

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S266305 B304441 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. DELGADILLO
(JOSE DE JESUS)**

Rehearing denied

S266305 B304441 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. DELGADILLO
(JOSE DE JESUS)**

Request for modification granted

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION

THE COURT:

The majority opinion in this case, filed on December 19, 2022, and appearing at 14 Cal.5th 216, is modified as follows:

1. Footnote 2 on page 222 presently reads: “The brief summary of facts is drawn from the Court of Appeal’s prior opinion in Delgadillo’s direct appeal.” After the only sentence in that footnote, add the following text: “We rely on that opinion solely for the purpose of summarizing the background of this case; our consideration of whether Delgadillo is entitled to relief under section 1172.6 is based on our independent review of the record of conviction.” As modified, the footnote reads as follows:

The brief summary of facts is drawn from the Court of Appeal’s prior opinion in Delgadillo’s direct appeal. We rely on that opinion solely for the purpose of summarizing the background of this case; our consideration of whether Delgadillo is entitled to relief under section 1172.6 is based on our independent review of the record of conviction.

2. The third sentence of the only full paragraph on page 233 presently reads: “Specifically, eyewitnesses identified him as the driver of a Ford Explorer that crossed into incoming traffic and crashed into another vehicle, killing the passenger.” That sentence is deleted. As modified, the paragraph reads as follows:

Nevertheless, we determine, based on our independent review of the record, that Delgadillo is not entitled to any relief under section 1172.6. Indeed, the record here makes clear that Delgadillo was the actual killer and the only participant in the killing. At trial, defense counsel conceded that the accident occurred while Delgadillo was driving on the wrong side of the road. (See § 1172.6, subd. (a) [“A person convicted of felony murder or murder under

the natural and probable consequences doctrine or other theory under which malice is imputed to a person based solely on that person's participation in a crime, attempted murder under the natural and probable consequences doctrine, or manslaughter may file a petition with the court” to have the conviction vacated].) We affirm the Court of Appeal’s holding that Wende procedures are not constitutionally compelled on Delgadillo’s appeal.⁶

These modifications do not affect the judgment.

S277736 B314386 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **ZHANG (JINSHU “JOHN”) v. S.C. (DENTONS U.S. LLP)**

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 85 Cal.App.5th 167, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

The request for a stay of the trial court’s order lifting its injunction against the New York arbitration is granted. The trial court’s order is stayed pending further order of this court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277995 A163083 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. CANNON (WILLIAM JOSEPH)**

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 85 Cal.App.5th 786, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277936 B302834/B303671 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ
(RICARDO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Defendant Escalante's motion for reconsideration is granted.

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Rojas*, S275835, *People v. Burgos*, S274743, and *People v. Hardin*, S277487 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277949 C094219 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. VANG (TENG)**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Burgos*, S274743 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277994 C091260 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. ESPARZA (JESSE
ADRIAN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S278038 E076032 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MITCHELL
(CAMERON LIONEL
ISAIAH)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Williams*, S262229 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S262003 B299078 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. HUTCHINSON
(ANTONE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 775 (Stats. 2021, ch. 551). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S273316 E075152 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. YATES
(DOUGLAS JOHN)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Ramirez* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 176, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S275031 F081186 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. OLIVERA
(BLISELDA MARIA)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Ramirez* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 176, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Guerrero, C.J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins and Evans, JJ.

S277544 D075957/D076833 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **M. (K.) v. GROSSMONT
UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

Petition ordered withdrawn

Petitioners' unopposed request filed January 31, 2023, to withdraw the petition for review is granted.

S276074 **HALTOM ON DISCIPLINE**
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied – VICTOR STEPHEN
HALTOM

S277062**TAYLOR III (JOE ALFRED)
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S277325 C093344 Third Appellate District**HOGREFE (RANDOLPH) v.
COUNTY OF TRINITY**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S277439 B317201 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**G.I. INDUSTRIES v. CITY OF
THOUSAND OAKS
(ARAKELIAN
ENTERPRISES, INC.)**

Petition for review denied; CA opinion decertified

The petitions for review are denied.

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are granted. The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed October 26, 2022, as modified on November 22, 2022, which appears at 84 Cal.App.5th 814. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(1).)

