SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2025 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S288176

FAMILY VIOLENCE APPELLATE PROJECT v. S.C.

Order to show cause issued

Respondents are ordered to show cause before this court, when the above-entitled matter is placed on calendar, why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted.

The returns shall be served and filed on or before March 21, 2025.

A reply may be served and filed on or before April 7, 2025.

Any application to file an amicus curiae brief, accompanied by the proposed brief, must be served and filed on or before April 4, 2025.

The Legislature of the State of California is deemed the real party in interest and is invited to file a return.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288364 B340479 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

ISHAL (MASHALLAH) v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & PARAMOUNT 98 + 1 CENT ONLY STORE

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Mayor v. W.C.A.B. (Ross Valley Sanitation District)*, S287261 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288489 G063631 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

(VICTOR ROBERT)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288757 G063557 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. SIMONS (GLEN SCOTT)

PEOPLE v. GARCIA

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288759 G063554 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. DENNIS (RALPH ERVING)

PEOPLE v. MILTON

(DONAVONNE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288765 E082905 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288766 F087700 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BENNETT (JOEL ALONZO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Superior Court (Guevara)*, S283305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288771 E081682 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DeLEON (JUAN CARLOS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Hernandez*, S282186 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288831 E082943 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. WILSON (DARIN KRISTOPHER)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288832B334456 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. CAMPBELL (SIDNEY ORLANDO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S287814 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288860 B334294 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. MUHAMMAD (WENDALL PORTER)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Patton*, S279670 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288861B329886 Second Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. THARPE (ERIC)Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Patton*, S279670 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288865B320775 Second Appellate District, Div. 7IN RE S.G.Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Allen*, S286520 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S146528

PEOPLE v. SNYDER (JANEEN MARIE) & THORNTON (MICHAEL FORREST)

Appeal abated

The court has received appellant Thornton's "Motion to Abate All Proceedings in This Case; Dismiss the Appeal; Vacate the Judgment of Death; and Direct the Superior Court to Dismiss the Charges," including documentation of appellant Michael Forrest Thornton's death on October 14, 2024. All proceedings in this cause are permanently abated as to appellant Michael Forrest Thornton, and the Superior Court for the County of Riverside is directed to enter an order to that effect. (*People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.) The "Motion to Abate All Proceedings in This Case; Dismiss the Appeal; Vacate the Judgment of Death; and Direct the Superior Court to Dismiss the Charges," is denied. The Clerk is directed to amend the caption of case number S146528 to remove appellant Michael Forrest Thornton.

S288975 B343547 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition ordered withdrawn

Petitioner's request, filed on February 14, 2025, to withdraw the application to transfer to the Supreme Court is granted.

S285416

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S285419

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S287176

MEYERS (LEON LEE) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate is denied without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the Alameda County Superior Court.

S288158	A171685 First Appellate District, Div. 5	GEARING (THOMAS J.) v. S.C. (CITY OF HALF MOON BAY)
Petition for	review denied	
S288200	C102374 Third Appellate District	MODERN-SUNDT v. S.C. (OROVILLE HOSPITAL)
Petition for	review denied	

ORTEGA (STEVEN C.) v. APPELLATE DIVISION (PEOPLE)

BENNETT (RONALD DALE) v. s.c. (people)

BENNETT (ROANLD DALE)

v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

S288219	F087033 Fifth Appellate District	ARREDONDO (KATHY) v. SAINT AGNES MEDICAL CENTER	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S288264		ASHFORD (BURK N.) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (MATTAR)	
The petition fo	r writ of mandate is denied.		
S288285 Petition for rev	E084140 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	IN RE D.B.	
S288296	H051446 Sixth Appellate District	FOSTER (STEVEN JAMES) v. NGUYEN (STEPHANIE)	
Petition for review denied			
S288297	H050804 Sixth Appellate District	JASPER (CARL) v. CHUBB NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY	
The petition fo	n to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (r review is denied. r an order directing publication of the opinion is	Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).)	
S288331	B329158 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	NGUYEN (TAM STEVEN) ON H.C.	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S288335	B332407 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. WARD (MICHAEL)	
Petition for rev	view denied		
S288361 Petition for rev	G064916 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	LOVELL (ANTHONY) v. S.C.	

