

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2020  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S062417**

**PEOPLE v. SILVERIA  
(DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS  
(JOHN RAYMOND)**

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

**THE COURT:**

The opinion in this matter filed on August 13, 2020, and appearing at 10 Cal.5th 195 is modified as follows:

1. Add a footnote after the sentence spanning pages 209 and 210 reading, “On a Tuesday in January 1991, Silveria showed his friend Gregg Orlando a wad of cash, and said, ‘We killed somebody last night.’ ” The new footnote should read:

At the guilt phase, this portion of Orlando’s testimony was heard only by Silveria’s jury. Orlando also gave substantially similar testimony at defendants’ joint penalty retrial.

2. In the first full paragraph on page 305, delete the sentence and citation that read: “We conclude there is no reasonable likelihood that the court’s error misled the jury. (See *People v. Mitchell* (2019) 7 Cal.5th 561, 579 [248 Cal. Rptr. 3d 587, 443 P.3d 1] [“In reviewing a claim of instructional error, the court must consider whether there is a reasonable likelihood that the trial court’s instructions caused the jury to misapply the law in violation of the Constitution.”].) As modified, the replacement sentence and citation now read:

We conclude there is no reasonable possibility that the court’s error affected the verdict. (See *People v. Gomez* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 243, 309-310; see also id. at p. 310 [“We find no reasonable possibility that the instructional error affected the jury’s penalty determination.”].)

3. Delete the sentence spanning pages 305 and 306 that reads: “Given these instructions at the end of the penalty retrial, there is no reasonable likelihood that the jurors who may have heard the challenged language at the outset of trial failed to understand that they ‘bore the ultimate responsibility for choosing between death and life imprisonment without parole’ (*Ray, supra*, 13 Cal.4th at p. 355), and that they could consider pity and sympathy for the defendants.” As modified, the replacement sentence now reads:

The instructions given at the end of the penalty retrial correctly informed them that they “bore the ultimate responsibility for choosing between death and life imprisonment without parole” (*Ray, supra*, 13 Cal.4th at p. 355), and that they could consider pity and sympathy for the defendants. We therefore conclude there is no reasonable possibility that without the erroneous instruction on CALJIC 1.00 to some of the jurors during voir dire Silveria would have received a more favorable verdict.

These modifications do not affect the judgment.  
The petitions for rehearing are denied.

**S263654**      C080799 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. FLORES  
(DAMIAN LUIS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Garcia*, S250670, and *People v. Valencia*, S250218 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263689**      C089753 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DeGROFF  
(MATTHEW)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263701**      B292561 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**DOMONDON (MARY ALYN  
ABAD) v. THREE OLIVES  
INC.**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Sheen v. Wells Fargo, N.A.*, S258019 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263875** C087324 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. VILLALPANDO  
(MACARIO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263910** B300314 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**PEOPLE v. LAZOS (JOSHUA  
JONATHAN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263921** B297663 Second Appellate District, Div. 7**PEOPLE v. STATEN, JR.,  
(CURTIS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263924** B298071 Second Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. DURAN (ELVIN  
MAREL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263939** H047581 Sixth Appellate District  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. SOTO (MARTIN)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263947** B298823 Second Appellate District, Div. 8  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. ROBINSON  
(AARON)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263980** E072781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. CARRERA (TONY  
MARTINEZ)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264032** D076944 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. McCULLOUGH  
(BOOKER)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Raybon*, S256978 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264036**      B298078 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. AMA (JACOB)**  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264051**      G057516 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (JOSE CARMEN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S237460**      A139610 First Appellate District, Div. 2      **MARIN ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES v. MARIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION; STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

Review in the above-entitled matter, which was granted and deferred pending further order of the court, is hereby dismissed in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S248513**      A146855 First Appellate District, Div. 1      **McGLYNN (MATTHEW C.) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One

