SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S265172 B298366 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

PEOPLE v. HENDERSON (LEVEL OMEGA)

Request for modification granted

THE COURT:

The petition for rehearing is ordered refiled as a request for modification of the opinion. The opinion in this matter, filed on November 17, 2022, and appearing at 14 Cal.5th 34, is modified as follows:

- 1. On page 56, the seventh sentence of the first full paragraph stating, "The term for robbing the patron would be one-third of the midterm, doubled," is deleted.
- 2. On page 56, in the first full paragraph, before the final sentence that begins, "As in the prior example," insert the following:

If imposed consecutively, the term for robbing the patron would be one-third of the midterm, doubled. If the court runs the term concurrently, it would impose a full base term, doubled. (See §§ 669, subd. (a); 1170, subd. (a)(3).)

This modification does not affect the judgment.

S277518 H049033 Sixth Appellate District

CAMP (DELMER) v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 84 Cal.App.5th 638, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277304 E073016 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ, JR., (ANGEL ZACARIAS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The People's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rojas*, S275835 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendants' petitions for review are denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277547 B306275/B308339 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 FIGUEROA (RAUL B.) v. FCA US, LLC

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Niedermeier v. FCA US LLC*, S266034 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277568 A162817 First Appellate District, Div. 4

DHITAL (SOBITA) v. NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Rattagan v. Uber Techs., Inc.*, S272113 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277871 G059723 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. DIAZ, SR., (MARIO ALBERTO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277872B311683 Second Appellate District, Div. 5PEOPLE v. ARNOLD
(STEPHEN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Needham v. Superior Court*, S276395 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S272257

Order to show cause issued, returnable in Superior Court

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause before the Los Angeles County Superior Court, when ordered on calendar, why the relief sought should not be granted.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277250

The request for judicial notice is denied. The petition for review is denied.

S277281 D080949 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

Concurring Statement by Justice Groban

In October 2017, petitioner William Gates committed an assault against a stranger at a homeless shelter and then resisted the officers who attempted to subdue him. Petitioner's counsel declared a doubt as to petitioner's competency to stand trial, and on the date of the competency hearing, petitioner moved to substitute his counsel pursuant to *People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118. The trial court, however, refused to hear petitioner's *Marsden* motion until after completing the competency hearing. As all parties concede and as the Court of Appeal correctly found, this was clear error. Following this error by the trial court, petitioner made a series of what later proved to be disastrous decisions. Specifically, after petitioner was declared competent to stand trial, he

CONO (LEO) v. PREMTAJ (MARY)

HAMBY (SCOTT D.) ON H.C.

GATES (WILLIAM C.) ON H.C.

withdrew his Marsden motion and successfully invoked his right to represent himself under Faretta v. California (1975) 422 U.S. 806. At the same time, petitioner rejected the prosecution's offer of probation. Shortly thereafter, the matter proceeded to a bench trial at which petitioner, representing himself, was convicted and sentenced not to probation, but to 17 years in prison. Though the Court of Appeal found that the trial court's failure to hear petitioner's Marsden motion prior to his competency proceeding was error, it found that petitioner was not prejudiced by the error because, "even if he was initially motivated to make the [Faretta] request because of the court's prior delay in hearing his Marsden motion," petitioner had a constitutional right to represent himself and he "knowingly and intelligently waived his right to counsel." Given the Court of Appeal's finding of no prejudice, I join my colleagues in voting to deny review. I am nevertheless troubled by the result here. Again, there is no dispute that an error occurred, one in which petitioner was denied the right to request new counsel at the appropriate time. Then, when petitioner was belatedly given the right to make his Marsden motion, he decided to withdraw the motion, represent himself, and reject an offer of probation. Petitioner is now in prison serving a 17-year sentence. I accordingly write separately to clarify that our denial of review of this petition for writ of habeas corpus is without prejudice to seeking other appropriate relief, including filing a renewed habeas petition should new, timely, material, and credible information become available demonstrating that counsel's performance was deficient or that petitioner was prejudiced by the trial court's delay in hearing his Marsden motion. (See Pen. Code, § 1473, subd. (b)(3)(A).) Our denial is also without prejudice to petitioner's ability to be referred for recall and resentencing. (Pen. Code, § 1172.1.)

GROBAN, J.

We Concur: CORRIGAN, J. LIU, J. EVANS, J.

