## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2023 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S277072 D079716 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 TELLEZ (VICTOR RAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 84 Cal.App.5th 292, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)* 

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277322 B315593 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. DOWNS (DAVID)**Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Gray*, S269237 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S277569** F082109 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (CAMPER)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lynch*, S274942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

## **S277573** F080436 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WATKINS (CHRISTOPHER)

51

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Salazar*, S275788 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

**S277633** B317366 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE M.G.** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Dezi C.*, S275578 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

## S277686 C091113 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KILGORE (LONNIE CHARLES)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Burgos*, S274743 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

## S277673 A165046 First Appellate District, Div. 2 SIDNEY, JR., (CHARLES) v. RILEY (DANA)

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, for further proceedings. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277731 A163883 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CAMARILLO (JESUS)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Assembly Bill No. 2361 (Stats. 2022, ch. 330). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Guerrero, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Evans, JJ.

S277054 C093376 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GOODING (JAMAAL DARRIS)

Petition for review denied

S277193 H050339 Sixth Appellate District GARCIA (RICHARD) v. S.C.

(LOPEZ)

Petition for review denied

S277205 EISENACHER (KRISTINA) v.

S.C. (HODGIN)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S277227 H050493 Sixth Appellate District NOLEN (MIA) v. S.C. (FUNK)

Petition for review denied

S277255 LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (IRVINE

COMPANY, LLC)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S277266 A162524 First Appellate District, Div. 3

ATHLETICS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC v. DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL (SCHNITZER STEEL INDUSTRIES, INC.)

Petition for review denied

S277269 B321792 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 OSMAN (TERRENCE J.) v. S.C. (TRETTA)

Respondent Mia Tretta's request for judicial notice, filed November 17, 2022, is granted. The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

S277292 B306197/B306622 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 TODAY'S IV, INC. v. LOS

ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Petition for review denied

S277312 B308360 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 ZEIDENFELD (ALVIN) v.

STETLER (DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S277318 G060623 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. AGATON (SALVADOR DIRCEO)

Petition for review denied

S277323 E077321 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

(ROBERT CHRISTIAN)

Petition for review denied

S277328 C095890 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BENAVIDEZ

(JAIME ENRIQUE)

Petition for review denied

S277333 D080417 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WOODS (EARNEST C.)

The request for judicial notice is denied.

The petition for review is denied.

**S277337** F081294 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VIRUNCRUZ

(HILARIO)

Petition for review denied

S277345 C096518 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE P.S.

S277362 B323203 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

SCOTT (KEVIN IBN) ON H.C.

S277373

Petition for review denied

PONCSAK (LORI) v. BADART

(EGAN)

S277407 C094191 Third Appellate District

ALTRAIDE (STELLA) v.

KEENE (DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S277425 B315479 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

TAFT (FOSTER) v. VEATCH

CARLSON, LLP

Petition for review denied

S277452 D081039 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

LAWRIE (MATTHEW A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

**S277472** A157365 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WILSON

(GREGORY)

Petition for review denied

S277495	A165851 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. ALEMAN- RAMIREZ (RIGOBERTO)		
Petition for review denied				
S277498	A162259 First Appellate District, Div. 5	MUCHNICK (IRVIN) v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF		
Petition for review & publication request(s) denied				
S277501 Petition for rev	C097246 Third Appellate District	KENNEDY (JERRY NOBLE) ON H.C.		
S277502 Petition for rev	C095246 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. DUNLEVY (JON PAUL)		
S277506  Petition for rev	F082051 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GARCIA (AGUSTIN)		
S277515 Petition for rev	C094658 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (BRENT LUIS)		
S277539 Petition for rev	H049461 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. TORRES (ANGEL ANTONIO)		
S277572 Petition for rev	F082306 Fifth Appellate District	TAFT (FOSTER) v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION		

**S277579** C093382 Third Appellate District Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

PEOPLE v. BOYD (ASHIRON)

S277615 A159178 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. FONSECA (RENE)

Petition for review denied

S277627 C093376 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GOODING (JAMAAL DARRIS)

Petition for review denied

S277647 G060773 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TRUONG

(JONATHAN)

Petition for review denied

S277650 C094475 Third Appellate District SEMON (WILL) v. COUNTY

OF COLUSA

Petition for review denied

S277651 A164557 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. KLOSTER

(STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, C. J., and Corrigan, J., were recused and did not participate.