Review in the above-entitled matter, which was granted and deferred pending further order of the court, is hereby dismissed in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S250244**      B276486 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **HIPSHER (TOD) v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S252517**      D072265 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **IN RE CERTIFIED TIRE & SERVICE CENTERS WAGE & HOUR CASES**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *Oman v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 762. (Cal Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d); see *Oman*, at p. 783 [whether a compensation scheme violates the no-borrowing principle “necessarily turns on the nature of [the employer’s] contractual commitments”]; *id.* at p. 788 [distinguishing *Gonzalez v. Downtown LA Motors, LP* (2013) 215 Cal.App.4th 36 on the ground that “the court understood the contract at issue to promise pay at a certain rate for certain tasks completed” and “[t]he minimum wage floor . . . did not alter the nature of that promise”]; *ibid.* [reserving the legality of “a scenario in which a minimum wage floor was written into a contract that otherwise promised to pay by the piece”].)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S252988**      A152100 First Appellate District, Div. 2      **WILMOT (JON) v. CONTRA COSTA COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) In doing so, the court is directed to address and resolve petitioner’s claim under the contract clause of the California Constitution.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S260462**      G056608 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. HANDLEY (KYLE SHIRAKAWA)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *People v. Anderson* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 946. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S261258**      C083560 Third Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. SCHAFER (DANIEL GENE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *People v. Anderson* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 946. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S262976**      C089508 Third Appellate District      **IN RE Y.G.**  
Petition for review denied

**S263130**      **YANEY (MICHELLE STOPYRA) v. THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**S263146**      B299226 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **UZIEL (YEHORAM) v. EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS GROUP**

Petition for review denied

Petitioner's requests for judicial notice are denied.

**S263220**      F076417 Fifth Appellate District      **FRESNO, COUNTY OF v. FRESNO DEPUTY SHERIFF'S ASSOCIATION**

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**S263359** A158862 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**DREVALEVA (TATYANA E.)  
v. ALAMEDA HEALTH  
SYSTEM**

**S263378** C085762 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**STANFORD VINA RANCH  
IRRIGATION COMPANY v.  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**S263379** F077092 Fifth Appellate District

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. COKER (RICKY  
LEE)**

**S263399** A153044 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**RATLIFF (REGINALD) v.  
TROJAN CAPITAL  
INVESTMENTS, LLC**

**S263435** G059209 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MENDOZA (AZAEL  
GONZALES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

**S263445**

Petition for writ of certiorari denied  
Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., was recused and did not participate.

**WHITFIELD (JOHN) v.  
APPELLATE DIVISION  
(MURREY)**

**S263454** H044004 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**TAT CAPITAL PARTNERS,  
LTD. v. FELDMAN (DAVID)**

**S263456** B295487 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**BARRETT (JOSEPH M.) v.  
REEVES (MICAEL R.)**

<b>S263470</b>	B306525 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>MOON (ADRIAN D.) v. S.C. (MOON)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263479</b>	B297152 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (RENEY VICTOR)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263482</b>	E073085 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>JACKSON (ANTOINE DUPREE) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263537</b>	B264402 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>RAYFORD (JUAN MARSHALL) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263538</b>	B303007 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>GLASS (DUPREE ANTOINE) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263540</b>	B294505/B294518/B294579 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>PEOPLE v. ROUGELY (LATASHA INEZ)</b>
Petitions for review denied		
Liu, J., is of the opinion the petitions for review should be granted.		
<b>S263622</b>	F078278 Fifth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (GREGORIO RODRIGUEZ)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263624</b>	D077666 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. DUNSMORE (DARRYL)</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S263641** F077602 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**KENCO INVESTMENTS, INC.  
v. MARSH (MARTHA)**

**S263657** C092066 Third Appellate District

Respondent's request for judicial notice filed on August 27, 2020, is granted.

Petitioner's Motion to Strike Nonconforming Answer is denied as moot.

The petition for review is denied.

