## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S264219 C087681 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TACARDON (LEON WILLIAM)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263180 B290675 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 BOERMEESTER

(MATTHEW) v. CARRY (AINSLEY)

(AINSLEY)

Additional issues ordered

On September 30, 2020, the court directed the parties to brief and argue the following issues in the above-entitled case: 1. Under what circumstances, if any, does the common law right to fair procedure require a private university to afford a student who is the subject of a disciplinary proceeding with the opportunity to utilize certain procedural processes, such as cross-examination of witnesses at a live hearing? 2. Did the student who was the subject of the disciplinary proceeding in this matter waive or forfeit any right he may have had to cross-examine witnesses at a live hearing? 3. Assuming it was error for the university to fail to provide the accused student with the opportunity to cross-examine witnesses at a live hearing in this matter, was the error harmless? In addition to these issues, the court directs the parties to brief and argue the following issue in the above-entitled case: 4. What effect, if any, does Senate Bill No. 493 (2019-2020 Reg. Sess.) have on the resolution of the issues presented by this case?

S263835 A157598/A157972 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HOWARD JARVIS
TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION
v. BAY AREA TOLL
AUTHORITY

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Zolly v. City of Oakland*, S262634 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263878 C087634 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. LUNA (JOSE **ORLANDO**)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review filed by defendants Felix Ruben Ayala (born in 1971) and Jose Orlando Luna are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lemcke*, \$250108 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

The petition for review filed by defendant Felix Ruben Ayala (born in 1979) is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263880 B296705 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. QUIROZ (ADOLFO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in People v. Padilla, S258175, and People v. Federico, S263082 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264004 B301016 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. CORTEZ (JOE **EZEQUIEL**)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264033 D076101 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (JOANNA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264110** B297652 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CELAYA (NERIO)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264155 B298586 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. REYES (DAVID ESPERANZA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264189** E071797 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (STEVEN)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264278** B304329 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. COLE (FREDDIE)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264279 G057709 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. PONCIO (KARINA LISSETH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264284 B300323 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GALVAN (JESSE CALDELARI)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S264286** B298821 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. MIRON (ARON)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264315 G057647 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GALLEGOS (ERIK ANTONIO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264321 B293825 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BURTON (CEDRIC CARL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lemcke*, S250108 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264336 B297468 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BOYD (HARRY JACKSON)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264339 H046631 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HERRERA (JESSE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Raybon*, S256978 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264345 E072539 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. THOMAS (JAMAR LEVEAL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264383 C089493 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BASSETT (TAMARA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264392 B299286 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. QUINTANA (DAVID)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

#### S230782

## PETERSON (SCOTT) ON H.C.

Order to show cause issued, returnable in Superior Court

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the relief prayed for should not be granted on the ground that Juror No. 7 committed prejudicial misconduct by not disclosing her prior involvement with other legal proceedings, including but not limited to being the victim of a crime, as alleged in Claim 1.

The return is to be filed on or before November 13, 2020.

Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 in the petition are denied on the merits.

In light of this court's opinion in petitioner's automatic appeal, Claims 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18,

and 19 are denied as moot. (See *People v. Peterson*, S132449, opn. filed Aug. 24, 2020.) Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of Attorney Cliff Gardner as counsel for petitioner and the payment of appointed counsel's fees and expenses. The following practices will apply to requests that this court (a) pay Gardner's fees or (b) reimburse Gardner for necessary and reasonable expenses related to the habeas corpus proceeding. Such requests will be governed by the Payment Guidelines for Appointed Counsel Representing Indigent Criminal Appellants in the California Supreme Court, Guidelines II.*I* and III. Gardner must first obtain the superior court's recommendation for payment. However, the superior court's recommendation is not binding on the Supreme Court, which will exercise independent review of the request.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

#### S256065

# DALY (JAMES SCOTT) ON H.C.

Order to show cause issued; returnable in Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause before the Fourth District Court of Appeal, Division One, when the matter is placed on calendar, why petitioner is not entitled to relief pursuant to Penal Code sections 669 and 2900, *People v. LaPierre* (2013) 217 Cal.App.4th 883, and *People v. Lister* (1984) 155 Cal.App.3d 132. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263719** B306743 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **SMITH (DERRICK) ON H.C.** Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three. That court is ordered to vacate its summary denial dated July 28, 2020, and is further ordered to remand the case to the Los Angeles County Superior Court, with instructions that it hold a new bail hearing in light of *In re Humphrey* (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 1006, 1041-1045.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

CORDOVA (JOSEPH S.) ON H.C.

Dismissal order filed

The above-entitled case having become moot by petitioner's death on July 1, 2020, as indicated in the certified copy of a certificate of death filed with the motion to dismiss, the "Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus Petition Due to Death of Petitioner," filed on October 2, 2020, is granted and the petition is dismissed as moot.