S277654 B312766 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 NORTH KERN WATER

STORAGE DISTRICT v. CITY

OF BAKERSFIELD

Petition for review denied

S277655 B318458 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. FRANKLIN

(RAEKWON)

Petition for review denied

S277662 D079634 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RIVOTA III

(ALBERT)

Petition for review denied

S277675 E075410 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ABEL

**JOSUE**)

Petition for review denied

S277678 F084880 Fifth Appellate District STARRETT (MIKE) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S277684 B315208 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TREJO (JUAN

CARLOS)

Petition for review denied

S277695 B314203 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 ATIZ INNOVATION

COMPANY LIMITED v. WARNOCK (NICHOLAS)

Petition for review denied

S277700 G060525 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ-COLLAZOS (OLGA)

Petition for review denied

S277724 H050434 Sixth Appellate District ALVAREZ (ANGEL) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S277727 C092868 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. COUSINS (TYRELL DUPRE)

Petition for review denied

S277729 B315379 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LYONS (ANDRE)

Petition for review denied

S277745 G060903 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CRUZ (ROBERTO

CARLOS)

Petition for review denied

S277747 D081088 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 RICCHIO (LINDA ELIZABETH) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S278149 A166645 First Appellate District, Div. 1 DALEIDEN (DAVID

ROBERT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S273364 WELTON (ROBERT JOHN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S274044 MARYLAND (PRINCE

EDWARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S274796 MELLO (WILLIAM D.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276263 HERRERA (JOSHUA) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276268 BRISENO (JACOB) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S276270 GENTRY (EDWARD RAY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276284 DEUTSCH (JANICE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction]; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

## YOUNG (GALE JOSEPH) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S276294

#### WAY (LATRALE D.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S276355 MUHAMMAD (FARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276357 KNOX (CHRISTOPHER LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276361 ANDERSON (DION) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276368 TYREE (KELAN MONTAY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276392 FRAZIER (LUTHER DEVON)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276648 GOMEZ (ASCENCION) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276693 KLOTZ (JOE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S277285 ARMENTA (RICHARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S277757 MELLO (WILLIAM D.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S276923 B310897/B310917 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 REGALBUTO (SUSAN KAY & MICHAEL), MARRIAGE OF

Publication request denied (case closed)

S276926 B313980/B315720 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 URICK III (WILLIS E.) v. URICK (DANA)

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S277251 F083832 Fifth Appellate District WEIL (NATHALIE) v.

GALLEGOS (RICHARD)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S277342 F082174 Fifth Appellate District MENDEZ-VILLEGAS

(TERESA E.) v. DUARTE

(JOHN)

Publication request denied (case closed)

CONO (LEO) v. PREMTAJ (MARY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 27, 2023.

S277325 C093344 Third Appellate District

HOGREFE (RANDOLPH) v. COUNTY OF TRINITY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 1, 2023.

S277503

B313878 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

IMMIGRANT RIGHTS
DEFENSE COUNCIL, LLC v.
HUDSON INSURANCE
COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 24, 2023.

S277509 C093682 Third Appellate District

SHEETZ (GEORGE) v. COUNTY OF EL DORADO

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 24, 2023.

S277511 B324460 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

WASSERMAN LAW GROUP

v. S.C. (SHAKIB)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 24, 2023.

S277512 H047100 Sixth Appellate District

BUSHANSKY (STEPHEN) v. ALLIANCE FIBER OPTIC PRODUCTS, INC.; ISAACSON (ERIC ALAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 24, 2023.

**S277516** C096452 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (HARLAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 27, 2023.

S277518 H049033 Sixth Appellate District

CAMP (DELMER) v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 27, 2023.

S277530 H048128 Sixth Appellate District

O'SULLIVAN (FLORENCE MARTIN) v. WILLIAMS (STEPHANIE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 28, 2023.

S277544 D075957/D076833 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 M. (K.) v. GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 1, 2023.

S277547 B306275/B308339 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 FIGUEROA (RAUL B.) v. FCA US, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

**S277548** G059837 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **W. (TIMOTHY) v. W. (JULIE)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 1, 2023.

S277554 B311531 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 HEFFERNAN (CURTIS) v. BILZERIAN (DAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

S277555

B324435 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

DIEGO (WILLIAM SOK) v.