**M. (C.) ON H.C.**

**S263690** B293823 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. KOZUP (JASON  
M.)**

**S263705** F076635 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ  
(JOSE ENRIQUE)**

**S263726** D075169 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TORREZ, JR.,  
(DIONICIO CRESPIN)**

**S263738** H046751 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BOLTON  
(CEDRIC DEWAYNE)**

**S263787** D075847/D075851/D075852/D076191

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**G. (L.) v. G. (M.)**

**S263827** C088684 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WATSON (DAVID  
ALLEN)**

<b>S263829</b>	B300294 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>FERRO (ERNEST) v. KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263832</b>	H044978 Sixth Appellate District	<b>LE (KEVIN) v. PHAM (HIEP X.)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263852</b>	A152080 First Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>BEN-E-LECT v. ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE &amp; HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263860</b>	B293942 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>ROSEN (JONATHAN C.) v. REICHENEDER (DALE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
The request for judicial notice is denied.		
<b>S263874</b>	B299960 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>IN RE O.T.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263881</b>	C088555 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BLOCK (XAVIER EZEKIEL)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263917</b>	D077750 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>ANNEN (RICHARD J.) v. S.C. (HILLSBOROUGH DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, LLC)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263920</b>	B294448 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>PEOPLE v. MORALES (JOSEPH BRIAN)</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S263929** C090015 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JENSEN (KEITH HUGH)**

**S263934** D075627 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (TORIBIO MARTINEZ)**

**S263941** E072354 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. IVERSEN (IAN PETER)**

**S263952** B300094 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Milton on Habeas Corpus*, S259954.

**HOBLEY (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.**

**S263968** B295988 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**GOLDEN STATE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ALLIANCE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES (DOUGLAS EMMETT MANAGEMENT, LLC)**

**S263970** C083878 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MILLER (DANIEL B.)**

**S263971** B295327 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BEDFORD (WELDON)**

<b>S263978</b>	E071304 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>CHANDLER (ATREUS) v. CALIFORNIA STATE PERSONNEL BOARD</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S263994</b>	D077775 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. DUNSMORE (DARRYL)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264002</b>	B294317 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. SUARES (JESSE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264014</b>	E075459 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>INGRAM (TYRONE) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264017</b>	A158841 First Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>IN RE A.S.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264024</b>	B291125 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>PEOPLE v. AJTUN (ABEL)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264029</b>	C090132 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. MORALES (ERNEST LANE)</b>
The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides <i>People v. Kopp</i> , S257844.		
<b>S264031</b>	B295947 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>PEOPLE v. LACEY (LINDSEY)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S264034</b>	C089719 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (ERNESTO)</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S264042** D076158 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
Petition for review denied

**IN RE RAYMUNDOM.**

**S264046** D075781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RIVERA, JR.,  
(JORGE ALBERT)**

**S264059** B300436 Second Appellate District, Div. 2  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SORENSON  
(SHAWN MICHAEL)**

**S264150** D076664 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JENKINS II  
(JAMES ARTHUR)**

**S264176** D074397 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. THINN (CLINTON  
FORBEL)**

**S264358**

**IN RE TEMPORARY  
WAIVER OF THE BAR  
EXAM REQUIREMENT FOR  
ADMISSION TO THE STATE  
BAR OF CALIFORNIA &  
PROVISION OF  
EMERGENCY DIPLOMA  
PRIVILEGE**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

The court has considered petitioners' request for an emergency order waiving the California bar examination requirement for admission to the practice of law and granting immediate diploma privilege admission to applicants who have graduated from law school with either a J.D. or an LL.M degree; who are currently registered for the October 2020 California Bar Examination; and who otherwise meet all qualifications for admission to the State Bar of California.

The request is denied.

**S264593** A160949 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**GHOSHAL LIVING TRUST v.  
S.C. (IRON OAK HOME  
LOANS, INC.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**S259941**

**ARELLANO (RAUL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S260187**

**HILL (BRIAN T.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

**S261204**

**THOMAS (JAMAAL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S261343**

**AMBURN (DANIEL LEE) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S261799**

**ABLES (MELVIN KING) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S261905**

**THOMAS (KEITH PERZELL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S261907**

**THOMAS (KEITH PERZELL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**S261922****CLARK (STEPHEN EUGENE)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S261985****BUTLER (JEFFREY  
GORDON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S262226****CARROLL III (JAMES  
EDWARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S262526****BELYEW (LISA) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S262549****STEPHEN (JIMMIE EARL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**S262800****SERRANO, SR., (GILBERT  
ISRAEL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**S262840****HILL (NICHOLAS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

