

S268660 G059162 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **VIEHMEYER (ROBBIE R.)
ON H.C.**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Mohammad*, S259999 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268744 B304643 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. BRAVO (RAUL)**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268757 B305965 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. MATEO
(MILTON)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 and *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268787 A157857 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. STEWART
(STEVEN MATTHEW)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Hernandez*, S265739 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268856 B299879 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CHILDRESS
(JOEL VINCENT)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268862 A158342 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. SECREASE
(SHANNON)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Strong*, S266606 and *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268865 C092416 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. COLEMAN III
(ROOSEVELT JERMAINE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268887 B303304 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. DIEGO (PEDRO
ALBERTO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Lewis*, S260598, and *People v. Lopez*, S258175 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268910 B301404 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SANTISTEVEN
(PETER)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Duke*, S265309 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268915 B305707 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. McDANIELS
(RASHON TREMAIN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268916 E075768 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. MENDOZA
(JOSE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268937 B306398 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. LOVE
(CHRISTOPHER)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268955 H047453 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. WATKINS
(JAMES)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Raybon*, S256978 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268978 C092875 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. JEFFRIES
(ANDREW)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S268979 F080904 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(JUAN EULOGIO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Raybon*, S256978 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269021 C091909 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. NAVARRO
(BALDEMAR)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269023 B307351 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SCHOCKNER
(MANFRED)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S265790 **THE PROTECT OUR
COMMUNITIES
FOUNDATION v.
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
(SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY)**

The requests for judicial notice are granted.

The motion to dismiss the petition for writ of review is denied.

The petition for writ review is denied.

S267765 D074173 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **KIVEL (SCOTT) v.
McINERNEY (PATRICK)**

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S267947 C084177 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. ROBINSON
(EDWARD JOSEPH)**

Petition for review denied

Groban, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S268054 G058280/G058431 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **RAIMOVA (RUHANGIZ) v.
WEST COAST TOWING
SERVICES INC.**

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is denied.

S268092 F077851 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ADORA DANYEL)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice pending the Kings County Superior Court's resolution of the petition filed in that court on February 16, 2021.

S268148 B302235 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**PEOPLE v. GODBOLT (JAYLIN)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

Liu and Cuéllar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

Dissenting Statement by Justice Liu

As the high court explained in *Miranda v. Arizona* (1966) 384 U.S. 436, the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires that “custodial interrogation be preceded by advice to the putative defendant that he [or she] has the right to remain silent and also the right to the presence of an attorney.” (*Edwards v. Arizona* (1981) 451 U.S. 477, 481-482, citing *Miranda*, at p. 479.) If the accused requests counsel, he or she “is not subject to further interrogation by the authorities until counsel has been made available” (*Edwards*, at pp. 484-485) unless the accused initiates further contact with the police or “experience[s] a break in *Miranda* custody lasting” at least two weeks (*Maryland v. Shatzer* (2010) 559 U.S. 98, 117).

“As it turns out, however, courts have understood this clear procedure to contain a caveat: Although a suspect’s invocation of the right to silence or right to counsel cuts off questioning by the police in uniform, it does not stop the police from going undercover to continue questioning the suspect until he confesses.” (*People v. De Jesus Valencia* (Aug. 5, 2019, B283588) [nonpub. opn.] review den. Dec. 11, 2019, S258038 (dis. stmt. of Liu, J.) (*De Jesus Valencia*).) Although “I suspect most Americans do not know and would not expect that the police may continue to question a person who remains in custody after invoking *Miranda* rights so long as the questioning occurs through trickery or deceit,” it appears that this police practice has become “common . . . throughout California.” (*Ibid.*)

The Courts of Appeal, including the appellate court here, have upheld this practice on the authority of *Illinois v. Perkins* (1990) 496 U.S. 292. (See, e.g., *People v. Orozco* (2019) 32 Cal.App.5th 802, 812-817.) But *Perkins* did not address undercover questioning of a defendant who had invoked his right to counsel or right to silence (*Perkins*, at pp. 300-301, fn. * (conc. opn. of Brennan, J.)), and at least one state high court has determined that absent proof of waiver, statements made to jailhouse informants following the invocation of the right to counsel are not admissible. (*Boehm v. State* (Nev. 1997) 944 P.2d 269, 271; see also *U.S. v. Holness* (4th Cir. 2013) 706 F.3d 579, 593-598.) I also “find dubious the claim that it is lawful for the police to continue questioning a suspect who has invoked *Miranda* rights and remains in custody so long as the police disguise the interrogation.” (*De Jesus Valencia, supra*, B283588 (dis. stmt. of Liu, J.))