S.C. (PUBLIC GUARDIAN OF

THE COUNTY OF LOS

ANGELES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

S277557 B309227/B310481 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION & RESEARCH ON TOXICS v. STARBUCKS
CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

S277568 A162817 First Appellate District, Div. 4 DHITAL (SOBITA) v. NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

S277570 H048837 Sixth Appellate District

BONAB (FARAZ FADAVI AKHAVAN) v. GINN (SAMUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 2, 2023.

S175660

PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON ALEJANDRO)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that the supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 17, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 17, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S225020

PEOPLE v. MURTAZA (IFTEKHAR)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Junichi P. Semitsu's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 20, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 21, 2023. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 91 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (LUIS JESUS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel J. Wilder Lee's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 20, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to March 20, 2023. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S237549

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 21, 2023.

S258581

PEOPLE v. VILLANUEVA (RIGOBERTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 20, 2023.

S272238

G058604 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. CURIEL (FREDDY ALFREDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of amicus curiae - Office of the State Public Defender and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the amicus curiae is extended to January 31, 2023.

S273179 B278091 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

TRUCK INSURANCE
EXCHANGE v. KAISER
CEMENT & GYPSUM CORP.;
LONDON MARKET
INSURERS; INSURANCE
COMPANY OF THE STATE
OF PENNSYLVANIA;
GRANITE STATE
INSURANCE COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae brief is extended to March 1, 2023.

S275848 A163503/A163504 First Appellate District, Div. 1 ILOFF (LAURANCE) v. LaPAILLE (CYNTHIA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 27, 2023.

S276140 SANAI ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – CYRUS MARK SANAI

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to state bar response is extended to February 1, 2023.

S276347 MARTIN (BRIAN DOUGLAS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 27, 2023.

S276395 G060670 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 NEEDHAM (NICHOLAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to February 23, 2023.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S276649 C096051 Third Appellate District

IN RE KENNETH D.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 21, 2023.

S277544

M. (K.) v. GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent's and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to January 30, 2023.

S277820 C094514 Third Appellate District

WRIGHT (CHEVAL SHANNON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to January 27, 2023. Petitioner will then have until February 3, 2023, to serve and file a reply to answer to petition for review.

S277832 C092139 Third Appellate District

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE v. COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES (COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)

Extension of time granted

On application of cross-defendants and appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to January 30, 2023.

TRUCK INSURANCE
EXCHANGE v. KAISER
CEMENT & GYPSUM CORP.;
LONDON MARKET
INSURERS; INSURANCE
COMPANY OF THE STATE
OF PENNSYLVANIA;
GRANITE STATE
INSURANCE COMPANY

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Laura Siegel-Puhala for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of defendant Evanston Insurance Company is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S212376

PEOPLE v. JOHN (EMRYS JUSTIN) & MILLER (TYRONE)

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the motion of Catherine White, appointed appellate-only counsel for appellant Tyrone Miller, for permission to withdraw as attorney of record, filed January 5, 2023, is granted. The order appointing Catherine White as appellate-only counsel of record for appellant Miller, filed November 9, 2022, is hereby vacated.

On the court's own motion, Joseph Schlesinger, in his capacity as Executive Director of the California Appellate Project in San Francisco, is hereby appointed as interim appellate counsel of record for appellant Tyrone Miller.

Catherine White is hereby directed to deliver to Executive Director Joseph Schlesinger, within 60 days from the filing of this order, all appellate work product and all trial files or appellate materials that she has obtained from appellant Tyrone Miller or from his trial counsel or paralegals, or from any other source.

S274606 B306118 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. JONES (DAVION DWAYNE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Derrick is hereby appointed to represent appellant Davion Dwayne Jones on the appeal now pending in this court.

S274705 B261370 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CORDER (BRIAN BOSEMAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Colucci is hereby appointed to represent appellant Brian Boseman Corder on the appeal now pending in this court.

S274705 B261370 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CORDER (BRIAN BOSEMAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lynda Romero is hereby appointed to represent appellant Fredericka L. Carmouche on the appeal now pending in this court.

S275449 PEOPLE v. LEE (DERION DAVON)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Dwyer is hereby appointed to represent appellant Pernell Barnes on the appeal now pending in this court.