**S239630** F069302 Fifth Appellate District

STAND UP FOR CALIFORNIA! v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA; NORTH FORK RANCHERIA OF MONO INDIANS

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the matter in light of *United Auburn Indian Community of Auburn Rancheria v. Newsom* (2020) 10 Cal.5th 538. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S255145 B291220 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. KELLY (GLORIA NYLEEN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S255619

ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS) ON H.C.

Dismissal order filed

The above-entitled case having become moot by petitioner's death on July 3, 2020, as indicated in the certified copy of a certificate of death filed with the motion to dismiss, the "Motion to Dismiss Habeas Corpus Petition Due to Death of Petitioner," filed on October 2, 2020, is granted and the petition is dismissed as moot.

**S256298** A153133 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. FOX (BRIAN K)** Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S256568 A154509 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GALINDO (SAMMUEL PAUL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257190 A151809/A152247 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ALEXANDER (BRYAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257245 A152786 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. JOAQUIN (JEFFREY ALLAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257356 H044892 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MADRIGAL (LUIS ALFONSO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257407 H046062 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ESPANA (RICARDO ANGEL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257538 B290506 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (CHRISTOPHER)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S257744 H042678 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ANTUNA (JOSEPH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S258721 B293809 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. BAKER (JOSEPH ALLEN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S259903 B287272 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WILSON (DAMION)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) This order is without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides People v. Kopp, S257844.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S260261 F076421 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ELLIS (CHARLES **PATRICK**)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S261855 D075037 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NIEBLA (LUIS) Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of the decision in *People v. Stamps* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 685. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

BELL (ERIC) ON H.C.

Dismissed as moot

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed as moot.

**S263310** A157067/A157562 First Appellate District, Div. 2

UNIVERSAL HOME IMPROVEMENT, INC. v. ROBERTSON (KATHERINE)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**S263361** A157301 First Appellate District, Div. 5

SPIKENER (DAMON) v. ALLY FINANCIAL, INC.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied Liu, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S263382 H047870 Sixth Appellate District

HAYES (JAMES WILLIE) ON

H.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Milton on Habeas Corpus*, S259954.

S263442

G058973 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

LONG (WILLIAM JOE) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S263546

D077297 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

THE MOTIVA GROUP, INC.

v. GLOBAL IMPACT GROUP,

INC.

Petition for review denied

S263610

HAZARI (CYRUS) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

(BRADY)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S263623 D077714 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 GARCIA (ELVIA) v. S.C. (MARTINEZ)

Petition for review denied

S263632 F076510 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RIVERA, JR.,

(GUADALUPE)

Petition for review denied

**S263668** G055054/G055057/G055154/G055155/G055566/G056272

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **TRUONG (DIANE AI-**

PHUONG) v. KRISTEN H. PHILHOWER, APLC

Petition for review denied

S263704 B303415 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MURPHY (ROBIN

DEON)

Petition for review denied

S263711 HAZARI (CYRUS) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

(BRADY)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S263714 HAZARI (CYRUS) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

(BRADY)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S263716 H047655 Sixth Appellate District HAZARI (CYRUS) v. BRADY

(MANDY J.)

Petition for review denied

S263728 A154691 First Appellate District, Div. 3 STEUER (ALAN) v.

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD

S263758 D076082 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SOOFI (RASHEED) v. SMITH (STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

S263781 A154593 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GIN (HON)

Petition for review denied

**S263794** E073633 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. ROBLES (JOSE** 

ROBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S263810 A156137 First Appellate District, Div. 2 MICHAEL (SIMON) v. SABIN

(GAIL)

Petition for review denied

S263812 H044250 Sixth Appellate District HOWARD (DANIEL

BRODIE),

CONSERVATORSHIP OF

Petition for review denied

S263819 A150459/A150462 First Appellate District, Div. 4 ROCHE (BRENDAN) v. HYDE

(THOMAS F.)

Petitions for review denied

S263828 B295801 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. RUEDA (JUAN

**BENITO**)

Petition for review denied

S263840 H047675 Sixth Appellate District KLOTZ (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S263858 D075923 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KONEN (PAUL)

S263873 E073426 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. CAMARENA (CHRISTOPHER MATTHEW)

Petition for review denied

S263892 E072823/E072824 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE J.H.

Petition for review denied

**S263906** B294725 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. RUTHERFORD

(BERNARD)

Petition for review denied

**S263908** H047194 Sixth Appellate District

PACHECO (JASON) v. GONG

(HENRY)

Petition for review denied

S263918 B292573/B292575 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 VAZQUEZ (DIANA) v.