Accordingly, I would grant review to determine the legality of this tactic.

Because “this court has declined several opportunities to address the issue,” I again call on “the Legislature to examine whether additional safeguards are necessary to restore *Miranda*’s core purpose of ensuring that any statement made by a suspect to the police is ‘truly . . . the product of his [or her] free choice.’ ” (*De Jesus Valencia, supra*, B283588 (dis. stmt. of Liu, J.)) “The Legislature could require police departments and prosecutors’ offices [to] prohibit surreptitious questioning after a suspect has invoked *Miranda* rights.” (*Ibid.*) “Other reform options include: directing the Office of the Attorney General to publish model guidelines . . . that forbid post-invocation surreptitious questioning; prohibiting surreptitious questioning for a specified period of time after a *Miranda* invocation or until there has been a significant break in custody; requiring any post-invocation, police-initiated questioning of a suspect to be preceded by fresh *Miranda* warnings; requiring any post-invocation, police-directed questioning to be preceded by a disclosure to the suspect that the questioning is in fact police-directed; and imposing civil liability on police officers and departments for surreptitiously questioning a suspect after he [or she] has invoked *Miranda* rights.” (*Ibid.*) Strict adherence to *Miranda* in undercover or informant operations would not prevent police from waiting for suspects to change their minds and initiate conversation, or from investigating a crime by other avenues. (See *Minnick v. Mississippi* (1990) 498 U.S. 146, 155; cf. *Maryland v. Shatzer, supra*, 559 U.S. at pp. 112-114; *Rhode Island v. Innis* (1980) 446 U.S. 291, 300-302.)

The *Miranda* warning “has become . . . part of our national culture” (*Dickerson v. United States* (2000) 530 U.S. 428, 443), and “[t]he right to cut off questioning and seek assistance of counsel is deeply embedded in the consciousness of our citizenry as a fundamental protection against the formidable power of the police” (*De Jesus Valencia, supra*, B283588 (dis. stmt. of Liu, J.)). “It trivializes this protection to say it can be defeated by a simple ruse.” (*Ibid.*) The time remains “ripe for the Legislature to address this issue in light of this court’s reluctance to intervene.” (*Ibid.*)

LIU, J.

I Concur:
CUÉLLAR, J.

S268152 D076884 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**SOOFI (RASHEED) v.
CONTRERAS LAW FIRM**

S268352 B292794 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**MELAMED (HOOMAN) v.
CEDARS-SINAI MEDICAL
CENTER**

S268371 A160558 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**RUSH (GEORGE M.) v.
STATE TEACHERS'
RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

The petition for review is denied.
Jenkins, J., was recused and did not participate.

S268383 B301314 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**DEANCO HEALTHCARE,
LLC v. BECERRA (XAVIER)**

Petition for review denied

S268407 B302787 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**BACALL (MICHAEL) v.
SHUMWAY (JEFFREY)**

Petition for review denied

S268426 A159246/A159369 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**COLLONDREZ (JOHN) v.
CITY OF RIO VISTA**

Petition for review denied

S268453 D075577 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**CONTRERAS-VELAZQUEZ
(ROSARIO) v. FAMILY
HEALTH CENTERS OF SAN
DIEGO, INC.**

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S268457 B308909 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**LOS ANGELES, CITY OF v.
S.C. (WONG)**

Petition for review denied

S268489 B304944 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. STARR (REGGIE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S268493 C091510 Third Appellate District

IN RE M.K.