S288363	B326827 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	CURRENCY CORP. v. WERTHEIM, LLC
Petition for rev	view denied	
S288387 Petition for rev	G062843 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PINESETT (ANDRE) v. CORAL MOTEL
S288403 Petitions for re	E081281 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 eview denied	PEOPLE v. DUISEN (KURT KENNETH)
S288420 Petition for rev	B332564 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	MORENO (EVELYN) v. BANDER (JOEL)
S288422 Petition for rev	E084605 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PATTERSON (TRINA R.) v. S.C. (DIGITAL FEDERAL CREDIT UNION)
S288446 Petition for rev	A170105 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (WAYNE RALPH)
S288526 Petition for rev	C100724 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. MESSER (JESSE DEAN)
S288529 Petition for rev	A170416 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. EASTER (DERRYL RAY)
S288550 Petition for rev	B336324 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	DAWSON (DORIS ROSE), ESTATE OF

S288551	B333233 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	MANNING (SWEETER) v. WALMART, INC.	
Petition for re	view denied	WALMARI, INC.	
S288575	B335241 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. NAVARRO (DANIEL SANDOVAL)	
Petition for re	view denied		
S288582	C102435 Third Appellate District	EWING, JR., (DAVID EARL) ON H.C.	
-	or review is denied without prejudice to any relie his court decides <i>In re Montgomery</i> , \$287339.		
S288589 Petition for re	G063876 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (FIDEL)	
S288593	F087901 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BRIGGS (JOHNNY LEE)	
Petition for review denied			
S288596 The "Petition	for Review of October 25, 2024, Review Departi	EVERETT (DANIEL), IN RE ment Order" is denied.	
S288602	F088368 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BRIGGS (JOHNNY LEE)	
Petition for review denied			
S288622	A169398 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. PHANG (VINH THE)	
Petition for review denied			
S288651 A168780/A169164 First Appellate District, Div. 2 DOSTIE (CORY) v. MAROWITZ (ANDREW)			
Petition for review denied			

S288679 Petition for re	B342283 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 eview denied	CHARLES (LEONARD VANNESS) ON H.C.
S288694 Petition for re	H051390 Sixth Appellate District eview denied	IN RE S.E.
S288697 Petition for re	C100555 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. McCURRY (STEVEN)
	F087733 Fifth Appellate District for review is denied without prejudice to defendant t to Penal Code section 1172.6.	PEOPLE v. DICKEY (COLIN RAKER) nt filing a petition in the superior
S288729 Petition for re	B331659 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 eview denied	GONZALES (ROBERT) v. P.T. AUTOMOTIVE, LLC
S288763 Petition for re	D082357 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 eview denied	PEOPLE v. LITTLEJOHN (MAURICE DWIGHT)
S288764 Petition for re	C094172 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. JARAMILLO (JAMES PEDRO)
S288769 Petition for re	C099323 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. DUCKWORTH (JOEL MARTIN)
S288770 Petition for re	B331887 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 eview denied	PEOPLE v. HAMILTON (ARTHUR LUMONT)

S288774	G063107 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. GODLOCK (JADON ROBERT)	
Petition for review denied Liu, Groban, and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.			
S288780 Petition for re	B342018 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	VERDUGO (JOSE CEJA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)	
S288782 Petition for re	B342660 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. HEARNS (CLARENCE L.)	
S288784 Petition for re	E081114 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. ROSS (DWAYNE DEON)	
S288787 Petition for re	D082744 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. JAVONITALLA (CHRISTOPHER)	
S288788 Petition for re	B327105 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (JOHN)	
S288789 Petition for re	D085045 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	JONES (DE'JHAUN) ON H.C.	
S288791 Petition for re	A166279 First Appellate District, Div. 1 view & depublication request(s) denied	PEOPLE v. CLYMER, JR., (GERALD LOUIS)	
S288795 Petition for re	F085596 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. VENTURA (FRANCISCO VENTURA)	

S288805 **PEOPLE v. GONZALES** H050612 Sixth Appellate District (FRANK RAYMOND) Petition for review denied S288809 G064932 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **DICKERSON (SHAWN** MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied E080897 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S288810 **PEOPLE v. KELLY (JERRY)** Petition for review denied S288811 F085953 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. SILVA** (HELIODORO ARREOLA) Petition for review denied S288812 C100320 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. HOWELL** (SAMUEL OLIVER) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. AGUILAR** S288826 F086453 Fifth Appellate District (JAVIER RAMIREZ) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. ALEJANDREZ** S288830 H051052 Sixth Appellate District (VALENTINO SEBASTIAN) Petition for review denied S288836 A165990 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. POSTIGO (JUAN** ABEL) Petition for review denied S288838 B330105 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

S288839 Petition for rev	B333463 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. CLARK (BOBBY)	
S288843 Petition for rev	B332174 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. KEUKELAAR (CARLOS RUBEN)	
S288844 Petition for rev	A169143 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. SAENZ (VALERIE)	
S288845		McKEARIN (SILVIA ELENA) v. STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, OFFICE OF ADMISSIONS	
Petition for review denied			
S288859	F087334 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BROWNELL (PAUL DAVID)	
Petition for review denied			
S288864	A169671 First Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. BILLY (ANTHONY JORDAN)	
Petition for review denied			
S288868	B338461 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	LOS ANGELES, CITY OF v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & ANDREWS (WILLIE)	
Petition for review denied			
S288874	B331150 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ERIC)	
Petition for review denied			

S288875 Petition for rev	F086209 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. HACHEE (CAROLINE ANNE)
S288878 Petition for rev	B337594 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	IN RE S.M.
S288976 Petition for rev	H052831 Sixth Appellate District view & application for stay denied	GERSON (GREGORY) v. S.C. (GERSON)
S286424		EGGMAN (DAVID JAMES)

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S286658

HALL (NATHANIEL VERNON) ON H.C.