WARREN DISTRIBUTING,

INC.

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S263926 D075842 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

NCHF AMS II HOUSTON,

LLC v. KAPLAN (STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

**S263959** B289869 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PATEL (ANTHONY A.) v.

REGENTS OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF

**CALIFORNIA** 

Petition for review denied

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

S263975 B291111/B294659 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 REDONDO BEACH

WATERFRONT, LLC v. CITY

OF REDONDO BEACH; BUILDING A BETTER

**REDONDO** 

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S263977 B299769 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 ING (TONY) v. LEE

(THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S263995 E070262 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PERROTTE

(JEFFREY)

Petition for review denied

S264003 STAPLES (CORVELL TARIS)

v. SUPREME COURT OF

CALIFORNIA (CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

The petition for writ of mandate is denied without prejudice to filing an original petition for writ of habeas corpus in this court.

S264021 D076540 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (ALBERT CHICO)

Petitions for review denied

S264038 A160679 First Appellate District, Div. 2 NILSEN (VANCE) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S264044 C084905 Third Appellate District BIHLMAN (SUSAN) v.

BRAGA (CHARLENE ANN)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S264047 B297513 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ROGERS (JACKIE

JEROME)

Petition for review denied

S264061 F078700 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GRABLE

(WALTER GENE)

S264087 G057045 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. HISHMEH (JOSEPH MICHAEL)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S264090 TSATRYAN (ARTHUR) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE

**DISTRICT, DIVISION SEVEN** 

(TSATRYAN)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S264096 C086667 Third Appellate District OROZCO (GLORIA) v.

**CONRAD (ETHAN); OVALLE** 

(JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S264102 B291377 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MATTEI (ALYOSHA) v.

CORPORATE
MANAGEMENT
SOLUTIONS, INC.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S264116 THOMAS (KEITH) v.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Petition for review denied

S264120 C088437 Third Appellate District PHL ASSOCIATES, INC. v.

S.C. (WALLIS)

Petition for review denied

S264121 SANAI (CYRUS M.), IN RE

Petition for review denied

S264135 B293016 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PORTILLO

(EMMANUEL STANLIN)

**S264138** B296380 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. MUNCH (FREDERICK LOUIS)

Petition for review denied

**S264139** A155739 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

S264154

**PEOPLE v. FOSTER (MEINI)** 

**KOCH (JACK) v. COURT OF** 

APPEAL, FOURTH

APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (GOLDEN

WEST HOTEL, LP)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**S264156** F077796 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. QUINONEZ (ISAIAH ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

**S264165** B297511 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. VIZCARRA

(ERNEST)

Petition for review denied

**S264183** D075939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. GADSDEN

(RONALD)

Petition for review denied

S264184 H045044 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REICHERT (NATHANIEL BARRETT)

Petition for review denied

**S264185** F076039 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VELASQUEZ

(JOSEPH)

S264186 B295699 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PE

PEOPLE v. ELLIS (BERNARD)

Petition for review denied

**S264188** H045803 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RUIZ (MIGUEL

ANGEL GALLO)

Petition for review denied

**S264191** B285801 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

SOJAI (PAUL) v. SOLOMON

(DANICA)

Petition for review denied

S264192 PARK (TONG) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of error *coram nobis* and/or writ of mandate is denied.

S264193 B307036 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

CIOTTA (STEVEN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

**S264204** B302277 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. ASHANTI (ASKIA

SANKOFA)

Petition for review denied

S264205 C090261 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

IN RE R.V.

**S264206** F081390 Fifth Appellate District

**DAVIS (ROBERT LEON) ON** 

H.C.

Petition for review denied

**S264207** F075992 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (RAFAEL

**FUENTES**)

S264208 E070373 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DUNCAN (SCOTT EDWARD)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264209 D076093/D077023 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MAYUYU (ELPIDIO YITUG)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264210 B293520/B293706 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. CORTES (JESSIE) TAPIA)

Petition for review denied

S264211 C088149 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HUBBARD (DWAYNE ALLEN)

Petition for review denied

S264212 C089675 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BLAND (JOSHUA DAVIS)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264213 B289600 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 SINGH (RAM PYARE) v. THE

> **REGENTS OF THE** UNIVERSITY OF **CALIFORNIA**

Petition for review denied

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

S264214 H045613 Sixth Appellate District SULLIVAN (MICAH) ON H.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief petitioner might be entitled to after the ruling on the Penal Code section 1001.36 matter and without prejudice to the right to seek relief by a subsequent petition for writ of habeas corpus.