S277445 E077529/E078175 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **BARTH (ROBIN) v. CITY OF
CHINO**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S277450 B315027 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**GODSPEAK CALVARY
CHAPEL v. COUNTY OF
VENTURA**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S277458 B319677 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**SINGH (SUKHJINDER),
ESTATE OF**

Petition for review denied

S277468 C094851 Third Appellate District**CHABRIER (LANA LE) v.
SACRAMENTO REGIONAL
TRANSIT**

Petition for review denied

S277527	E078652 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	IN RE E.W.
Petition for review denied		
S277532	A163616 First Appellate District, Div. 2	GIAMBASTIANI (DEBRA KAY) v. GORDON (STEVE)
Petition for review & publication request(s) denied		
S277552	E079189 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (KENYATTA ANDREW)
Petition for review denied		
S277555	B324435 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	DIEGO (WILLIAM SOK) v. S.C. (PUBLIC GUARDIAN OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
Petition for review denied		
S277557	B309227/B310481 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION & RESEARCH ON TOXICS v. STARBUCKS CORPORATION
Petition for review denied		
S277570	H048837 Sixth Appellate District	BONAB (FARAZ FADAVI AKHAVAN) v. GINN (SAMUEL)
Petition for review denied		
S277578	E073917 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	DEFRIES (CHAD) v. YAMAHA MOTOR CORPORATION, U.S.A.
Petition for review denied		
S277606	B309236 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	DITOMASO (LINDA & DAVID), MARRIAGE OF
Petition for review denied		

S277612 B311232 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**TARIWALA (SAIFUDDIN) v.
MACK (KEITH MARTIN)**

S277618 B308446 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**KABRINS (HOWDY S.) v.
NOVELLA (DIEGO
DOUGHERTY)**

S277626 H050494 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

OLEA (ANIANO) ON H.C.

S277638 A166472 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**KELLER-McINTYRE (JULIA
POWELL) v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA**

S277653 B313942 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PASADENA CIVIC CENTER
COALITION v. CITY OF
PASADENA**

S277660 A163223/A164070 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**SPAULDING MARINE
CENTER v. ARQUES
MARITIME PRESERVATION
FOUNDATION**

S277676 G060949 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

PEOPLE v. HAN (VINCENT)

S277679 A163304 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**T. (D.), CONSERVATORSHIP
OF**

S277687 H049723 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PERALES, JR.,
(NORMAN ORLANDO)**

S277690 A166262 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**VHS LIQUIDATING TRUST v.
S.C. (MULTIPLAN
CORPORATION)**

S277692 G061992 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**KERSEY (RONALD) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S277701 H048814 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**SANCHEZ (FRANK J.),
ESTATE OF**

S277730 C097336 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**HOCKER (CHRISTOPHER) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S277732 A162593 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**RAMIREZ (FRANCISCO) v.
PK 1 PLAZA 580 SC, LP**

S277735 C094757 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**MITCHELL (KRISTA) v.
WIGERI VAN EDEMA
(DAWN)**

S277742 C097361 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**ACEVEDO (LEONEL) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S277811	D079630 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (AQUILES)
Petition for review denied		
S277813	H049420 Sixth Appellate District	IN RE A.N.
Petition for review denied		
S277831	C094199 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (RICKY ART)
Petition for review denied		
S277839	H049386 Sixth Appellate District	OLGUIN (SEAN) v. CITY OF HOLLISTER
Petition for review denied		
S277853	B314614 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. BROWN (HOMER)
Petition for review denied		
S277854	H050351 Sixth Appellate District	DAWSON (JOSEPH R.) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S277864	D079776 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. SNOW (EDWARD LEROY)
Petition for review denied		
S277868	A163368 First Appellate District, Div. 1	FIRST STUDENT, INC. v. SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Petition for review denied		
S277876	C093838 Third Appellate District	TEVIS (NANCY) v. SATIN (HEATHER)
Petition for review denied		

S277919	B323774 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY COMPANY v. S.C. (PASSPORT 420, LLC)
Petition for review denied		
S277935	H050593 Sixth Appellate District	GARRARD (CAROL) v. S.C. (TOTAL LENDER SOLUTIONS, INC.)
Petition for review denied		
S277938	B316006 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	IN RE W.J.
Petition for review denied		
S277939	D079590 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. HILL (JIMMIE LEE)
Petition for review denied		
S277941	E077351 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	DOVE (LEVELL & SUSAN), MARRIAGE OF
Petition for review denied		
S277946	C095409 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. DEXTER (AARON)
Petition for review denied		
S277947	B308347 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE & CONTROL v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (PLAZA)
Petition for review denied		
S277951	C094454 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. JONES (MICHAEL TERRELL)
Petition for review denied		

S277952 C094403 Third Appellate District
Petition for review denied

IN RE J.R.