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745) and requests the appointment of counsel. In this respect, petitioner alleges that he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated, and that a longer or more severe sentence was imposed on petitioner than on other similarly situated defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in Santa Clara County.

The petition does not satisfy the statutory requirements for the appointment of counsel under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e) [providing for the appointment of counsel for an indigent petitioner who alleges facts that would establish a violation of the Racial Justice Act].) The petition fails to make a prima facie showing of entitlement to relief under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e).) Petitioner's claims that he was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who have engaged in similar conduct and are similarly situated, and that a longer or more severe sentence was imposed on petitioner than on other similarly situated defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in Santa Clara County, have been previously raised and rejected in this court. (*In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].) Petitioner fails to provide any new evidence that could not have been known by petitioner with due diligence in support of the claim. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e).)

MEYERS (LEON LEE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S287153

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S287159

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S287165

JUNIOR) ON H.C.

VIZCARRA (LORENZO

ALI (OMARI) ON H.C.

JACOME (MELVIN ESTIWAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S287355

YOUNG (BRIAN JASON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745). In this respect, petitioner appears to claim Alameda County Superior Court engaged in racially biased prosecution methods in 2011. He further contends he has been denied access to unspecified "documents and records."

The petition fails to make a prima facie showing of entitlement to relief under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e).) The petition fails to allege particularized facts that adequately describe how Alameda County Superior Court violated the Racial Justice Act. The petition also does not allege facts to support a claim that petitioner was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner describe or attach supporting documentary evidence concerning his contentions. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].) The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

TAYLOR III (WAYNE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S287553

BROWN (JEREMY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate is construed as a petition for writ of habeas corpus. The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered. Petitioner contends, among other claims, that he is entitled to relief under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 (Pen. Code, § 745). In this respect, petitioner claims he was charged and sentenced more harshly than similarly situated defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in Solano County. The petition also fails to make a prima facie showing of entitlement to relief under the Racial Justice Act. (Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (e).) The petition does not allege specific facts to support a claim that petitioner was charged, convicted, or sentenced in a more severe manner than similarly situated individuals of other races, ethnicities, or national origins. (Pen. Code, § 745, subd. (a)(1)-(4); cf. *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Nor does petitioner adequately describe or attach supporting documentary evidence concerning his claims such as statistical evidence, aggregate data, or nonstatistical evidence. (Cf. *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].) The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S288336	G063199 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	BRAY (JACQUELINE LANETTE) v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC.
Publication re	quest denied (case closed)	
S288406	G063084 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	KABAT (JURAJ) v. CITY OF IRVINE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S141519

PEOPLE v. HIN (MAO)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to May 4, 2025, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.532(b)(1)(B), 8.536(c) [governing extension of finality].)

S289333 D084051 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 LERKE (JOHNATHON MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 18, 2025. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S288557 G062347/G062434 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 SUN (SARAH DECORDOVA) v. SANDERS (CAROLYN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2025.

S288560 D085066 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BENNETT (MONICA) v. S.C. (BENNETT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2025.

S288576 B342449 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 POE (JAMES) v. S.C. (MEDPOINT MANAGEMENT, INC.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2025.

S288592 B332134/B333059 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 DAVIS (RYAN BEN) v. BACAL (BENJAMIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2025.

S288609 D081911 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

BURTON (KATHRYN) v. CAMPBELL (JENNIFER); SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2025.

April 3, 2025.

S288614 G062356 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ROLFES (ROSEMARY), ESTATE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2025.

B342584 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S288627

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 2, 2025.

S288639 C099118 Third Appellate District

JAMES) The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

S288646 C098022/C099396 Third Appellate District

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2025.

S288662 A168163 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **FRIENDS OF THE SOUTH** FORK GUALALA v. **DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY & FIRE PROTECTION** (RICHARDSON RANCH, LLC)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 2, 2025.

S288673 H051349 Sixth Appellate District

KOEPPEL (GARY M.) v. **CENTRAL PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 3, 2025.

BAKER (MARLON) v. **DEPARTMENT OF** TRANSPORTATION

PEOPLE v. NELSON (PETER

PANORAMA GROUP INC. v.