S264215 D074304 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LAKE (DARIUS) Petition for review denied

S264216 A156471 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SWAIN (DARIUS JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S264217 G056851 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (ABRAHAM BENITEZ)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264218 B300260 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ROBINSON (JOSHUA DEVIN)

Petition for review denied

S264229 A157466 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. COLLINS (CLIFF

EDWARD)

Petition for review denied

S264235 D075876 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. EASTLEY (DAVID

**D.**) Petition for review denied

S264236 C086720 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FIELD (JOSEPH

PATRICK)

Petition for review denied

S264238 G057270 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BURCH III (RC

DWAIN)

Petition for review denied

S264241 F077545 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. POOLE (GARY

DALE)

S264243 A158156 First Appellate District, Div. 5 LAWS (CAROLYN) v. LAWS

(WILLIAM J.); OLD REPUBLIC TITLE CO.; GIBSON (WENDY)

Petition for review denied

S264247 B298606 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. DOMINGUEZ

(HENRY ALBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S264249 D074918 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WESLEY

(SHYREHL JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S264252 H045825 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. KLOTZ (JOSEPH

GABRIEL)

Petition for review denied

S264256 B297531 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ

(OMAR)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264257 F076993 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. USI (BASILIO)

Petition for review denied

S264259 D076725 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VAN OTTEN

(TROY PHILLIP)

Petition for review denied

S264261 D076578 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ROUXWARD

(BRANDON LOUIS)

S264266 B301084 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. VALENCIA (GABRIEL CERVANTES)

Petition for review denied

S264271 F081514 Fifth Appellate District WILSON (DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S264274 B296333 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SERRANO

(EDWIN)

Petition for review denied

S264276 C089019 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CABRELLIS

(CEARIACO)

Petition for review denied

S264280 B296834 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HOUL (SENGCHAN)

Petition for review denied

S264282 B295732 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PETROSIAN

(ARON)

Petition for review denied

S264296 C089463 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. RUGGLES (NEAL)

Petition for review denied

S264299 B290526 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 RALLO (KIMBERLY) v. O'BRIAN (VIRGINIA)

Petitions for review & depublication request(s) denied

S264301 B297264 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RUFFINS (TYREE

RAYBON)

S264305 G057328 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HURTADO (ELEAZAR ACOSTA)

Petition for review denied

S264306 G057190 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (PEDRO ACUNA)

Petition for review denied

S264307 PEOPLE v. TUCKER (GERALD L)

The motion to vacate sentence is deemed a petition for writ of error *coram vobis* and is denied.

S264309 C088926 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. JAIMES (DAVID

JAVIER)

Petition for review denied

S264317 B306807 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HERRERA (JULIAN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S264318 F077714 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. VANDERHEIDEN

(ROBERT ALAN)

Petition for review denied

S264320 D075974 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (RAUL

ROJO)

Petition for review denied

S264325 C086100 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HAWKINS (ANTHONY JAWON)

Petition for review denied

S264326 A159611 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(WILLIE)

S264331 B306954 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SINGHDEREWA

(CHRISHMA HUNTER) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S264346 B296761 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HILL (JASMINE

LATICE)

Petition for review denied

S264350 C087187 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALLEN (KENNETH MORRIS)

Petition for review denied

S264353 C090430 Third Appellate District IN RE J.W.

Petition for review denied

S264354 F077305 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CLARK (MICHAEL PATRICK)

Petition for review denied

S264355 D075261 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (ISAIAS

LOPEZ)

Petition for review denied

S264357 C090444 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DEARY-SMITH

(RASHID)

Petition for review denied

S264363 H047280 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RAYO (DEREK

VAUGHN)

Petition for review denied

S264364 A154481 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LAWSON

(ELJAROD)

S264366 A158426 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE NICHOLAS P.

Petition for review denied

S264370 B297585 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ROBY

(CHRISTOPHER WILLIAM)

Petition for review denied

S264371 B295706 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. HARVEY

(JANICE)

Petition for review denied

S264372 D075508 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BLACK (CEDRIC

LEROY)

Petition for review denied

S264374 C087436 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GREEN

(DAMESHLO ANTWONE)

Petition for review denied

S264381 B307110 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ASH (HIRAM) v. S.C. (JACK

IN THE BOX, INC.)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S264388 B297563 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JESUS)

Petition for review denied

S264389 A158101 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CUSICK (LEE

MARY)

Petition for review denied

Liu, J., is of the opinion the petition for review should be granted.

S264407 D075421 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. STEVENS

(DAYLAN EDWARD)

**S264415** F077242 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (JUAN

**DIEGO**)

Petition for review denied

S264519 PERRY (MICHAEL

(MOSHE)) v. APPELLATE

DIVISION (NP PARC CHATEAUX LLC)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S258751 HICKS (CHRISTOPHER) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Mohammad*, S259999.

