

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 2025  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S146528**

**PEOPLE v. SNYDER  
(JANEEN MARIE) &  
THORNTON (MICHAEL  
FORREST)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Tracy J. Dressner's representation that appellant Janeen Snyder's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 27, 2025, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 1, 2025. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 56 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(B)(ii).)

**S152556**

**PEOPLE v. OROZCO (JOSE  
LUIS)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Upon application of counsel Tara K. Allen, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is granted to March 24, 2025. After that date, no further extensions will be granted. Within 30 days after any supplemental opening brief has been filed pursuant to this order, the People may serve and file a supplemental answering brief, not to exceed 50 pages in length. Appellant may thereafter serve and file a reply, not to exceed 25 pages in length, within 20 days after the People have filed their supplemental answering brief.

**S284729**

**JIMENEZ (CHAD  
WILLIAMS) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 3, 2025.

**S288794****PERRY (ANTHONY  
MAURICE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

**S288957****JACKSON (CORNEL) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

**S288053****REYNOSO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDGAR JAVIER REYNOSO (Respondent), State Bar Number 189447, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 29, 2024; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.

**S288055****CHA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JE MYUNG CHA (Respondent), State Bar Number 254260, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1));
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 9, 2024; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must comply with the requirement to take and provide to the State Bar's Office of Case Management and Supervision proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination in the manner and as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on October 9, 2024. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).) Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Any monetary requirements imposed in this matter shall be considered satisfied or waived when authorized by applicable law or orders of any court.