S277296 Petition for rev	B321737 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	NIEVES (SANDI DAWN) ON H.C.
S277448 Petition for rev	A165860 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. AYALA (ADAM)
S277454 Petition for rev	F082657 Fifth Appellate District view & publication request(s) denied	HOCH (CORY) v. AHLIN (PAM)

S277503	B313878 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	IMMIGRANT RIGHTS DEFENSE COUNCIL, LLC v. HUDSON INSURANCE COMPANY
Petition for re	view & depublication request(s) denied	
S277509	C093682 Third Appellate District	SHEETZ (GEORGE) v. COUNTY OF EL DORADO
Petition for re	view denied	
S277511	B324460 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	WASSERMAN LAW GROUP v. S.C. (SHAKIB)
Petition for re	view denied	
S277512	H047100 Sixth Appellate District	BUSHANSKY (STEPHEN) v. ALLIANCE FIBER OPTIC PRODUCTS, INC.; ISAACSON
Petition for re	view denied	(ERIC ALAN)
S277516	C096452 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (HARLAN)
Petition for re	view denied	
S277530	H048128 Sixth Appellate District	O'SULLIVAN (FLORENCE MARTIN) v. WILLIAMS
Petition for re	view denied	(STEPHANIE)
8277554	B311531 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	HEFFERNAN (CURTIS) v. BILZERIAN (DAN)
Petitions for r	eview denied	
S277596	A162952 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. GOETTING (CHARLES KYLE)
Petition for re	view denied	

B311080 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 JAISINGHANI (GUL) v. TER-OGANESIAN (ARMEN R.)

Petition for review denied

S277617E075783 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. REED (TAIWAN
ORRAN)

The petition for review and the supplemental petition for review are denied.

S277620 B27	7750/B279009/B285904 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	SIRY INVESTMENT, L.P. v. FARKHONDEHPOUR
Petition for re	view denied	(SAEED)
S277624	A163674 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. ARLOV (GALINA ALEXANDRIA)
Petition for re	view denied	
S277649 Petition for re	G059954 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	D. II (JOHN), CONSERVATORSHIP OF
S277659 Petition for re	B317944 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	IN RE LEYLANI J.
S277674 Petition for re	G061808 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	THOMAS (DENISE) v. S.C. (McKEON)
S277685 Petition for re	C095418 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. SPEARS (TYSON PATRICK)

S277694	C091102 Third Appellate District	CAMROCK CO., INC. v. SECURITY PAVING COMPANY, INC.
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277696	E077239 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SHERWOOD (ROBIN LEE)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277697	E076975 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	SHOUSE (ANDREW) v. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277755	B318174 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. CASTRO (PHILLIP)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277788	F082784 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (STEVEN ADRIAN)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277804	A165202 First Appellate District, Div. 2	U.S. BANK v. ELSTEAD (JOHN CLIFTON)
Petition for rev	iew & application for stay denied	
S277805	C094322 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. SEVCHUK (GENADIY)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277817	B324096 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	CAMOU (ROBERT ANTHONY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for rev	iew denied	
S277830 Petition for rev	B325108 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 iew denied	TYLER (JUAN T.) ON H.C.

S277836 **MARTINEZ (CARLOS) ON** F085271 Fifth Appellate District H.C. Petition for review denied S277843 C093921 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. HOUSEMAN** (RANDALL) Petition for review denied S277852 B320070 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. GARCES (EDWIN DAVID**) Petition for review denied B322559 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S277857 LOUDON (CLAYTON) v. DHSE, INC. Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. LARRABURU** S277861 H048362 Sixth Appellate District (PAUL GABRIEL) Petition for review denied S277865 F081961 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. TAPORCO** (TINYAMOR) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. PACHECO** S277866 F083083 Fifth Appellate District (ARIEL ROBERTO CHIC) Petition for review denied S277869 A165847 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MORAN (JUNIOR ANTONIO**) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. BATHUM** S277870 B306784 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 (CHRISTOPHER J.) Petition for review denied

S277874	G060115 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. DUMBRAVA (SEBASTIAN BOGDAN)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S277885 Petition for rev	B314146 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PEOPLE v. STEWART (CARLETHA ANN)
S277886 Petition for rev	B307561 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	MIN (BYUNG OK), ESTATE OF
S277891 Petition for rev	G061928 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	GRAYBILL III (FORREST G.) v. S.C. (GRAYBILL)
S277898 Petition for rev	G059403 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	THOMPSON (NANCY) v. ITO (THOMAS YOSHIO)
S277901 Petition for rev	F081568 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. MEJIA (DANIEL)
S277902 Petition for rev	C090890 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. ZAMORA- CANADA (GERMAN)
S277903 Petition for rev	C090713 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. CARR (RADARRYL)
S277911 Petition for rev	H047146 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. CALVERT (ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ)

S277924 B317007 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **IN RE A.J.** Petition for review denied

S277929	A163788 First Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. BATES (FRED
		ANDRE)

Petition for review denied

S277931 E079895 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

S277945 B322499 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. STELLE III (STANFORD JAMES)

COSTANZA (JOSEPH

MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Defendant's application to file the unredacted petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.47.) The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition for review under seal.