S259138 McCAVITT (JOSHUA BRANDON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S259754 BERNARDINO, JR., (CLAUDIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261928 McEACHERN (KEVIN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261964 YUSHCHUK (ANDREY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261976 MUHAMMAD (AHADI

ABU-AL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262899 NORTON (JOHNNY LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263224 THOMPKINS (CLEM) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263242 BERTRAN (ALAN EDUARDO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S263254 JOHNSON (GARY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S256149.

S263257 CERVANTES (REGGIE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263284 PERRY (RICKY RAY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263286 ROWELL (STEPHEN RAY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263288 PEREZ (ANSELMO ESCOBEDO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, \$256149.

S263289 NIGGEL (GREG EUGENE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263290 REYES (CARLOS

**GUADALUPE) ON H.C.** 

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263320 HANSON (MALCOLM DAMIEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S256149.

S263333 KING (ALTON ALBERT) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263343 GOMEZ (THOMAS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263344 GROGAN (DANIEL WAYNE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263364 GARRIDO (JOSE CHAVEZ)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263385 EWELL (CARL JEFF) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263388 MARTINEZ (PAUL JOSEPH)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

CROSBY (ROBERT LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S263405

## **HENDRIX (STEVEN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

S263436

WASHINGTON (ANDRE JOSEPH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S263440

JOHNSON (RODWICK

BLACK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263443

**SOTO (JOSE LUIS CAMPOS)** 

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263474

PRATT (RYANT TRIMALE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S263475

HOLMES (TERREL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

## ROLLEN (VAN KEYSHONE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S263524 PERRY (CURTIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263528 MUHAMMAD (AHADI

ABU-AL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263548 MUHAMMAD (AHADI

ABU-AL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263566 YOUNG (ZURI SANA

KABISA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263568 BRISCOE (JAMES ROBERT)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263572 MOORE (STANLEY

RUSSELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263577 NEWBERY (GUSTAVO DANIEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

MARTIN (RONNIE STEVEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263582

COLE (TOMMY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263583 THOMAS (HORACE ANDREW) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263586 B305608 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 MOORE, JR., (ANDRE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263595

**HAYNIE (DONELL) ON H.C.** 

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263627 GOMEZ (MARK ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263708 VALLES (OSCAR

**HERNANDEZ) ON H.C.** 

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263712

NGUYEN (BAO QUOC) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Lopez*, S258175.

S263713 MARTINEZ (ADRIAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S264037 BERTRAM (TIMOTHY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S264231 GUTIERREZ (MARCO ANTONIO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S263262 G057365 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CERVANTES (ENRIQUE) v.

N.M.N. CONSTRUCTION,

INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S263465 D074928 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 OUTCO LABORATORIES,

INC. v. COUNTY OF SAN

**DIEGO** 

Publication request denied (case closed)

S264251 C088817 Third Appellate District SMITH (SHAUN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S264447 A151336 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BEAL (MICHAEL

SCOTT)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S263717 B294249 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 WFG NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 13, 2020.

S263737 B286667 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 KOTTAS (ZOI) v. BEVERLY HOT SPRINGS

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 19, 2020.

S263857 B292572/B293743 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 AETNA, INC. v. WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 20, 2020.

S263930 H047393 Sixth Appellate District SPIELBAUER LAW OFFICE v. MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 13, 2020.

S263932 B298465 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 SHIMMICK

CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY, INC./FCC
CONSTRUCCION
S.A./IMPREGILO S.P.A. v.
CITY OF LONG BEACH

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 17, 2020.

S263948 B299936 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 O. (J.), CONSERVATORSHIP OF

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 13, 2020.

S263958 G059290 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 LOGSDON (BRYAN TIMOTHY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 16, 2020.

S263972 B295935 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PICO NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 16, 2020.

S263992 G059302 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CERVANTES (ELIJAH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 17, 2020.

**S264012** E075218 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE A.M.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 18, 2020.

S264019 F076752 Fifth Appellate District HERNANDEZ (ANGELA) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 18, 2020.

S264041 G059280 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 ODYSSEY ENGINEERING, INC. v. S.C. (LONGO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 19, 2020.

S264043 G059339 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CERVANTES (CARLOS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 19, 2020.

S264072 D077780 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SUKUMAR (PONANI) v. S.C. (CITY OF CARLSBAD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 19, 2020.

S264093 D072850 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 ABATTI (MICHAEL) v.

IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT

DISTRICT
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is here.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 20, 2020.

**S264099** F077033 Fifth Appellate District **GERAWAN FARMING, INC.** 

v. AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD (UNITED FARM WORKERS

**OF AMERICA**)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 20, 2020.

S264118 E070720 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 BUTA BUDDHISM

**RESEARCH CENTER v. HOU** 

(CHI-LI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 23, 2020.