S277964 G060919 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied

IN RE Y.P.

S277967 E077293 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. PEREIRA
(ARNOLD STEVEN)**

Petition for review denied

Corrigan and Groban, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S277974 A162212 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. CARABAJAL
(JOHNNY)**

Petition for review denied

S277976 E077324 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. LAGUNA
(ARTHUR DANIEL)**

Petition for review denied

S277978 B322614 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. ADAM (DEREK
CHANNING)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S277979 C094390 Third Appellate District
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. HUANG (SEAN)

S277980 F084138 Fifth Appellate District

**ADKINS (DARTANYAN) v.
EDWARDS (LATASHA ANN)**

Petition for review denied

S277982 D081339 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**ARMENTA (RICHARD) ON
H.C.**

Petition for review denied

S277988

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**HALLMAN (TREY) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)****S277999** A162125 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HERRERA-
CASTILLO (SIMEON)****S278000** H049003 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BURGE (DAVID
MICHAEL)****S278001**

Petition for writ of certiorari denied

**FREITAS (JOHN B.) v. S.C.
(COMMUNITY FUND, LLC)****S278002** C096268 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SAMM
(STERLING)****S278003** F083252 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DRAUGHN
(DAVID)****S278006** A165838 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LINDMEIER
(JAMES)****S278008** C095463 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE G.S.**S278015** B315484 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(ANTHONY CASTRO)**

S278016 F081859 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. PHILLIPS (TOBIN WAYNE)

S278019

Petition for review denied

CURRY (ELIZABETH) v. KEETON (ALEXANDRA)

S278020 H049401 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. VALENCIANO (FRANCISCO JAVIER)

S278021 B324322 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

WHITE (DEVON T.) ON H.C.

S278022 C096490 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. GRAY (DAVID EARL)

S278029 E077815 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. POLK (KENNETH BERNARD)

S278030 C091340 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. SMITH, JR., (ALVIN R.)

S278031 C097477 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

VILLARREAL, JR., (LIONZO ANGEL) ON H.C.

S278032 H049710 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. BRAGGS (ANTHONY)

S278033	C092322 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HYCE (RAUL ALAN)
Petition for review denied		
S278037	B308034 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SAVE OUR GLENDALE v. CITY OF GLENDALE
Petition for review denied		
S278041	G060260 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	NGUYEN (TONY)v. WEISS (ANDREW)
Petition for review & application for stay denied		
S278042	B313936 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. ALCARAZ (STEVEN HECTOR)
Petition for review denied		
S278043	F082332 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ELIJAH ELOJIO)
Petition for review denied		
S278053	B298359 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. COLLETTA (NICHOLAS)
Petition for review denied		
S278068	G059769 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	LUCCHESI (DARLYNE) v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
Petition for review denied		
S278069	B307987 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. BORRUEL (FRANK)
Petition for review denied		
S278075	B324220 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PARK (JINWOO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for review denied		

S278080 B325011 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **JIMENEZ (CHRISTOPHER)**
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S278081 D080056 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. SMANN (MON)**
Petition for review denied

S278086 C094671 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MONTANEZ**
(JAVIER)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S278091 E077714 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. SAPIENZA**
(JEFFREY EDWARD)

Petition for review denied

S278101 E078952 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. FULLER (BRUCE**
LAMONT)

Petition for review denied

S278104 B315420 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. TULANDA**
(FRANCIS)

Petition for review denied

S278109 B314273 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. PASCHAL**
(BENJAMIN)

Petition for review denied

S278112 C091970 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. KHATOONIAN**
(MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S278116 F080394 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CIMOLINO**
(TANYA MARIE)

Petition for review denied

S278117 E077679 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE
(DAVID)**

Petition for review denied

S278129 E077962 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY
PROBATION DEPARTMENT
v. S.C. (J.A.)**

The People's application to file the unredacted petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.47.)

The clerk of this court is directed to file the People's unredacted petition for review under seal.

The People's petition for review and application for stay are denied.

The requests for an order directing publication of the opinion are denied.