Petition for review denied

S268499 C090711 Third Appellate District
Petition for review denied

IN RE A.M.

S268520 G060112 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied

**STUEVE BROS. FARMS, LLC
v. S.C. (MEYER)**

S268522 G058965 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. DUARTE (BANI
MARCELA)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S268536 D078693 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**THOMAS (KEITH) v.
ATALLAH (H.)**

S268549 B293313 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**PEOPLE v. WHITE (LAVELL
CALVIN)**

S268572 B300765 Second Appellate District, Div. 5
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ
(JORGE)**

S268575 A156388 First Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HARRISON
(VEELEWERANCE)**

S268577 H045716 Sixth Appellate District
Petition for review denied

**WANG (JIM) v. CITY OF
PALO ALTO**

S268582 G058740 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied
Cuéllar, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

IN RE I.S.

S268590 B295741 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LOCKLIN
(DEANDRE LAMONT)**

S268617 B300093 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

The petition for review is denied.
Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.

**MICHAELS (JILLIAN) v.
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP**

S268619 B299670 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**NEFF (BURKLEY) v.
BOSCHEE (HOLLY); VERE
ENTERPRISES, INC.**

S268622 A157211 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WILSON
(EDWARD GENE)**

S268624 A160513 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

IN RE T.H.

S268625 A159252 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MOORE
(LONNEL)**

S268631 D076639 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petitions for review denied

**JULIAN VOLUNTEER FIRE
COMPANY ASSOCIATION v.
JULIAN-CUYAMACA FIRE
PROTECTION DISTRICT;
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

S268634 C086978 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**AMEDEE GEOTHERMAL
VENTURE I v. LASSEN
MUNICIPAL UTILITY
DISTRICT**

S268664 F077833 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ALCALA
(SALVADOR)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S268681 A157943 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**I. (ROBERT) v. B. (ALISA); B.
(LAURENCE)**

Petition for review denied

S268683 H046452 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BLACKMAN
(RICHARD GENE)**

Petition for review denied

S268687 A155280 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. REED (MICHAEL
D.)**

Petition for review denied

S268692 B311635 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**POE (HENRY) v. S.C.
(PIONEER MEDICAL
GROUP, INC.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S268701 B300716 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**PEOPLE v. JUACHE
(ANDRES LEMUS)**

Petition for review denied

S268705 B311444 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**ACEDO (DANIEL) v. S.C.
(CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION)**

Petition for review denied

S268803**ENG (AMELIA) v. COURT OF
APPEAL, SECOND
APPELLATE DISTRICT,
DIVISION ONE (ENG)**

The petition for a writ of mandate, prohibition, certiorari, or other appropriate relief is denied.

S268811 A162549 First Appellate District, Div. 4
Petition for review denied**TAUB (OXANE) ON H.C.****S268819** F079047 Fifth Appellate District**DICKERSON (GREGORY) v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION**

Petition for review denied

S268830 F078067 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ
(CAMILO)**

Petition for review denied

S268838 A151112 First Appellate District, Div. 5**PEOPLE v. CARRANZA
(ARMANDO)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S268839 D076475 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. WATSON
(ANTHONY)**

Petition for review denied

S268859 H046070 Sixth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. NAMAUU
(JONAH KALEIKAUMAKA)**

Petitions for review denied

S268861 A159497 First Appellate District, Div. 5
Petition for review denied**PEOPLE v. GRESS (TYLER)**

S268894 G058448 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. NATIVIDAD
(RYAN PATRICK)**

S268905 B302108 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LEWIS (ARTHUR
LEE)**

S268906 B305762 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GILMORE
(JAMAL LEE)**

S268907 B301359 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SERVANTEZ
(JEFFREY)**

S268908 B301861 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ
(OSCAR)**

S268909 B306680 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. LATSCHA
(RUDY)**

S268917

**GONZALEZ (MANUEL
ANTONIO) v. COURT OF
APPEAL, SECOND
APPELLATE DISTRICT
(PEOPLE)**

The petition for writ of mandate is denied. (Pen. Code, § 1265, subd. (a); *People v. Allenthorp* (1966) 64 Cal.2d 679, 681; *People v. Totari* (2002) 28 Cal.4th 876, 885, fn. 4; *People v. Dubon* (2001) 90 Cal.App.4th 944, 950; see also *Sherwood v. Superior Court* (1979) 24 Cal.3d 183, 186-187.)