S264146 F076581 Fifth Appellate District HEIN (JESSICA R. &

MARTIN H.), MARRIAGE OF

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 25, 2020.

S065877 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (BOBBY) & TRUJEQUE (JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that the appellant Bobby Lopez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 5, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to December 7, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 31 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239963

PEOPLE v. MEZA (HERACLIO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 11, 2020.

S259215 D072863 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

McHUGH (BLAKELY) v. PROTECTIVE LIFE INSURANCE

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is hereby extended to October 30, 2020. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S259364 C085906 Third Appellate District

NATARAJAN (SUNDAR) v. DIGNITY HEALTH

Extension of time granted

On application of amicus curiae California Hospital Association and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the application to file amicus curiae brief is extended to November 30, 2020.

S259633

PEOPLE v. CRESPO (MIGUEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing therefor, in light of the fact that the Supervising Court Reporter for the Kern Superior Court, indicates she has an excessive workload in addition to the Covid-19 pandemic and could not complete the court reporter's record of trial proceedings by the due date of October 5, 2020, the renewed request of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Kern County for an extension of time to complete the court reporter's transcript on appeal is granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.616(d).)

The Clerk of the Superior Court of Kern County is directed to complete and deliver the court reporter's transcripts on appeal pursuant to rule 8.616(c) on or before November 16, 2020, and to notify the Clerk of this court in writing as soon as the transcripts have been completed and delivered.

S262229 D074098 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JEREMIAH IRA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to November 13, 2020.

S262487 B284452 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

LOPEZ (MARISOL) v. LEDESMA (GLENN); KOIRE (BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of defendants and appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 25, 2020.

**S263180** B290675 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

BOERMEESTER (MATTHEW) v. CARRY (AINSLEY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to December 15, 2020.

S246087

PEOPLE v. HUNTER (LORRAINE ALISON)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Kathy R. Moreno is hereby appointed to represent appellant Lorraine Alison Hunter for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

**S263559** G057141 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. ALONZO (JOSE RAFAEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Patricia Scott is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S263654 C080799 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FLORES (DAMIAN LUIS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Hector Lorenzo Caballero for appointment of counsel, Philip M. Brooks is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S263654 C080799 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FLORES (DAMIAN LUIS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Damian Luis Flores for appointment of counsel, Rebecca P. Jones is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S263809 C089290 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. OSBAND (LANCE

I'AN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Charles Bonneau, Jr., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

E072645 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GARRISON (TRACY LEEAN)

Counsel appointment order filed

S263830

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Stephen M. Hinkle is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S263939 H047581 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SOTO (MARTIN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S263980** E072781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. CARRERA (TONY MARTINEZ)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Daniel Kessler is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S264032 D076944 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. McCULLOUGH (BOOKER)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Christine Aros is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S023835

PEOPLE v. MORRISON (JESSE)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Appellant's "Motion for Order Allowing Counsel to Inspect and Copy Confidential Documents," filed on February 13, 2020, is granted. Appellant's habeas corpus counsel, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center, is granted access to the sealed and confidential materials contained in the records in *People v. Morrison*, no. S023835, and *In re Morrison*, S115559. Counsel must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the examination and copying of these documents, which must occur on the premises of the court.

S199551

SCOTT (DAVID LYNN) ON H.C.

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Motion for Access to Sealed Penal Code Section 987.9 Materials," filed on March 10, 2020, is granted. The Clerk is directed to provide respondent access to the materials filed under seal in the record on appeal in *People v. Scott*, No. S068863, pursuant to Penal Code section 987.9. The Attorney General must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the examination and copying of these documents, which must occur on the premises of the court. Except as provided herein, these documents remain under seal and their use must be limited solely to the pending proceeding. (Pen. Code, § 987.9, subd. (d).)

S249274 E066388 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LONG (KIMBERLY LOUISE)

Order filed

The above entitled matter is retitled as follows: Kimberly Louise Long on Habeas Corpus.

S260664

**ACCUSATION OF VELASCO** 

Petition denied (accusation)

The accusation is denied. The court directs the State Bar Office of Chief Trial Counsel to investigate whether RICHARD GERARD PRANTIL committed misconduct during its investigation of the complaint brought by Juan Carlo Velasco, Case No. 18-O-14958, in light of the Complaint Review Unit's letter dated August 22, 2019, at page 2, the Answer to Accusation filed August 7, 2020, at footnote 3, and the standards for further action by the State Bar as set forth in rules 2401, 2408(d), and 2604 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.