The petition for review is denied.

S277996	D080923 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (ANTHONY DAVID)
Petition for rev	view denied	``````````````````````````````````````

S278073

LISTER (JOSEPH EMANUEL) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S278142	A166901 First Appellate District, Div. 3	BAKHTJOU (BITA) v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

	51477/G061594 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view & application for stay denied	LOPEZ (ARTHUR & CHERYL), MARRIAGE OF
S278179 Petition for rev	G061431 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view & application for stay denied	LOPEZ (ARTHUR & CHERYL), MARRIAGE OF
S278180 Petition for rev	G061591 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view & application for stay denied	LOPEZ (ARTHUR & CHERYL), MARRIAGE OF
S278182 Petition for rev	G061473 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view & application for stay denied	LOPEZ (ARTHUR & CHERYL), MARRIAGE OF
S278185	G061482 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	LOPEZ (ARTHUR & CHERYL), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S278214

FRIEDMAN (SHOSHANA) v. S.C. (DIENGOTT)

The request for judicial notice is denied. The petition for writ of mandate and application for stay are denied.

S272451

Petitioner's "Application to File Appendices E & F, Exhibits 5, 21, 28, 31, and 42, and Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under Seal" filed on December 27, 2021, is granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(d)(6).) The clerk of this court is directed to file appendices E & F, exhibits 5, 21, 28, 31, and 42, and the petition for writ of habeas corpus under seal. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S276295

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

SOTO (ANTONIO) ON H.C.

WOLINSKI (KRZYSZTOF) ON H.C.

The request for judicial notice is denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S276304

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S276316

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276402

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276865

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276915

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S276920

ON H.C. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

KENNEDY (JOHN FITZGERALD) ON H.C.

GIBBS (ROBERT A.) ON H.C.

McKENNA (TIMOTHY PATRICK) ON H.C.

ZEIGLER (ANCHALLA

ANDRE) ON H.C.

LOPEZ (REY CORONEL) ON H.C.

ALHIMIDI (KASSIM) ON H.C.

WEIBEL (MICHAEL LEE)

127

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277005

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277008

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S277045

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277053 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277081 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277089

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277641

McDOWELL (GIOVANNI ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S277749

WILSON (DAVID WAYNE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

AGUILAR (STEVEN) ON H.C.

MAREZ (CARLOS ALBERTO) ON H.C.

McGEE (JAMES COREY) ON

H.C.

THRELKELD (JOSHUA DAVID) ON H.C.

COX (EVERETT) ON H.C.

DAVALL (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

TRAN (HUY TRONG) ON H.C.

VANWINKLE (TYLER BRYCE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S277934

CALDERON (JUAN CARLOS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; In re Lindley (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S276925

FLEIDMAN (PETER) v. CA 6 (SHAH)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

S277026

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

S277254

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

129

THOMAS (KEITH PERZELL)

RICHARDS (ALICIA MARIE)

v. CA 4/3 (RICHARDS)

v. S.C. (CHABOLLA)

S278324 A165607 First Appellate District, Div. 2

K. (SARAH) v. S.C. (SONOMA COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT)

Stay order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, the Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26 hearing, currently scheduled for February 6, 2023, is hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

Sonoma County Human Services Department is directed to file an answer to the petition for review. The answer must be served upon petitioner and filed in this court by 3:00 p.m. on Friday, February 10, 2023. The answer should address all issues raised in the petition for review. Petitioner must file and serve a reply to the answer by 3:00 p.m. on Friday, February 17, 2023. The court does not contemplate granting any request for an extension of time.

S277077	B302770 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	POTE (PATRICK) v. HANDY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Publication rec	quest denied (case closed)	
S277340	C080550 Third Appellate District	WEIMER, JR., (ROBERT) v. NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE,
Publication rec	juests denied (case closed)	LLC
S277440	B310701 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	LeMONS (DAMIAN) v. CITY
		OF LOS ANGELES
r ublication let	uest denied (case closed)	
S277520	B311451 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	ABELAR (DEE ANN) v. MORA (JEFFREY)
Publication request denied (case closed)		
S277534 Publication rec	H048453 Sixth Appellate District quests denied (case closed)	L. (Y.) v. T. (L.)

RANDLE (JUDY) v. FARMERS NEW WORLD LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

AMY'S KITCHEN, INC. v.