S268918 B300596 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. PINEDO (JIM)

S268919 D076802/D077516 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. WOLDMSKEL (PATRICK)**

Petition for review denied

S268928 C090706 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MURRAY (JORDAN)**

Petition for review denied

S268929 **RUSSELL (KARL JOSEPH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for writ of certiorari denied

S268934 G058333 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MOLI (ALATINI)**
Petition for review denied

S268938 D077879 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. HAMILTON (KENNETH)**

Petition for review denied

S268939 B299444 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. QUIROS (MONICA)**

Petition for review denied

S268948 B300635 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. v. AGAK (GEORGE W.)**

Petition for review denied

S268950 D076772 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. GOITORTUA (VINCENT)**

Petition for review denied

S268961 B302145 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. DEL CID (SERGIO JONATHAN)**

Petition for review denied

S268962 B299496 Second Appellate District, Div. 5
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. OSBY (DAVID)

S268963 B307562 Second Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. COOKS
(KENDALL DESHAWN)**

S268964 B302266 Second Appellate District, Div. 8
Petition for review denied

**VERCELES (JUNNIE) v. LOS
ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

S268966 B305227 Second Appellate District, Div. 6
Petition for review denied

IN RE I.G.

S268967 F079142 Fifth Appellate District
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DILLARD
(MELTON ZEEDORE)**

S268968 B299852 Second Appellate District, Div. 8
Petition for review denied

**CHEN (PENG) v. CHIU
(SHAO FA)**

S268976 E074590 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VERMILLION
(BRIAN CRANSTON)**

S268987 C093928 Third Appellate District
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. OLIVER (JASON
ANDREAS)**

S268989 D077906 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

IN RE ADRIAN H.

S268994 H048503 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TORRES
(MICHAEL)**

S268996 B289623 Second Appellate District, Div. 3
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. CAMPA (MARIO)

S268998

The petition for extraordinary writ of judicial mandate is denied.

**LANCASTER (WALTER) v.
COURT OF APPEAL,
SECOND APPELLATE
DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE
(CITY OF LOS ANGELES)**

S269000 B302925 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**GREGG (JONATHON) v.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

S269009 H046247 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CABRERA
(VICTOR)**

S269029 E072843 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FLORES, JR.,
(CARL RAY)**

S269112 B312511 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**GARCIA (NAASON
JOAQUIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S269592 E077272 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**RAMEY, JR., (HENRY
FREDERICK) v. S.C.
(GOMEZ)**

S254049

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**ROMERO (DAVID JOSEPH)
ON H.C.**

S256227

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

BURRIS (ARMOND) ON H.C.

S259562

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

SANDOVAL (ERNIE) ON H.C.

S264406

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

LaFLAMME (DON) ON H.C.

S266584

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**MAGEE, JR., (LOUIS T.) ON
H.C.**

S267661

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**PHILLIPS (BARBARA) ON
H.C.**

S267807

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

TATE, SR., (LIONEL) ON H.C.

S267888

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**FOSELMAN, JR.,
(LORENZO) ON H.C.**

S267938

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

SWAFFORD (LEE) ON H.C.

S267955

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

THREAT (BYRON) ON H.C.

S267957**TURNER, JR., (STEVEN
DEON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S267958**BRADY (JAMES MICHAEL)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied as moot

S267988**GRISSOM, JR., (JOHN
LEWIS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S267991**GREEN (LONZELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S268015**BISEL (GREGORY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268020**BRAGGS (CHARLES LEWIS)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S268021**BRAGGS (CHARLES LEWIS)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S268030**GUERRERO (RICHARD
ORESTES) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S268056**GLASS (ROBERT
LATRELLE) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268107**DAVIS (GAVIN B.) ON H.C.**

The motion to consolidate the petitions filed in case numbers S268107, S268167, S268780, and S268806 is granted.

