), State Bar Number 147933, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 3, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on November 3, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S283218

WIKE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that TERRY LEE WIKE (Respondent), State Bar Number 201289, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 23, 2023; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions

of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 23, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2025, 2026, 2027, and 2028. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S283227

MARTENS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER ROBERT MARTENS (Respondent), State Bar Number 235777, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2023; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S283228

NARAYAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that AMY CONNER NARAYAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 183370, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first four years of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on May 8, 2023), and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 7, 2023.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 7, 2023. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) Supreme Court of California 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of

Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2025, 2026, and 2027. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and

payable immediately.

S283237

TURLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM DAVID TURLEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 122408, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S283239

LaMOURE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that NATHAN D. LaMOURE (Respondent), State Bar Number 45478, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1654)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)