INSURANCE COMPANY

(HEATHER LEATRICE)

FIREMAN'S FUND

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S277784 A163767 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S278420 D079001 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HENLEY

B304970 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 4, 2023. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S266344 F079811 Fifth Appellate District

DAVIS (STEPHEN K.) v. FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Order filed

Amicus curiae California School Boards Association's Education Legal Alliance's request for judicial notice, filed September 22, 2021, is denied.

S266344 F079811 Fifth Appellate District

DAVIS (STEPHEN K.) v. FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Motion denied

Plaintiff Stephen K. Davis's Motion to Strike Portions of Petitioners' Opening Briefs, filed July 2, 2021, is denied. Our denial of plaintiff's motion should not be interpreted as broadening the issue we granted review to decide.

S266344 F079811 Fifth Appellate District

DAVIS (STEPHEN K.) v. FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Motion granted

Plaintiff Stephen K. Davis's Motion that Reviewing Court Take Fresno Unified School District's Supplemental Evidence, filed July 2, 2021, is granted. (See Evid. Code § 452, subd. (c); *Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine v. Los Angeles Unified School Dis.* (2019) 43 Cal.App.5th 175, 183.)

HART ON RESIGNATION

Motion granted

S278061

On January 11, 2023, the court filed an order accepting the voluntary resignation of DANIEL ALLEN HART, State Bar Number 100867, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. On January 24, 2023, DANIEL ALLEN HART filed a motion to withdraw his request to voluntarily resign. Good cause being shown, the court grants his motion. The prior order accepting his voluntary resignation as an attorney of the State Bar of California is hereby vacated.

S269401

LOS ANGELES TIMES COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA (GIRARDI)

Order filed

The application of Peyman Roshan filed November 17, 2022, is hereby denied. Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.

S277601 Petition denied	(accusation)	ACCUSATION OF GABRILL
S277728 Petition denied	(accusation)	ACCUSATION OF COLLINS
S277895 Petition denied	(accusation)	ACCUSATION OF HIDAKA

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEVIN MICHAEL COWAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 284271, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 26, 2022; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 26, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S277460

JAMES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID ALDEN JAMES (Respondent), State Bar Number 193907, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be

COWAN ON DISCIPLINE

terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-third per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024, 2025, 2026. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277461

OGANESYAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEVORK OGANESYAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 293466, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 25, 2022.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in

the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S277489

WHITE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES P. WHITE (Respondent), State Bar Number 278756, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 20, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-half per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after

the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S277493

WATERMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN ROBERT WATERMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 215378, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 18, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 18, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2024 and 2025. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHARLES KENDALL MANOCK (Respondent), State Bar Number 161633, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 21, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 21, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts

specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law

S277496

SILVA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID REY SILVA (Respondent), State Bar Number 152690, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

MANOCK ON DISCIPLINE

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S278367

AUWARTER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NEIL FREDERICK AUWARTER, State Bar Number 109576, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278368

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KEVIN J. BURDICK, State Bar Number 115501, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278369

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RENA BELLE DENHAM, State Bar Number 107011, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278372

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of VICTORIA J. HENNELLY, State Bar Number 112657, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DENHAM ON RESIGNATION

HENNELLY ON RESIGNATION

138

BURDICK ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOEL G. HIRSCH, State Bar Number 71225, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278376

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD ALAN KEEFE, State Bar Number 88516, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278377

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL MAX McKONE, State Bar Number 127310, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278378

MURPHY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARJORIE E. MURPHY, State Bar Number 154115, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278379

ROWLEY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GLENN ALAN ROWLEY, State Bar Number 172557, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278380

WADE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TODD NEIL WADE, State Bar Number 268748, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KEEFE ON RESIGNATION

McKONE ON RESIGNATION

HIRSCH ON RESIGNATION

COLEMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of PATRICIA A. COLEMAN, State Bar Number 39026, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278390

CONNELLY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBIN McGINNITY CONNELLY, State Bar Number 98069, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278401

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JENNIFER MARIE EVANS, State Bar Number 305605, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278404

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NAOMI S. LOO, State Bar Number 283530, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278407

MEISEL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JODY ANN MEISEL, State Bar Number 109610, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278408

PIACENTE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ADAM J. PIACENTE, State Bar Number 250222, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

EVANS ON RESIGNATION

LOO ON RESIGNATION

SCHWARTZ ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD HOWARD SCHWARTZ, State Bar Number 127318, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278410

SCOVILLE ON RESIGNATION

ZIMMERMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARK R. SCOVILLE, State Bar Number 295468, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S278411

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JACLYN MARIE ZIMMERMAN, State Bar Number 265931, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.