S263831 ACCUSATION OF GONZALEZ

Petition denied (accusation)

S262869 HAYNES ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied. The court orders that GREGORY MELVIN HAYNES, State Bar number 111574, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Actual Suspension. GREGORY MELVIN HAYNES is suspended from the practice of law for the first nine months of his probation.
- 2. Review Rules of Professional Conduct. Within 30 days after the effective date of this order, HAYNES must (1) read the California Rules of Professional Conduct and Business and Professions Code sections 6067, 6068, and 6103 through 6126, and (2) provide a declaration, under penalty of perjury attesting to his compliance with this requirement, to the State Bar Office of Probation in Los Angeles (Office of Probation) with his first quarterly report.
- 3. Comply with State Bar Act, Rules of Professional Conduct, and Probation Conditions. HAYNES must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all of the conditions of his probation.
- 4. Maintain Valid Official State Bar Record Address and Other Required Contact Information. Within 30 days after the effective date of this order, HAYNES must make certain that the State Bar Attorney Regulation and Consumer Resources Office (ARCR) has his current

- office address, email address, and telephone number. If he does not maintain an office, he must provide the mailing address, email address, and telephone number to be used for State Bar purposes. He must report, in writing, any change in the above information to the ARCR, within 10 days after such change, in the manner required by that office.
- 5. Meet and Cooperate with Office of Probation. Within 15 days after the effective date of this order, HAYNES must schedule a meeting with his assigned probation case specialist to discuss the terms and conditions of his discipline and, within 30 days after the effective date of this order, must participate in such meeting. Unless otherwise instructed by the Office of Probation, he may meet with the probation case specialist in person or by telephone. During the period of probation, he must promptly meet with representatives of the Office of Probation as requested by it and, subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by it and provide to it any other information requested by it.
- 6. State Bar Court Retains Jurisdiction/Appear Before and Cooperate with State Bar Court. During HAYNES's probation period, the State Bar Court retains jurisdiction over him to address issues concerning compliance with probation conditions. During this period, he must appear before the State Bar Court as required by the court or by the Office of Probation after written notice mailed to his office membership address as provided above. Subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, HAYNES must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by the court and must provide any other information the court requests.
- 7. Quarterly and Final Reports.
  - a. Deadlines for reports. HAYNES must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation no later than each January 10 (covering October 1 through December 31 of the prior year), April 10 (covering January 1 through March 31), July 10 (covering April 1 through June 30), and October 10 (covering July 1 through September 30) within the period of probation. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date and cover the extended deadline. In addition to all quarterly reports, HAYNES must submit a final report no earlier than 10 days before the last day of the probation period and no later than the last day of the probation.
  - b. Contents of Reports. HAYNES must answer, under penalty of perjury, all inquiries contained in the quarterly report form provided by the Office of Probation, including stating whether he has complied with the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct during the applicable quarter or period. All reports must be (1) submitted on the form provided by the Office of Probation; (2) signed and dated after the completion of the period for which the report is being submitted (except for the final report); (3) filled out completely and signed under penalty of perjury; and (4) submitted to the Office of Probation on or before each report's due date.
  - c. Submission of Reports. All reports must be submitted by: (1) fax or email to the Office of Probation; (2) personal delivery to the Office of Probation; (3) certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Office of Probation (postmarked on or before the due date); or (4) other tracked-service provider, such as Federal Express or United Parcel Service, etc. (physically delivered to such provider on or before the due date).
  - d. Proof of Compliance. HAYNES is directed to maintain proof of his compliance with

the above requirements for each report for a minimum of one year after either the period of probation or the period of his actual suspension has ended, whichever is longer. He is required to present such proof upon request by the State Bar, the Office of Probation, or the State Bar Court.

- 8. State Bar Ethics School. Within one year after the effective date of this order, HAYNES must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and he shall not receive MCLE credit for attending that session. If he provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Ethics School after the date of the State Bar Review Department opinion but before the effective date of this order, he will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward his duty to comply with this condition.
- 9. Commencement of Probation/Compliance with Probation Conditions. The period of probation will commence on the effective date of this order. At the expiration of the period of probation, if HAYNES has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GREGORY MELVIN HAYNES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination (MPRE) within one year of the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) If he provides satisfactory evidence of completion of taking and passage of the MPRE after the date of the State Bar Review Department opinion but before the effective date of this order, he will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward his duty to comply with this condition. GREGORY MELVIN HAYNES must also comply with the California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. He must file a rule 9.20(c) affidavit even if he has no clients to notify on the date this order is filed.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. Unless time for payment of discipline costs is extended pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), costs assessed against an attorney who is actually suspended or disbarred must be paid as a condition of reinstatement or return to active status.

