SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, MAY 15, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S162506

PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN JOSE)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 10, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 14, 2020. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 13, 2020.

S189992

PEOPLE v. SHORTS (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that appellant Jamar Tucker's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 6, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY (KEVIN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Laura Schaefer's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 6, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 6, 2020. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 153 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S200016

PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 30, 2020.

S214388

PEOPLE v. AGUILAR (JEFFREY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel William Hassler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 13, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 19 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S216750

PEOPLE v. HANN (JESSICA MARIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 30, 2020.

JONES (BRYAN MAURICE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Shelley J. Sandusky's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 9, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to July 13, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 89 additional days are contemplated.

S219382

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (HILBERT PINEIL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Dina Petrushenko's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by November 12, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 14, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S225020

PEOPLE v. MURTAZA (IFTEKHAR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2020.

S226030

PEOPLE v. CORONADO, JR., (JUAN RAMON)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 10, 2020.

S226760

PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY (CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 13, 2020.

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (LUIS JESUS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 14, 2020.

S256914 A155955 First Appellate District, Div. 3

FRIEND (JACK WAYNE) ON

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 29, 2020. No further extensions are contemplated.

S256978 C084853/C084911/C084960/C084964/C085101

Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RAYBON (GOLDY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to June 11, 2020.

S250718 C082670 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GARLAND (CODY KEITH)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S241431 G051086 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

JARMAN (JANICE) v. HCR MANORCARE, INC.

Motion for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice filed on September 25, 2017, by appellants HCR ManorCare, Inc., et al. is granted. The request for judicial notice filed on October 25, 2018, by amicus curiae California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform is granted. The request for judicial notice filed on October 25, 2018, by amici curiae AARP, et al. is granted as to exhibits 1(a), 1(b), 4(a), and 4(b), and denied as to exhibits 1(c), 1(d), 2, and 3.

BAR ADMISSION 2020 (FEBRUARY EXAM)

General Bar admission order filed

The written motion #1,469 of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted as attorneys at law in all courts of the State of California upon their taking the prescribed oath before a competent officer on or after May 15, 2020, and within the time limits specified by Title 4, Division 1 of the Rules of the State Bar of California, is hereby granted:

(SEE ATTACHED LIST OF NAMES)