

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2021
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S199551**SCOTT (DAVID LYNN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Gary B. Wells' representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 22, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to December 29, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days is contemplated.

S207510**PEOPLE v. BARBAR
(MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Ronald A. Jakob's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 19, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 19, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S210054**PEOPLE v. CERVANTES
(DANIEL) & CONTRERAS
(CARLOS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that appellant Daniel Cervantes' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 25, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 27, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 118 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S210054**PEOPLE v. CERVANTES
(DANIEL) & CONTRERAS
(CARLOS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that appellant Carlos Contreras' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 24, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 27, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 239 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S213242**PEOPLE v. SMITH
(CHARLES RAY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 27, 2021.

S220332**PEOPLE v. TURNER
(CHESTER DEWAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 21, 2021.

S222615**PEOPLE v. BELTRAN
(FRANCISCO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Kristin Traicoff's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 21, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 20, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 123 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S231558**PEOPLE v. NEALY (EDDIE RICKY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 10, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 20, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 22 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S270434**McCARTHY (KEVIN) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to November 15, 2021.

S270605

H047865Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SAMAYOA, JR., (JOSE LUIS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Allen Weinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S258943**RAY, JR., (EDWARD VICENT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Order filed

The order filed on November 14, 2019, transferring the case to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is hereby amended in its entirety:

“The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.”