S278169 B325321 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**INVESTMENT
CONSULTANTS, LLC v. S.C.
(OCEAN BLUE
INVESTMENTS, LLC)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278232 A166712 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**PACIFIC STATES
PETROLEUM, INC. v. S.C.
(RUCKER)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278356 B325542 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**SALARI (RAMIN) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278387 C097750 Third Appellate District

**HERSHIPS (HOWARD) v. S.C.
(BAGHRI HOTELS, INC.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278414 G060634 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**D. II (JOHN),
CONSERVATORSHIP OF**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278461 A166999 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**JOHNSTON (STEWART) v.
S.C. (BTHHM BERKELEY,
LLC)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278599 B325732 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF
v. S.C. (RANDOLPH)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S274173

**AUTEN (ALLEN RAY) ON
H.C.**

The request for judicial notice is denied.
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.
Evans, J., was recused and did not participate.

S276319

**GOSZTYLA (RICHARD) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276694

**RIVERA (LAWRENCE) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276703

MENDEZ (VICTOR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276718

**COSEY, JR., (CURT LARON)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S276721

PETILLO (SIDNEY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276728**PIERSON (MARC RONALD)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276745**BOWELL (JAMES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276747**RUIZPAZ (CARLOS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Hardin*, S277487.

S276750**WISE (BRANDEN
MARKELL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276753**LAWRENCE (DERON) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276757**HUTSON (RANDEL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S276758**TURNER, JR., (STEVEN
DEON) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S276759**FARAG (WILLIAM Y.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276779**SOLOMON (MARCUS) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276784**GAGE (KENNETH EUGENE)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S276804**FLETCHER (DARRELL K.)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276816**PARKER (ANTOWAN L.) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S276947**EVANS (GENE EDWARDS)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S276952**KEOVILAYPHONE (JOHN)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276958**HYMES (LESTER) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S276965**SANCHEZ (JOEL ELIAS) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276970**ELTAWIL (SHARIF AIMI)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

S277057**DELA CALZADA (JOVENCIO
P.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277082**MOORE (RICHARD
NEWTON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277094**FERNANDEZ (FELICIANO)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277098**ZEPEDA (JOSHUA
ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277107**THOMPSON (MARCUS
DONTE) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277110**COSTELLO (CHRISTOPHER
A.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S277128**COOK (NATHANIEL J.) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S277170**RUNGE (CORY DEAN) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S277172**HERNANDEZ (ANGEL
HERNAN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S277174**DE SANTIAGO (ERIK) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277176**MORENO (ELIAS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277179**OLSEN (RYAN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277180**LAZOR (FREE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S277195**DAVIS (DEVON DARRELLE)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277200**FITZGERALD (RASHAAN)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277201**STEVENSON (CHARLES) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277212**CREW, JR., (ARRION LEE)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277214**SINGLETARY (GONDEE
CHARLES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277253**LOONEY (MARGO) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S277259**FIELDS (JOHNNIE LEE) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of

habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S277271

**GHOLAR, SR., (JOHN RAY)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S277273

**ANDREWS (RICHARD) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277297

DAVIS (Ke'ANDRE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277408

**BIBBS (BRANDON LEON) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S277733

**MARTINEZ (CARLOS) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278223

**BRUESTLE (MARK JOSEPH
DON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S278230

**LEONARD (JERRY LEWIS)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S278234**WASHINGTON (RODERICK)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S278245**WASHINGTON (RODERICK)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S278388**WASHINGTON (RODERICK)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277698

H047927 Sixth Appellate District

**CALIFORNIA WATER
CURTAILMENT CASES**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S277907 C095655 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BOYTER (BOBBY EUGENE)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S277837 C093124 Third Appellate District

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY v. CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 23, 2023.

S277849 E079450 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GRISSOM (HOWARD DUPREE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 27, 2023.

S277851 B324049 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

MEDEROS (EDEN) v. S.C. (TESLA, INC.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 27, 2023.

S277863 B314638 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (KENDAL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 27, 2023.

S277897 H046063 Sixth Appellate District

THE LAW FIRM OF KALLIS & ASSOCIATES, P.C. v. PADGETT (JOSEPH P.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2023.

S277899 B315309 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **VALDEZ (MARK) v. COSTCO
WHOLESALE
CORPORATION**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2023.