**S263045****DINGLE (DAVID WILLIAM)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

**S263123****HILL (BRIAN T.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

**S263206****SEFELDEEN (KAMAL A.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S263207****JORDAN (ANTOINE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S263212****HERNANDEZ (JOSE ARTURO) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**S263229****BROWN (KENNY M.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S263231****GRISSOM, JR., (JOHN LEWIS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**S263264****HERNANDEZ (JOSIAH) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S263447**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**ARELLANO (RAUL) ON H.C.****S263696**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**SEKERKE (KEITH WAYNE)  
ON H.C.****S263806**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**BROWN (KENYON  
DARRELL) ON H.C.****S263943**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**REYNOLDS (CHARLES  
MICHAEL) ON H.C.****S263525** D075148/D075424/D075428

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Publication request denied (case closed)

**VOICE OF SAN DIEGO v.  
TEACHER 1****S263601** B295278 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Publication requests denied (case closed)

**COLLANTES (JOVANA) v.  
ELEMENTIS CHEMICALS,  
INC.****S263717** B294249 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Extension of time granted

**WFG NATIONAL TITLE  
INSURANCE COMPANY v.  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to September 29, 2020.

**S263877**      G059284 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **LOPEZ (DANIEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest, The People, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 2, 2020. It is also ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to October 9, 2020.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

**S263882**      G059297 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **ESTRELLA (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 2, 2020.

It is also ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to October 9, 2020.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

**S087533**      **PEOPLE v. WILSON (BYRON)**

Order filed

It has come to the court's attention that the clerk's transcript in the public record on appeal and the copies that were sent to the parties and others under rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e) of the California Rules of Court include the personal identifying information of trial jurors in violation of the Code of Civil Procedure, section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610 of the California Rules of Court. (See also Code of Civ. Proc., § 237, subd. (f) [prohibiting disclosure of unlawfully secured personal juror identifying information].) Within 30 days from the date of this order, counsel for both parties, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center, the Office of the State Public Defender, the California Appellate Project, and the Governor must return to the Clerk of the superior court Supplement IV, Volume 1, pages 2 and 3 of the clerk's transcript on appeal. Within 60 days from the date of this order, the Clerk of the superior court must (1) prepare a new version of these volumes of the clerk's transcript in which all personal identifying information of any trial juror has been removed and replaced by appropriate identifying numbers, as required by section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610, in particular such information appearing on pages 2 and 3; and (2) send the original of the new redacted Supplement IV, Volume 1 to this court and copies to counsel for the parties and others as required in rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e). The Clerk of this court is directed to file under seal the copy of Supplement IV, Volume 1 of the clerk's transcript filed in this court on June 11, 2007.

**S105876****PEOPLE v. SUAREZ  
(ARTURO JUAREZ)**

Order filed

It has come to the court's attention that the reporter's transcript in the public record on appeal and the copies that were sent to the parties and others under rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e) of the California Rules of Court include the personal identifying information of trial jurors in violation of the Code of Civil Procedure, section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610 of the California Rules of Court. (See also Code of Civ. Proc., § 237, subd. (f) [prohibiting disclosure of unlawfully secured personal juror identifying information].) Within 30 days from the date of this order, counsel for both parties, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center, the California Appellate Project, and the Governor must return to the Clerk of the superior court Volume XX, pages 5765-5766, 5771-5772, 5785, 5789-5790 and Volume XXIV, pages 6633-6635, of the Reporter's Transcript on Appeal. Within 60 days from the date of this order, the Clerk of the superior court must (1) prepare a new version of these volumes of the Reporter's Transcript in which all personal identifying information of any trial juror has been removed and replaced by appropriate identifying numbers, as required by section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610, in particular such information appearing on pages 5765-5766, 5771-5772, 5785, 5789-5790, 6633-6635; and (2) send the original of the new redacted Volumes XX and XXIV to this court and copies to counsel for the parties and others as required in rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e). The Clerk of this court is directed to file under seal the copies of Volume XX and XXIV of the Reporter's Transcript filed in this court on May 16, 2011.

**S264593** A160949 First Appellate District, Div. 5**GHOSHAL LIVING TRUST v.  
S.C. (IRON OAK HOME  
LOANS, INC.)**

Order filed

The order filed on September 23, 2020, signed by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., is hereby amended in its entirety:

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

**S263198** H044395/H044782 Sixth Appellate District**HOOKEO MEDIA GROUP,  
INC. v. APPLE, INC.**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for further proceedings.

Plaintiff Hooked Media Group, Inc.'s petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.