

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S278526 A162634 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. JONES
(ALIJONDRO)**

Time for ordering review extended on the court’s own motion

The time for ordering review on the court’s own motion is hereby extended to April 24, 2023.
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S185810 **PEOPLE v. THREATS
(DERLYN RAY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Jessica Oats’, representation that the appellant’s opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 11, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 11, 2023. After that date, only six further extensions totaling 366 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S221158 **PEOPLE v. CISNEROS
(LEONARDO ALBERTO)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant’s application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Michael Lasher’s representation that the appellant’s reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 26, 2024, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 28, 2023. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 304 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S231558**PEOPLE v. NEALY (EDDIE RICKY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 29, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 29, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S232568**WALDON (BILLY RAY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Hassan Gorguinpour's representation that the reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 4, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to April 4, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S239380**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (GILBERT BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

Based on counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 10, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 11, 2023. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 182 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631 (d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S242076**PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS (DAVID REY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 4, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 4, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S276009**RENGEL (GUSTAVO) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 28, 2023.

S277156**WEATHINGTON
(ANTHONY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 10, 2023.

S278501 E080584 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**SUMMERFIELD (JANET) v.
S.C. (CITY OF SAN
BERNARDINO)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on February 7, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Guerrero, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S267453 Betty Tansavatdi, Plaintiff and Appellant,
 v.
 City of Rancho Palos Verdes, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Daniel P. Barer argued for Respondent.
Jeffrey I. Ehrlich argued for Appellant.

Mr. Barer replied.
Cause submitted.

S266344 Stephen K. Davis, Plaintiff and Appellant,
 v.
 Fresno Unified School District et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. Myron Moskovitz argued for Respondent Harris
Construction Company, Inc.
Mark L. Creede argued for Respondent Fresno Unified School District.
Kevin R. Carlin argued for Appellant.

Mr. Moskovitz replied.
Cause submitted.

S270907

In re F.M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

F.M., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Michael Reed, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Donna M. Provenzano, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Reed replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the court and officer present as first shown.

S189373

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Lester Harland Wilson, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Patrick M. Ford, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant (**VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE**).

Meredith White, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Ford replied.

Cause argued.

Court adjourned.