S277905 A164519/A164521 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
N.A. v. S.C. (ELDER)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 28, 2023.

S277910 A162977 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **KOWALCZYK (GERALD
JOHN) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 30, 2023.

S277917 B311867 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **ZHANG (JANICE M.) v. S.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 29, 2023.

S277918 C096242 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. VINSON(TERIS)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 29, 2023.

S277922 D081217 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **RHODES (LEILA) v. S.C.
(ASSAF)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 29, 2023.

S277923 B306273 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **FERRERA (ATLAS) v.
TERMINIX
INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 30, 2023.

S277937 C091636 Third Appellate District

**HOLT (DARRELL L.) v.
BROCK (CHARLES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 30, 2023.

S093944

**PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN
ANTHONY) & HRONIS
(JEFFERY LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 22, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 20, 2023. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 247 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S185810

**PEOPLE v. THREATS
(DERLYN RAY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Lynne G. McGinnis's representation that the respondent's response to appellant's motion to decertify the record and for record augmentation is anticipated to be filed by March 24, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file the response is granted to March 24, 2023.

S233077

**PEOPLE v. BROWN
(MICHAEL CHARLES)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 6, 2023.

S272237 C087191 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. SCHULLER
(JASON CARL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to amicus curiae brief is extended to March 20, 2023.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S274625 E073766 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**RODRIGUEZ (EVERARDO) v.
FCA US, LLC**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to May 1, 2023.

S274743 H045212 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BURGOS
(FRANCISCO)**

Order filed

On application of the appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 16, 2023.

S275746 E075532 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. CLARK (KEJUAN
DARCELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of plaintiff and respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 24, 2023.

S276208

RAMIREZ (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 17, 2023.

No further extensions will be contemplated.

S277518 H049033 Sixth Appellate District

**CAMP (DELMER) v. HOME
DEPOT U.S.A., INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 2, 2023.

S277667

JIMENEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – ERIC ADRIAN JIMENEZ

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response by State Bar is extended to March 7, 2023.

S276173 B311291 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**PEOPLE v. STILES
(CHELSEA)**

Counsel appointment order filed

The order filed on November 2, 2022, appointing Mark Feeser as counsel for appellant is hereby vacated.

The California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277228 C093431 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. WALLER (ROY
CHARLES)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jake C. Stebner is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277264 B315104 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

IN RE E.T.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Roni Keller is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277322 B315593 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. DOWNS (DAVID)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Teresa Biagini is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277540 G060355 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (MAURO)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Raymond DiGuiseppe is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277577 B317635 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. BAROCIO (MIGUEL)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Waldemar Halka is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277871 G059723 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. DIAZ, SR., (MARIO ALBERTO)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Victoria Stafford is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277872 B311683 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. ARNOLD (STEPHEN)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lori Kantor is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S252281**LEE (KENNY) ON
CLEMENCY**

Motion to unseal record denied

The “Motion to Unseal Records” is denied as untimely.
Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

S214917**PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)**

Order filed

Because appellant is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to appellant the prose submission received on January 6, 2023. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

S245433**PESOLA ON RESIGNATION**

Order filed

Due to the State Bar of California having received confirmation on July 9, 2022, that the attorney VIRGINIA RAE PESOLA, #101208, was deceased prior to the order of resignation filed on December 4, 2017, the order of resignation, is hereby stricken nunc pro tunc.

S273504**KOSACK ON RESIGNATION**

Order filed

Due to the State Bar of California having received confirmation on December 22, 2022, that the attorney REXFORD COLIN KOSACK, #82135, was deceased prior to the order of resignation filed on March 10, 2022, the order of resignation, is hereby stricken nunc pro tunc.

S276491**MORANTZ ON
RESIGNATION**

Order filed

Due to the State Bar of California having received confirmation on November 18, 2022, that the attorney PAUL ROBERT MORANTZ, #51183 was deceased prior to the order of resignation filed on September 29, 2022, the order of resignation, is hereby stricken nunc pro tunc.

S277166

Order filed

JONOUBI ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed January 12, 2023, suspending SOHEILA JONOUBI (Respondent), State Bar Number 212833, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that SOHEILA JONOUBI (Respondent), State Bar Number 212833, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. Respondent makes restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar’s Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:
 - (1) Jon B. Greenfield, M.D. in the amount of \$1,800 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 1, 2017; and
 - (2) Walter Barron and Julie Barron in the amount of \$6,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 1, 2017;
 - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 21, 2022.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 21, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-fourth per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, 2026, and 2027. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable

immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, 2026, and 2027. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately."