## S263859

## ROTHMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JERRY D. ROTHMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 226686, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;

- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 8, 2020; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 8, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263862

TRIANCE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CRAIG RONALD TRIANCE (Respondent), State Bar Number 161079, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Eugenio and Rosa Rangel, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$4,794.54 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 1, 2014. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## WALLACH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that IAN MICHAEL WALLACH (Respondent), State Bar Number 237849, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 6, 2020; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 6, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263866

## McCABE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that NOELLE LYNN McCABE (Respondent), State Bar Number 253349, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263867

# ARBEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GIL LEE ARBEL (Respondent), State Bar Number 300303, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the

Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on June 5, 2020; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on June 5, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

### S263868

## **BEURY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DONALD DENNIS BEURY (Respondent), State Bar Number 141733, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. No later than 30 days before the end of the period of probation, Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
    - (1) McDini's Restaurant Corporation, 105 E. 8th Street, National City, CA 91950, in the amount of \$3,730.81 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 21, 2017:
    - (2) Thomas P. Riley in trust for J&J Sports Productions, Inc., at Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, First Library Square, South Pasadena, CA 91030-3227 in the amount of \$1,412 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 16, 2017;
  - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on June 8, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on June 8, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263869

## CHERNIK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PETER ROBERT CHERNIK (Respondent), State Bar Number 43965, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263870

# HAMZA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MOATAZ SAYED HAMZA (Respondent), State Bar Number 272952, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court:

- (1) Diana Djavaherian in the amount of \$8,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 1, 2016;
- (2) Cole Group, Inc., in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from

November 21, 2014; and

(3) Robert Manning in the amount of \$37,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 21, 2016.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263894

## HASSAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALLEN CLARENCE HASSAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 104024, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. Respondent makes restitution to Marcos Jiminez or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$6,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 20, 2019 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
  - ii. Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 9, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 9, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after

the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263895

## **HUDSON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that HEIDI HUDSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 221140, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 24, 2020; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2021, 2022, and 2023. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S263896

## **GARCIA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN PAUL GARCIA (Respondent), State Bar Number 222210, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 17, 2020; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department

in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 17, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S263897

## TAYLOR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR (Respondent), State Bar Number 218711, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 24, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on June 24, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## STAMP ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RICHARD WILLIAM STAMP (Respondent), State Bar Number 208490, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on September 16, 2019), and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 17, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 17, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S264898

DASWICK ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL DOUGLAS DASWICK, State Bar Number 111362, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## DISSE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WERNER DISSE, State Bar Number 143458, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S264901

# **FULLER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID PAUL FULLER, State Bar Number 63526, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## S264902

#### GABLE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WENDY ANNE GABLE, State Bar Number 204274, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S264904

#### GEYER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GERTRUDE ANN GEYER, State Bar Number 263299, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264905

JACKSON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHELE CHICKERELLA JACKSON, State Bar Number 90807, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

# S264921

# **LEACH ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TERRY JEAN LEACH, State Bar Number 119193, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ROSENBLOOD ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KENNETH LAWRENCE ROSENBLOOD, State Bar Number 132617, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264925

WINSLOW ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JEANNE LOUISE WINSLOW, State Bar Number 84178, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264928

YOUNTS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DIANA JOAN YOUNTS, State Bar Number 161298, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264942

**CONNORS ON REIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CLIFFORD PAUL CONNORS, State Bar Number 140793, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264943

**DUZEY ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT LINDSEY DUZEY, State Bar Number 179908, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264944

ETTINGER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JEFFREY MARTIN ETTINGER, State Bar Number 110529, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

### FINKEL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMIE FINKEL, State Bar Number 119776, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264946

HALAGAO ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of AVELINO JIMENO HALAGAO, State Bar Number 182130, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264947

HITTI ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DEBRA HITTI, State Bar Number 248604, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264948

McINTOSH ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SANDRA R. McINTOSH, State Bar Number 115249, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264976

SATURN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICK ALLEN SATURN, State Bar Number 121043, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264977

SILVERBERG ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LEWIS HENRY SILVERBERG, State Bar Number 29351, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

# **TYLER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KAREN E. TYLER, State Bar Number 190182, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.



# SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION NOVEMBER 3, 2020

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-3-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: http://www.courts.ca.gov/.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on November 3, 2020.

# <u>TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2020 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

- (1) Vazquez (Gerardo) et al. v. Jan-Pro Franchising International, Inc., S258191 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (2) In re Palmer II (William M.) on Habeas Corpus, S256149 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (3) People v. Johnsen (Brian David), [Automatic Appeal], S040704 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)

## 1:30 P.M.

- (4) People v. Ramirez (Irving Alexander), [Automatic Appeal], S155160 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (5) People v. Baker (Paul Wesley), [Automatic Appeal], \$170280 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)



If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)