SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S252915 C082664 Third Appellate District

WILDE (LESLIE T.) v. CITY OF DUNSMUIR

Request for modification denied

The request for modification of the opinion filed August 11, 2020, is denied.

S263972 B295935 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PICO NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted. The parties are ordered to brief the following issue: What must a plaintiff prove in order to establish vote dilution under the California Voting Rights Act? On the Court's own motion, the Court of Appeal's Opinion is ordered depublished. On the court's own motion, the Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed July 9, 2020, which appears at 51 Cal.App.5th 1002. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125.) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264012 E075218 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE A.M.** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending the consideration and disposition of *In re A.R.*, S260928 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264019 F076752 Fifth Appellate District

HERNANDEZ (ANGELA) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Vivar*, S260270 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264382 B301538 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SOLOMON (SHASHONEE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264385 B300934 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. COOPER (TRAVIS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264423 B297087 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (RAUL)
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264457 E069863 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SILVA, JR., (DOMENIC DELANO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lemcke*, S250108 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264485 E074346 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GREEN (MATTHEW)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S260846 A157143 First Appellate District, Div. 5 FACEBOOK, INC. v. S.C. (HUNTER)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five

In light of the decision in *Facebook, Inc. v. Superior Court (Touchstone)* (2020) 10 Cal.5th 329, review in the above-entitled matter is dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528((b)(1).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263717 B294249 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 WFG NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S263737 B286667 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 KOTTAS (ZOI) v. BEVERLY HOT SPRINGS

S263877 G059284 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

LOPEZ (DANIEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S263882 G059297 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

ESTRELLA (MICHAEL) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S263930 H047393 Sixth Appellate District

SPIELBAUER LAW OFFICE v. MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC

Petition for review denied

S263932 B298465 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 SHIMMICK

CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY, INC./FCC
CONSTRUCCION

S.A./IMPREGILO S.P.A. v. CITY OF LONG BEACH

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S263948 B299936 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

O. (J.), CONSERVATORSHIP

OF

Petition for review denied

S263976 C078457/C078504/C078505

Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CAUDILLO

(FREDY)

Petitions for review denied

S264030 A156017 First Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. HARRELL

(JOSHUA)

S264041 G059280 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 ODYSSEY ENGINEERING, INC. v. S.C. (LONGO)

Petition for review denied

S264079 E072119 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MIRMON (RICHARD ABEL)

Petition for review denied; CA opinion decertified

The petition for review is denied.

On the court's own motion, the Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed August 10, 2020, which appears at 53 Cal.App.5th 206. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(2).)

S264088 C089314/C089318 Third Appellate District LOPEZ (TEVAREZ RICHARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petitions for review denied

S264152 B293748 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 R & R CONSTRUCTION, INC.

v. ROSKI (REON)

Petition for review denied

S264153 E072086 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HENDERSON

(JOHN WAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S264158 A155940/A156706 First Appellate District, Div. 1 JOHNSON (DEWAYNE) v. MONSANTO COMPANY

The applications to appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).) The petitions for review are denied.

S264232 D076258 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KING (SIMON)

Petition for review denied

S264240 B302652 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BROWN (KEITH)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to the filing of a petition for writ of habeas corpus in this Court based on the same grounds.

S264244 A158751 First Appellate District, Div. 1

EISENHOUR (ROBERT) v. HIDDEN VALLEY LAKE ASSOCIATION

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S264285 F076599 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BARTON (DEANNA TWILLA)

Petition for review denied

S264293 D075090 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. BROWN (BRETT)

Petition for review denied

S264359 A160499 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

HAWKS (RICHARD) ON H.C.

S264379 F079509 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. NASH (ANGELIQUE ELANDRA)

Petition for review denied

Defendant's "Request for Expedited Consideration" is denied as moot.

The People's petition for review is denied.

S264386 C087845 Third Appellate District

IN RE I.F.

Petition for review denied

S264387 E072088 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR

(DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S264390 B307256 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

WILMOT (ELIZABETH) v. S.C. (FIRST AMERICAN

TITLE INSURANCE CO.)

S264399 B307100 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

WILLIAMS (LANCE) ON H.C.

S264404 MARTIN (JARED) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S264409 MARTIN (JARED) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S264412 MARTIN (JARED) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S264417 B298568 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WEAVER (JAY

PHILLIP)

Petition for review denied

S264420 D075796 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MOLINA (ANDREW ZAVALA)

Petition for review denied

S264421 B291983 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. GUEVARABELLO

(ANGEL)

Petition for review denied

S264436 SMITH (ANTHONY) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S264448 A157486 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(MICHAEL LEON)

S264451 C089198 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BURRIS (MARY LOUISE)

Petition for review denied

S264459 B300285 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MIXON (DESMEN

DEMAY)

Petition for review denied

S264466 C092496 Third Appellate District WELCH-BROWN (GAYE) v.