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 12, 2023."

S277168

Order filed

SWEIGART ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed January 12, 2023, suspending STEPHEN RANDOLPH SWEIGART (Respondent), State Bar Number 190067, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that STEPHEN RANDOLPH SWEIGART (Respondent), State Bar Number 190067, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 21, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 21, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,875 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 12, 2023."

S277171

Order filed

MORENO ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed January 12, 2023, suspending KATHLEEN ANNE MORENO (Respondent), State Bar Number 106062, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that KATHLEEN ANNE MORENO (Respondent), State Bar Number 106062, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 19, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 19, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,875 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-fifth per year, with Respondent’s annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027 and 2028. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent’s annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, and 2026. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 12, 2023.”

S277177**SORAHAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed on January 12, 2023, suspending RYAN BRECK SORAHAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 261042, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that RYAN BRECK SORAHAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 261042, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on September 8, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on September 8, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 12, 2023.”

S277359**TETI ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed January 18, 2023, suspending ERIC ANTHONY TETI (Respondent), State Bar Number 241941, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that ERIC ANTHONY TETI (Respondent), State Bar Number 241941, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 13, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department

in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 13, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 18, 2023.”

S277370

**CARMICHAEL ON
DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed January 25, 2023, suspending JOHN HAMMETT CARMICHAEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 196416, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that JOHN HAMMETT CARMICHAEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 196416, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 19, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 19, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 25, 2023.”

S277371

Order filed

ERNAGA ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed January 25, 2023, suspending MARC PIERRE ERNAGA (Respondent), State Bar Number 212723, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that MARC PIERRE ERNAGA (Respondent), State Bar Number 212723, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 6, 2022; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on October 6, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This Order is effective nunc pro tunc to January 25, 2023.”

S277459

Order filed

COWAN ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending KEVIN MICHAEL COWAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 284271, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that KEVIN MICHAEL COWAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 284271, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 26, 2022; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 26, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277460

Order filed

JAMES ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending DAVID ALDEN JAMES (Respondent), State Bar Number 193907, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that DAVID ALDEN JAMES (Respondent), State Bar Number 193907, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-third per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, 2026. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277461**OGANESYAN ON
DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending GEVORK OGANESYAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 293466, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that GEVORK OGANESYAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 293466, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 25, 2022.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent’s annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277489**WHITE ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending JAMES P. WHITE (Respondent), State Bar Number 278756, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that JAMES P. WHITE (Respondent), State Bar Number 278756, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation.

2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-half per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277493

**WATERMAN ON
DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending JOHN ROBERT WATERMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 215378, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that JOHN ROBERT WATERMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 215378, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 18, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department

in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 18, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277494

Order filed

MANOCK ON DISCIPLINE

The order filed February 1, 2023, suspending CHARLES KENDALL MANOCK (Respondent), State Bar Number 161633, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that CHARLES KENDALL MANOCK (Respondent), State Bar Number 161633, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation.
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 21, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 21, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law

This order is effective nunc pro tunc to February 1, 2023.”

S277664**AUSTIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LEROY BISHOP AUSTIN (Respondent), State Bar Number 175497, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent’s name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Jorge Urueta Vega, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$1,998 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 8, 2021 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277666**FINN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WARREN MICHAEL FINN (Respondent), State Bar Number 34970, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

October 27, 2022.

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 27, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277668

HUMPHREY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEVIN MATTHEW HUMPHREY (Respondent), State Bar Number 170715, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2022; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by

law.

S277670

LUCERO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ESTEVAN R. LUCERO (Respondent), State Bar Number 298076, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Barbara Leos in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 19, 2019;
- (2) Barbara Leos in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 21, 2018; and
- (3) Steve and Renee Gray in the amount of \$3,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 1, 2019.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277680

NGUYEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CARYN HONG THUY NGUYEN (Respondent), State Bar Number 206420, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;

2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 15, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 15, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277682**MORGAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN LOUIS MORGAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 125117, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 7, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 7, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277683

**VELASQUEZ ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DIEGO JOHN VELASQUEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 176961, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 18 months of probation;
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 8, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 8, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.