S.C. (GLENSIDE PARTNERS,

LLC)

S288691B339782 Second Appellate District, Div. 5ALI (NAYYER) v. DIGNITY
HEALTH

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2025.

S288726G065002 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3MENDEZ (CHRISTOPHER) v.S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2025.

S288738 A168295 First Appellate District, Div. 5 YUE (DONGXIAO) v. YANG (WENBIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 10, 2025.

S285759 B326812 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **IN RE S.R.** Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 7, 2025.

S286092 D081878 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. MENO (PETER JOHN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 1, 2025.

S221509

SATTIEWHITE (CHRISTOPHER JAMES) ON H.C.

Order filed

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Ventura.

Motion to file document under seal granted

The Attorney General's "Motion to File Respondent's Brief Under Seal," filed on December 12, 2024, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file under seal the version of the Respondent's Brief entitled "Respondent's Brief (Sealed Version) - May Not Be Examined Without Court Order - Contains Material from Sealed/Confidential Record," lodged conditionally under seal in this court on December 12, 2024, and to file the redacted Respondent's Brief received in this court on December 12, 2024.

S229694

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (LUIS JESUS)

Motion to file document under seal granted

The Attorney General's "Application for Leave to File Unredacted Respondent's Brief Under Seal, Concurrently with Redacted Version," filed on November 25, 2024, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file under seal the version of the Respondent's Brief entitled "Respondent's Brief -May Not Be Examined Without Court Order - Contains material from Sealed/confidential record," lodged conditionally under seal in this court on November 25, 2024, and to file the redacted Respondent's Brief received in this court on November 25, 2024.

S242076

Motion to file document under seal granted

The "Respondent's Application to File the Respondent's Brief Under Seal and Simultaneously File a Publicly Available, Redacted Version of the Brief," filed on December 9, 2024, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file under seal the version of the Respondent's Brief entitled "Respondent's Brief - May Not Be Examined Without Court Order - Contains material from sealed record - California Rules of Court, rule 8.46(g)" lodged conditionally under seal in this court on December 9, 2024, and to file the redacted Respondent's Brief received in this court on December 9, 2024.

S289307

Order filed

The application for permission for leave to file exhibits to the petition for review, filed February 18, 2025, is hereby granted.

PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS (DAVID REY)

CERULLO (LORENZO) v. GARFIELD BEACH CVS, LLC

PEOPLE v. KING (COREY LYNN)

S288450 Petition denied

(accusation)

S288527 Petition denied

(accusation)

S288554 Petition denied

(accusation)

S288310

VOSSKUHLER ON DISCIPLINE

ACCUSATION OF VENEGAS

ACCUSATION OF EVERETT

ACCUSATION OF BURNS

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DEREK BRENT VOSSKUHLER (Respondent), State Bar Number 190729, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 8, 2024; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on November 8, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288311

MOLINA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JESSE MOLINA (Respondent), State Bar Number 211408, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288325

VOGEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LUCIE JAMES VOGEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 341627, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

CANCIAMILLA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOSEPH ERNEST CANCIAMILLA (Respondent), State Bar Number 124481, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288339

HENDERSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DENNISE SUZANNE HENDERSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 208640, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 13, 2024; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 13, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension.

(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).) Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288343

HUBER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN CARL HUBER (Respondent), State Bar Number 274383, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of \$1,000 per year on or before February 1 of each of the years 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, and 2030. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288344

IVOSEVIC ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOVAN IVOSEVIC (Respondent), State Bar Number 241032, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 13, 2024; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on November 13, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288345

VALENCIA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EVERARDO VARGAS VALENCIA (Respondent), State Bar Number 131412, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Jose Angel Vega and Esperanza Vega in the amount of \$215,675 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 13, 2016;
- (2) Sergio Saucedo in the amount of \$30,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 31, 2022;

- (3) Socorro Sanchez in the amount of \$111,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 21, 2022;
- (4) Coalition Court Reporters of Los Angeles in the amount of \$1,262.47 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 27, 2021;
- (5) Coalition Court Reporters of Los Angeles in the amount of \$1,514.64 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 5, 2021;
- (6) Coalition Court Reporters of Los Angeles in the amount of \$1,946.17 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 9, 2021; and
- (7) Coalition Court Reporters of Los Angeles in the amount of \$365.51 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 30, 2021.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$7,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

S288328

MACKLIN ON RESIGNATION

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of DAPHNE LORI MACKLIN (Attorney), State Bar Number 117189, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) Attorney remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) Attorney may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California may demonstrate any basis for Attorney's continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by Attorney to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on Attorney's payment of any dues, penalty payments, and restitution owed by Attorney. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(e).) Any underlying disciplinary proceeding should proceed promptly.