# SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2025 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S288040** A170430 First Appellate District, Div. 2

TRISTAR RISK
MANAGEMENT v.
WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & FLORES (HEVER
RIVERA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Mayor v. W.C.A.B.* (*Ross Valley Sanitation District*), S287261 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S288159** B330942 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. CORTES (JASIEL)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S287814 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288412 C099987 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LONDON (MICHAEL EUGENE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Superior Court (Guevara)*, S283305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288414 B334098 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GAYTAN (HERSON)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S287814 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288473 B331768 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (CRAIG ALLEN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288477 F087074 Fifth Appellate District PEOP

PEOPLE v. DYER (STACEY DANIELLA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Morris*, S284751 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288490 F084503 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. IBARRA (VICENTE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Bankston*, S044739 and *People v. Hin*, S141519 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288539 H051467 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KOGER (SETH ANDREW)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288572 H051298 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL (RICARDO DANIEL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Rhodius*, S283169 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S288543 A169276 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. JONES (DANIEL PAUL)

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause as the Court sees fit. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S268698 G057340 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (LUIS JAVIER)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

Appellant Luis Javier Cervantes's "Motion to Expand Review" filed on February 15, 2022, is denied.

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to conduct further proceedings as may be necessary to address appellant's entitlement to the benefit of ameliorative legislation that became effective while review was pending in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S270136 E074846 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. JONES (DAVID PIERRE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

Appellant David Pierre Jones's requests for self-representation and substitution of counsel are denied.

Appellant's "Motion to Expand Issues on Review" filed on July 25, 2023, is denied. The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to conduct further proceedings as may be necessary to address appellant's entitlement to the benefit of ameliorative legislation that became effective while review was pending in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S279051 C091902 Third Appellate District

WILLIAMS (MELISSA A.) v. FCA US LLC

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Rodriguez v. FCA US, LLC* (2024) 17 Cal.5th 189. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) As explained in *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21, and California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3), corresponding comment, par. 3, the opinion is hereby rendered either "depublished" or "not citable."

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S287571

DEDMON, SR., (DE'ANDRE LA'NELL) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Petitioner's request, filed on January 27, 2025, to withdraw the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted.

S287003 CARNEGIE (GEORGE

WALTER) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE

DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE

(PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate is denied without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus raising a claim under the Racial Justice Act of 2020 in Alameda County Superior Court. (See Pen. Code, §§ 745, 1473, subd. (e).)

S287579 H052586 Sixth Appellate District SEPEHRY-FARD (FAREED)

v. S.C. (U.S. BANK

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION)

Petition for review denied

S287651 B328182 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ANDERSON (ASHLIE R.) v.

AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

("AAA") LLC

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S287823 E084359 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PETTUS (DARRELL HERMAN) ON H.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Montgomery*, S287339.

Liu and Evans, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted and the matter transferred to the Court of Appeal for issuance of an order to show cause.

S287861 MONTENEGRO (JANINA) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S287951 B327554 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. HORTON

(RICHAAD CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S287964 A169580 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GIPPSON

(DEANDRE LEE)

Petition for review denied

S287970 A171239 First Appellate District, Div. 1 HARRIS (LESLIE) v. UCSF **MOFFITT-LONG** Petition for review denied S287974 A168930 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JONES (JOVAN) Petition for review denied G064757 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 S288048 INDIAN WELLS VALLEY **GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY v. S.C.** (SEARLES VALLEY MINERALS, INC.) Petition for review denied B341817 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 S288052 PREBE (MARK) v. S.C. (PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES) Petition for review denied D083318 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S288086 PEOPLE v. KING (MAURICE RICHARD) Petition for review denied S288109 B328038 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. MATTOX, JR., (CLAUDE DAVE) Petition for review denied S288111 B331693 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (CARLOS) Petition for review denied S288120 B334674 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ANDREAS

(NICOLAS DAVID)

S288122 H051365 Sixth Appellate District HUANG FAMILY v. CITY OF

Petition for review denied

S288149 E080771 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SHALABI (LUIS

ALEXANDRO) v.

**CUPERTINO** 

PERNICIARO (JASON)

Petition for review denied

S288155 PEOPLE v. THOMAS

(HORACE ANDREW)

Petition for review denied

S288196 B331073 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 VAGHASHIA (GOVIND) v. VAGHASHIA (PRASHANT)

Petition for review denied

S288197 C098637 Third Appellate District FEDERIGHI (VEDA); LEAHY

(LINDA) v. ADAMS

(THERESE)

Petition for review denied

S288211 D081792/D082092 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. FIELD (MARTIN)

Petition for review denied

S288215 C095545 Third Appellate District ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA,

INC. v. SMITH (SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S288220 B316555 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 GASPAROVIC (TONY) v.

GASPAROVIC (WANNEE)

**S288238** G062621 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

MUHA (CHARLOTTE) v. EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S288243 E083575 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

BENT (JAMES) v. GOGER

(DEBORAH)

Petition for review denied

S288247 D082647 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

HOLLENDORFER (JERRY) v. CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

Petition for review denied

S288252 H051087 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LUGOS (ANGEL

**ROJAS**)

Petition for review denied

**S288279** F086895 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ALVIDREZ

(ARTHUR)

Petition for review denied

**S288286** E082490 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

OOGJEN (TABITHA) & PAGAN, JR., (AUGUSTINE),

MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S288295 C099219 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DIAZ (HUMBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S288324 D083767 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. KLINGELHOFER

(KARL BRIAN)

S288326 G062640 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SAHAGUN (ALVARO MENDOZA)

Petition for review denied

S288330 D082516 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S288353 H052706 Sixth Appellate District SMITH (KENNETH WAYNE)
ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S288357 F087607 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. JASSO (GIOVANNI THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S288385 E080450 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 HAACKE (CHA) v. HERRERA (VICTOR J.)