The requests for judicial notice are denied.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S268122**THOMAS (PAUL FRANCIS)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268155**ELLIS (ROBERT) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268162**HARRIS (MARK A.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268167**DAVIS (GAVIN B.) ON H.C.**

The motion to consolidate the petitions filed in case numbers S268107, S268167, S268780, and S268806 is granted.

The requests for judicial notice are denied.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S268212**WRIGHT (DERRICK M.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268215

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**RENTERIA (RONALD DAVE)
ON H.C.**

S268221

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**RODRIGUEZ (RAUL) ON
H.C.**

S268233

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**HARRIS (JERRON DONALD)
ON H.C.**

S268236

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

LARSHIN (ANDREY) ON H.C.

S268240

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**ARTECHE (PAUL EDWARD)
ON H.C.**

S268241

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**HAMMONTREE (RICKY
HAROLD) ON H.C.**

S268242

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**CASTILLON (PEDRO
MEDINA) ON HC.**

S268684

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**BLACKMAN (RICHARD
GENE) ON H.C.**

S268780

The motion to consolidate the petitions filed in case numbers S268107, S268167, S268780, and S268806 is granted.

The requests for judicial notice are denied.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

DAVIS (GAVIN B.) ON H.C.

S268806**DAVIS (GAVIN B.) ON H.C.**

The motion to consolidate the petitions filed in case numbers S268107, S268167, S268780, and S268806 is granted.

The requests for judicial notice are denied.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S269008**LOZADA-HARKER (VICTOR MELESIO) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S269116**PURCARO (WILLIAM CRAIG) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268025 B306353 Second Appellate District, Div. 5**OAK VIEW LITTLE LEAGUE, INC. v. OJAI VALLEY BASEBALL LEAGUE**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S268204 E072569 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**BLEDSON (CODY DEAN) v. MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION**

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S268626 F079331 Fifth Appellate District**ALVAREZ (LEONEL) v. CITY OF DELANO**

Publication request denied (case closed)

S269503 A151526 First Appellate District, Div. 3**TUNG (VICTOR) v. CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY**

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to August 26, 2021. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S268565 C089903 Third Appellate District

**VALLADARES (PETER) v.
FISCHER (DAVID D.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 30, 2021.

S146528

**PEOPLE v. SNYDER
(JANEEN MARIE) &
THORNTON (MICHAEL
FORREST)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Tracy J. Dressner's representation that appellant Janeen Marie Snyder's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 29, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 23, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 68 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S170293

**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN
JERMAINE) & INGRAM
(REYON TWAIN)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Nerissa J. Huertas' representation that appellant Reyon T. Ingram's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 2, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 55 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S170293

**PEOPLE v. DENNIS (CALVIN
JERMAINE) & INGRAM
(REYON TWAIN)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia L. Brisbois's representation that appellant Calvin Dennis' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 7, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180112**PEOPLE v. DANIELS
(JACKSON CHAMBERS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 7, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182161**PEOPLE v. JACKSON
(LLOYD EARL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S199551**SCOTT (DAVID LYNN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Gary B. Wells' representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by December 12, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to August 31, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 104 additional days are contemplated.

S201205**PEOPLE v. MOORE (RYAN
T.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 30, 2021.

S211060**PEOPLE v. GALVAN
(ROBERT)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea G. Asaro's representation that the opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve

and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 208 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212030

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN
MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that appellant John Michael Perez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 30, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 215 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212030

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN
MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that appellant Rudy Anthony Ruiz's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 30, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 215 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S214433

**ROUNTREE (CHARLES F.)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel E. Anne Hawkins' representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 23, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to August 23, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S218457**PEOPLE v. VANG (RONNIE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S221846**PEOPLE v. HALEY (KEVIN
BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S222619**PEOPLE v. SYKES (KESAUN
KEDRON)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel David P. Lampkin's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 29, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 27, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 245 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S223978**PEOPLE v. FULLER
(ROBERT DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 1, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 27, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 65 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224635**PEOPLE v. CABALLERO
(ROBERT LOUIS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 27, 2021.

