SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2021 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S137307

PEOPLE v. MORALES (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Chief Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 28, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 13, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 81 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174455

PEOPLE v. RIVERA (SAMUEL RAMON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy Public Defender Lisa M. Romo's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 20, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 20, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180711

PEOPLE v. KLING (RANDOLPH CLIFTON)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's supplemental opening brief is extended to December 13, 2021.

S212477

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 20, 2021.

S212477

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 20, 2021.

S214917

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 20, 2021.

S215960

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Catherine White's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 15, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 14, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 243 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

1474

PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (ANGEL)

PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN

(KENNETH LEE)

S219382

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 6, 2021. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 148 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S221158

PEOPLE v. CISNEROS (LEONARDO ALBERTO)

PEOPLE v. KING (COREY

LYNN)

PEOPLE v. THOMAS, JR.,

(HILBERT PINEIL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael Lasher's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 10, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 20 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S225017

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 2, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 2, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239380

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (GILBERT BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 7, 2021.

1475

S239963

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 13, 2021.

S241359

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 17, 2021.

S266034 B293960 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

NIEDERMEIER (LISA) v. FCA US LLC

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 22, 2021. No further extensions will be granted.

S266254 A157026 First Appellate District, Div. 1

B. (BRENNON) v. S.C. (WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

LANPHIER ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae briefs is extended to November 15, 2021.

S270354

Extension of time granted – STEELE LANPHIER

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to petition for review is extended to November 15, 2021.

PEOPLE v. MEZA (HERACLIO)

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (CHERIE LOUISE) S271034 A159585 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PAK (AGNES)v. GITHUB, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 26, 2021.



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION NOVEMBER 3, 2021 FIRST AMENDED

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-3-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: http://www.courts.ca.gov/.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on November 3, 2021.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2021 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) Segal (Mickey) et al. v. ASICS America Corporation et al., S263569 (*justice pro tempore to be assigned*)
- (2) Jane Doe v. Olson (Curtis), S258498 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (3) Lawson (Wallen) v. PPG Architectural Finishes, Inc., S266001 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)

<u>1:30 P.M.</u>

- (4) People v. Tirado (Jose Guadalupe), S257658 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (5) People v. Holmes (Karl Darnell), McClain (Herbert Charles) and Newborn (Lorenzo), [Automatic Appeal], S058734 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)