STATE CONTROLLER'S

OFFICE

Petition for review denied

S264468 H047640 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ, JR.,

(BLAS CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S264473 A158822 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WALKER

(JEREMIAH)

Petition for review denied

S264474 C080117 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ

(VINCENT FLAVIO)

Petition for review denied

The motion for severance filed by defendant Chavez is denied as moot.

S264476 E072423 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. EAVES

(DARNELL EUGENE)

Petition for review denied

S264478 E073271 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.H.

Petition for review denied

S264483 F077240 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PINK (DVONTAE)

LAROME)

Petition for review denied

HAMILTON (PAUL C.) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

E072688 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LIPPERT (DAVID S264495 **JAMES**) Petition for review denied S264499 MARTIN (JARED) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, **DIVISION SEVEN (PEOPLE)** Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied S264504 A155835 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MALBROUGH (HAROLD) Petition for review denied E071190 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S264512 PEOPLE v. ALCALA (RAUL) Petition for review denied B296720 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 S264520 PEOPLE v. ARCE (IRVIN) Petition for review denied S264521 C088385 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ROLEN (JERRON **JAMES**) Petition for review denied S264522 C092111 Third Appellate District McRORIE (ROBERT VERNON) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S264526 B307131 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 MITCHELL, JR., (HENRY M.) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

E075568 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

BRAMSCHER (WILLIAM ROBERT) v. PADILLA (ALEX)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S262958

FAULKNER, JR., (KENNETH LEWIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263597

ZARAGOZA (ARTIE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263612

AZEVEDO (ALEX LEONARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S263613

PACHECO (DARRIN LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263664

HOMICK (ROBERT T.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

FRANKLIN (TIMOTHY P.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263682

POLLARD (KENNETH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

S263697

WILLIAMS (ANTHONY MAURICE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263698

WILLIAMS (MELVIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S263703

WRIGHT (ROGER LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263706

DEVON (ALAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263942

AZEVEDO (ALEX LEONARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

POLLARD (KENNETH) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263651

A157336 First Appellate District, Div. 5

DAVID (JOANA) v. QUEEN OF THE VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S265106

B296870 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

BURKS (VALARIE) v. BOBO (PATRICIA)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

Having received the petition for review within the Court's original jurisdiction, the time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 21, 2020. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S212376

PEOPLE v. JOHN (EMRYS JUSTIN) & MILLER (TYRONE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Christine Y. Friedman's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 24, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 24, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S213242

PEOPLE v. SMITH (CHARLES RAY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 28, 2020.

PEOPLE v. TURNER (CHESTER DEWAYNE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 22, 2020.

S221158

PEOPLE v. CISNEROS (LEONARDO ALBERTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 18, 2020.

S231558

PEOPLE v. NEALY (EDDIE RICKY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 21, 2020.

S250218

F072943 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (EDGAR ISIDRO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 20, 2020.

S250670

F073515 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (EDGAR

ISIDRO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 19, 2020.

S260598 B295998 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. LEWIS (VINCE E.)** Extension of time granted

On application of California Attorneys for Criminal Justice and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the amicus curiae is extended to November 16, 2020. No further extensions of time will be contemplated.

S262551 B294024 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ESQUIVEL (RANDOLPH STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 23, 2020.

S262972 B299376 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SHELTON (RANDALL ALEXANDER)

Extension of time denied

The application filed by counsel for appellant requesting an extension of time to file the opening brief on the merits on October 21, 2020 is hereby denied.

S262972 B299376 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SHELTON (RANDALL ALEXANDER)

Order filed

The order issued on September 22, 2020, appointing Mark Feeser as counsel for appellant is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark Feeser is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Pursuant to the court's order of August 26, 2020, further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Esquivel*, S262551 (see Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

S264803

HILL (CHARLES E.) v. S.C. (ZAMBELL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S264103 ACCUSATION OF SALES

Petition denied (accusation)

S264177 ACCUSATION OF RICALLS

Petition denied (accusation)

S264182 ACCUSATION OF SINGMAN

Petition denied (accusation)

S264450 ACCUSATION OF

McGRATH, JR.

Petition denied (accusation)

S264452 ACCUSATION OF GERLACH

Petition denied (accusation)

S263210 HUBBARD IV ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that SCOTTLYNN J. HUBBARD IV (Respondent), State Bar Number 212970, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on May 13, 2020, and modified on June 3, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on May 13, 2020 and modified on June 3, 2020. Failure to do so may result in

suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,490)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 FIRST AMENDED

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-3-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: http://www.courts.ca.gov/.


The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on November 3, 2020.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2020 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Vazquez (Gerardo) et al. v. Jan-Pro Franchising International, Inc., S258191 (Humes, A. P. J., assigned justice pro tempore)
- (2) In re Palmer II (William M.) on Habeas Corpus, S256149 (Grover, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
- (3) People v. Johnsen (Brian David), [Automatic Appeal], S040704 (*Ikola, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

1:30 P.M.

- (4) People v. Ramirez (Irving Alexander), [Automatic Appeal], S155160 (Huffman, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
- (5) People v. Baker (Paul Wesley), [Automatic Appeal], S170280 (Hull, Jr., J., assigned justice pro tempore)



If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)