S275449 PEOPLE v. LEE (DERION DAVON)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Steinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant Derion Davon Lee on the appeal now pending in this court.

S276914 B314153 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MBUGUA (JOHN)**Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Christopher Haberman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S276994 C096201 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CHIEMWICHITRA (TIMOTHY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James Bisnow is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277044 C095190 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (DAVID ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Rachel Varnell is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277118 B317046 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. LEBUS (JAMES

ROBERT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David Thompson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277196 C094491 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (FREDRICK LAMAR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Barbara A. Smith is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277219 C094767 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. NIXON (BRANDON ANDRE KEITH)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Nicholas Seymour is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277229 C094803 Third Appellate District

IN RE Z.C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Benjamin Ekenes is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277265 C094752 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GREEN (JORDAN

IAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Elisa A. Brandes is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277282 C094802 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ANDROSHCHUK (EDWARD VLADIMIROV)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Navarro is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S277431 C095245 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. COOPER (JUSTIN MICHAEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Tonja R. Torres is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S277436** F083359 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REYNOSO (RIGO HECTOR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael E. Allen is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

HIMES (MICHELLE); BENJAMIN (MARCIA); BENJAMIN (DANIEL); RIERA (JOSE); CHASE (DEBORAH); SCURRAH (DIANE) v. SOMATICS, LLC; MECTA CORPORATION

Order filed

Appellant's application for leave to file a reply to opposition in support of motion for judicial notice, filed on January 17, 2023, is hereby granted.

S276770

LIN ON REINSTATEMENT

Petitioner reinstated

Upon recommendation of the State Bar Court, the court orders that MING-YEE LIN be reinstated as an attorney of the State Bar of California upon payment of fees and taking the oath required by law.

S277927

DUSSAULT ON REINSTATEMENT

Petitioner reinstated

Upon recommendation of the State Bar Court, the court orders that SUSAN BARBARA DUSSAULT be reinstated as an attorney of the State Bar of California upon payment of fees and taking the oath required by law.

S276435

SCHWARTZBERG ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that SAGI SCHWARTZBERG (Respondent), State Bar Number 273312, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in

the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S277351

#### **KUTRUBES ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PETER LEO KUTRUBES (Respondent), State Bar Number 176024, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on October 6, 2022 and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on October 6, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### HROUDA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THOMAS GEORGE HROUDA (Respondent), State Bar Number 193984, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 4, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 4, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation. Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by

#### S277355

law.

#### KAEMERLE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MATTHEW WALKER KAEMERLE (Respondent), State Bar Number 310009, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the

- general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 3, 2022.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 3, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7, and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S277359

#### TETI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ERIC ANTHONY TETI (Respondent), State Bar Number 241941, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 13, 2022; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department

in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 13, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7, and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S277360

# VANDERSCHUIT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KENT VANDERSCHUIT (Respondent), State Bar Number 192674, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

## RODRIGUEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STEVEN LEE RODRIGUEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 199313, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

#### S277356

BROOKES ON RESIGNATION

### Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of STEVEN JOHN BROOKES (Attorney), State Bar Number 104247, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) Attorney remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) Attorney may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for Attorney's continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by Attorney to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on Attorney's payment of any fees, penalty payments, and restitution owed by Attorney. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

#### S277357

**KHALIQ ON RESIGNATION** 

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of IMRAN A. KHALIQ (Attorney), State Bar Number 232607, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (*Athearn v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45 [the operative date for identification of clients being represented in pending matters and others to be notified is the filing date of this order].) Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding. Attorney must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.



## SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION FEBRUARY 7, 2023

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 2022-10-05 (October 5, 2022), in November 2022 the Supreme Court resumed in-person oral argument in its San Francisco courtroom. Under this order, which supersedes Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-03-13 (March 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020), counsel have the option to appear in person, or remotely via video. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website <a href="https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/">https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/</a>.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on February 7, 2023.

## <u>TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2023 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

- (1) Tansavatdi (Betty) v. City of Rancho Palos Verdes, S267453
- (2) Davis (Stephen K.) v. Fresno Unified School District et al., S266344
- (3) In re F.M., S270907

#### 1:30 P.M.

(4) People v. Wilson (Lester Harland), [Automatic Appeal], \$189373

GUERRERO	
Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)