S224710**PEOPLE v. ESPARZA
(ANGEL ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 30, 2021.

S226781**PEOPLE v. FELIX (MIGUEL
ENRIQUE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Barry L. Morris' representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 30, 2021. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 274 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S231195**PEOPLE v. ZAVALA, JR.,
(FRANCISCO ROY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S232428**PEOPLE v. PASASOUK (KA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S235017**PEOPLE v. MARTIN
(ROMAINE ULYSES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S260945**ROSE (MICHAEL LAMAR)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to January 3, 2022.

S267391 B301638 Second Appellate District, Div. 1**JENKINS (JASMINE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 19, 2021.
No further extensions will be granted.

S268738**GONZALEZ (HUGO A.) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 30, 2021.

S268940 B302365 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**TRUCK INSURANCE
EXCHANGE v. FEDERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On application of defendant and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to July 13, 2021.

S113962**PEOPLE v. PARKER
(CALVIN LAMONT)**

Marsden motion denied

Appellant's pro se "Motion to Remove Appellate Counsel," filed on May 28, 2021, is denied.

S268295

E073669 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. DEAN (JAMES
CLEO)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon Wrubel is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268336

C090707 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ANDAHL (JASON
WESLEY)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jared G. Coleman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268339

E074143 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. MEADOWS, JR.,
(FRANK EDWARD)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Stephanie Adraktas is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268401

E074651 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. HAWKINS
(JEREMY WAYNE)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Appellate Defenders, Inc., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268439 E075801 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. FAUMUI (VAVAO POLO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John P. Dwyer is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268463 C093087 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MUZQUIZ (JOHN DANIEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert L. Angres is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268519 E074653 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (LUIS ARMANDO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Gerald J. Miller is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268570 C091545 Third Appellate District

DOUGLAS (TYRONE A.) ON H.C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Randy Kravis is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268587 F077818 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MOISA IV (JOHN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jennifer Mannix is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268694 C091158 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BRAGANZA
(ALEX JONATHAN)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, William D. Farber is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268708 C089647 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. SISNEROS
(ROBERT)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James S. Thomson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S229561

**WILSON (BYRON PAUL) ON
H.C.**

Order filed

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles. This court no longer retains jurisdiction over any aspect of this petition.

S263972 B295935 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**PICO NEIGHBORHOOD
ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF
SANTA MONICA**

Order filed

Construing the “Stipulation Regarding Schedule of Responses to Amicus Curiae Briefs” submitted on June 23, 2021, by respondents Pico Neighborhood Association and Maria Loya and appellant City of Santa Monica as a joint request for an extension of time to file responses to amicus curiae briefs, the request is granted. It is ordered that the time for both parties to serve and file responses to amicus curiae briefs is extended to August 11, 2021. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f).)

S263972 B295935 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**PICO NEIGHBORHOOD
ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF
SANTA MONICA**

Order filed

The application of The League of Women voters of Santa Monica, The Alliance of Santa Monica Latino and Black voters, Human Relations Council Santa Monica Bay Area, and Community for Excellent Public Schools for leave to file a reply in support of their request for judicial notice is granted. The clerk of this court is directed to file the reply as of the date of this order.

S266171

**ACCUSATION OF
JEFFERIES**

Petition denied (accusation)

S268197

**ACCUSATION OF
LEVINGSTON**

Petition denied (accusation)

S268613

ACCUSATION OF BISORDI

Petition denied (accusation)

S267819

ROBINSON ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that RUSSELL ALAN ROBINSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 163937, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Elisa Turesin in the amount of \$7,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 23, 2015;
- (2) Robert Boyd in the amount of \$11,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 8, 2018;
- (3) Bruce Kyles in the amount of \$47,462.62 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 17, 2015;
- (4) Dennis Hightower in the amount of \$13,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from

October 31, 2017; and

- (5) Dr. Yagya Kapila in the amount of \$143,368.60 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 27, 2017.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.