Petition for review denied

S288388 G062510 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MORLETT III (OSCAR LUIS)

Petition for review denied

S288402 G062765 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. KIM (DAVE DAE

HONG)
Petition for review denied

S288407 F077527 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (FRANCISCO)

Petition for review denied

S288408 A169180 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. DALBALCON (ANTONIO JOSEPH)

S288409 F086092 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. OLARTE (DEMETRIO)

Petition for review denied

S288416 B330066 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. R. (J.)

Petition for review denied

S288421 D081977 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HARPER (JULIE ELIZABETH)

Petition for review denied

S288423 E083852 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.D.

Petition for review denied

S288425 B330041 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (ELISEO RAMOS)

Petition for review denied

S288427 A167726 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ASKEW (HOSEA)

Petition for review denied

S288428 G062571 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHNNY

JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S288448 B330254 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ

(MARTIN)

Petition for review denied

S288453 B327099 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. WHITE

(MALCOLM OMARI AKKIL)

S288462 D082858 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (PABLO EDUARDO)

Petition for review denied

S288463 E080933 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. THORNTON (SHAUN LAYJEWEL)

Petition for review denied

S288468 F087092 Fifth Appellate District JHS FAMILY LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP v. COUNTY

OF FRESNO

Petition for review denied

S288470 RIVERA (MICHAEL

ALEXANDER) v. COURT OF

APPEAL, SECOND

APPELLATE DISTRICT

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of error coram nobis denied

S288478 F086004 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PEREZ

(ALFREDO)

Petition for review denied

S288479 C099667 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ORNELAS (RUDY

JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S288481 B328696 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. GWIN (GEVONTE

**DESHAWN**)

Petition for review denied

S288483 B332259 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE D.S.

PEOPLE v. MEDRANO (JUAN

MORENO)

S288493 Petition for re	E080726 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. LEMUS (ANDREW ERIC)
S288496 Petition for re	G062460 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. ROMERO (VICTOR MANUEL)
S288499 Petition for re	E082924 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. FLORES (JON EDWARD)
S288502 Petition for re	C099167 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. CASTLE (RYAN MATTHEW)
S288503 Petition for re	A168477 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. GARRIDOAGUILAR (JUAN CARLOS)
S288506 Petition for re	B328388 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. CANALES (SANTIAGO GONZALO)
S288514 Petition for re	B342529 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	FINK (DAVID MARK) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S288518 Petition for re	B333259 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. NARDINI (ANTHONY THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S288519

C097205 Third Appellate District

S288520 A169048 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. PARK (TONG MYUNG)

The request for judicial notice is denied.

The petition for review is denied.

S288523 B334423 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE J.P.

Petition for review denied

S288525 D082118 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BOGUS (LORENZO)

Petition for review denied

S288534 C099466 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DAVIS (DENNIS

MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S288553 D082606 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CLARK (JASON

ROBERT)

Petition for review denied

S288688 D085197 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 P. (PHITIKHOUN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S288712 D085198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 C. (RUDY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S289018 E085306 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 RIDEN (DAVID) v. S.C.

(BAKER)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S283478 VICTORY (MICHAEL ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S283729 CHATMAN (CHARLES JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S284107 RENGEL (GUSTAVO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S285025 COLE (WALTER LEE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. The clerk of the court is further directed to return to petitioner the documents filed in this case, which include an unsealed copy of petitioner's sealed *Marsden* hearing. The clerk is also directed to delete the electronic record of those documents.

S285462 CARNEGIE (GEORGE WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S285823 MESERVE (KAILAN CORIDON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S286549 FECI (JAMES MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S286552 GUZMAN (JOSE WILSON)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S286570 DIAZ (SIMON LUA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S286728 McCOY (KALOM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S287053 SANDERS (RICKY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S287088 OSUNA (MOSES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S287140 BOONE (VELTON LAMONT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S288128 CARNEGIE (GEORGE WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S288144 SUGGS (ERIC W.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S287733 E083391 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE ISAAC M.

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S288099** H051526 Sixth Appellate District **G. (E.) v. L. (M.)** 

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S288157 C098005 Third Appellate District

SHIELDS (ROBYN & STEVEN), MARRIAGE OF

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S288181** B323708 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

TUCKER (FRED) v. PNC

BANK, N.A.

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S288207** G064831 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

KNEESHAW (CAROL LEIGH) v. S.C. (CITY OF

**ORANGE**)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S286297 H050122 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. COFER (CHRISTOPHER LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 16, 2025.

**S287404** A168803 First Appellate District, Div. 2

FOX PAINE & COMPANY, LLC v. TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 12, 2025.

S288256 ACCUSATION OF RAZBAN

Petition denied (accusation)

S288274 ACCUSATION OF

YANAGAWA

Petition denied (accusation)

S288312 ACCUSATION OF REYNOLDS

Petition denied (accusation)

S288515 ACCUSATION OF PFEFFER

Petition denied (accusation)

S288218 SALZWEDEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM AUGUST SALZWEDEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 225331, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1));
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 4, 2024; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 4, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).) Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

### S288221

## FOBI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI (Respondent), State Bar Number 210764, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2024; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 31, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).) Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of one-third per year, with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2025, 2026, and 2027. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2025, 2026, and 2027. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

#### S288227

#### DORMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GABRIEL ERIC DORMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 182340, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation (with credit given for the period of administrative suspension which commenced on October 10, 2021.)
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 30, 2024; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on October 30, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

## S288216

## **DUDLEY ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of CHRISTOPHER W. DUDLEY (Attorney), State Bar Number 329074, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in denial of any future application for reinstatement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.