

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 1, 2022  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S258019**      B289003 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **SHEEN (KWANG K) v.  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**

Rehearing denied

**S273340**      **GANTNER (ANTHONY) v.  
PG&E CORPORATION;  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC  
COMPANY**

Request for certification granted

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40(a).) The request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, for this court to decide two questions of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, is granted.

For the purposes of briefing and oral argument, appellant Anthony Gantner is deemed the petitioner in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).)

Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S273802**      B309408 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **RAMIREZ (ANGELICA) v.  
CHARTER  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 75 Cal.App.5th 365, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See *Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion*, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S271493****CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN  
WATER COMPANY v.  
PUBLIC UTILITIES  
COMMISSION****S269099****GOLDEN STATE WATER  
COMPANY v. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Case consolidated - all subsequent events to be docketed in lead case

On May 18, 2022, this court directed the clerk of the court to issue writs of review in each of these matters.

The Public Utilities Commission (Commission) has filed a motion to consolidate the matters. The motion is granted, and these cases are consolidated for purposes of briefing, argument, and decision.

The parties appear to have provided a sufficient record of the proceedings before the Commission as they relate to the petitions. Accordingly, unless a party serves and files a written objection within 15 days from the date of this order, the exhibits submitted by the parties will constitute the record under review, and the Commission need not certify the record. If one or more of the parties serves and files a timely written objection, however, this court will set a date for the Commission to certify and return the transcript and record of the proceedings in this matter for further review by the court. (See Code Civ. Proc., § 1071.) Briefing will be deferred until the record has been certified.

In the event no objection is filed, petitioners in these consolidated matters shall serve and file their opening brief within 30 days after the deadline for objections has passed. The Commission shall serve and file its answer brief within 30 days of the timely filing of the opening brief. Petitioners may serve and file a reply brief within 20 days of the timely filing of the answer brief. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a).)

Any application for permission to file an amicus brief must comply with rule 8.520(f) of the California Rules of Court. Any answer to an amicus brief must comply with rule 8.520(f)(7) of the California Rules of Court.

**S273773**

B313121 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**PEOPLE v. BUTLER (AUSTIN  
ROBERT)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Prudholme*, S271057 and *People v. Faial*, S273840 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S273813** C094428 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. LEITE (ROSANN)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S273830** C092538 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. SCARANO  
(ANTHONY)**

Review granted on the court's own motion; briefing deferred

Review is ordered on the court's own motion.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Prudholme*, S271057 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274000** A163307 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**KUHNEL (KELLIE) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Faial*, S273840 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274029** C093465 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ALLEN (ANDRE  
MARCUS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274079**      G059900 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. AYALA (OIRAM ROMAN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Curiel*, S272238 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274110**      E074012 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. REAZA (RONNIE CRUZ)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Curiel*, S272238 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274118**      E077943 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (BENJAMIN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274132**      F080424 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. RIOS (ABEL JOSE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Vaquera*, S258376 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274156** H048576 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DRUMMER  
(MARCELLOUS BERNARD)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274159** B308999 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**PEOPLE v. DELCAMBRE  
(DAMON)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274186** B309405 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. CARSTARPHEN  
(IKE)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274250** D078320 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. SMITH  
(MARQUELL DION)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274260** C093286 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. GUAJARDO  
(RUBEN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S274308** C093543 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ELIAS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

**S273267****RATLIFF (JAMES) ON  
CLEMENCY**

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant clemency

June 1, 2022

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Governor, State of California  
State Capitol Building  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: James Ratliff  
Legal Affairs File No.: GO No. 3168-17  
Case Number: S273267  
Executive Clemency Number: 1233

Dear Governor Newsom:

On the application of James Ratliff for commutation of sentence, your office requested a recommendation under article V, section 8 of the California Constitution in order to grant a commutation of sentence to the applicant. The request stated that: "The Governor is contemplating a commutation of sentence that would make Mr. Ratliff eligible for a parole

suitability hearing.” The court, with at least 4 judges concurring, hereby grants the request and issues the recommendation required by article V, section 8.

Cordially,

Martin J. Jenkins  
Acting Chief Justice

**S273269**

**GREEN (DARNELL) ON  
CLEMENCY**

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant clemency

June 1, 2022

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Governor, State of California  
State Capitol Building  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Darnell Green  
Legal Affairs File No.: GO No. 10949-19  
Case Number: S273269  
Executive Clemency Number: 1234

Dear Governor Newsom:

On the application of Darnell Green for commutation of sentence, your office requested a recommendation under article V, section 8 of the California Constitution in order to grant a commutation of sentence to the applicant. The request stated that: “The Governor is contemplating a commutation of sentence that would make Mr. Ratliff eligible for an earlier parole suitability hearing.” The court, with at least 4 judges concurring, hereby grants the request and issues the recommendation required by article V, section 8.

Cordially,

Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye  
Chief Justice

**S272871****McCLAIN (JAMES JORDAN)  
v. COURT OF APPEAL,  
FOURTH APPELLATE  
DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE  
(PEOPLE)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**S273627** G058813 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. BUENROSTRO  
(VICTOR)**

Petition for review denied

**S273763** B318486 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**MASTERSON (DANIEL P.) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review denied

**S273783** F083673 Fifth Appellate District**PLUTUS GROUP  
CORPORATION v. S.C.  
(CRUZ)**

Petition for review denied

**S273784** F083671 Fifth Appellate District**EREBUS GROUP  
CORPORATION v. S.C.  
(CRUZ)**

Petition for review denied

**S273790** H047439 Sixth Appellate District**M. (M.),  
CONSERVATIONSHIP OF**

Petition for review denied

**S273798** B314783 Second Appellate District, Div. 1**IN RE DARIAN R.**

Petition for review denied

**S273800** B308498 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**TAYLOR (VICTORIA JO  
ISOM) v. BOCHNEWICH  
LAW OFFICES**

Petition for review denied



**S273804** A159421 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BROOKS  
(MARCEL)**

**S273810** C089567 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DAVIS (ALVIN  
LARRY)**

**S273818**

Petition for writ of error coram nobis denied

**U4RIC INVESTMENTS, LLC  
v. MARTINEZ (PETRA)**

**S273828** D077336 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, J., was recused and did not participate.

**IN RE T.W.**

**S273842** B309781 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PECH (RICHARD) v.  
DONIGER (STEPHEN M.)**

**S273860** H047756 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**IN RE J.S.**

**S273864** A155955 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

**FRIEND (JACK WAYNE) ON  
H.C.**

**S273884** D079285 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**BRYANT (CHEYVONNE) ON  
H.C.**

**S273897** B314545 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**HANSON (IRENE) v. WELLS  
FARGO BANK**

**S273902** F083732 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**McCONNAUGHY (RICKY)  
ON H.C.**

**S273911** A161511 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**U.S. BANK NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION v.  
ROSENBLUM (JENNIFER  
MAE)**

**S273919** C087046 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (MOSES)**

**S273920** B306081 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GARCIA (LISA  
MARIA)**

**S273925** G060335 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ANGEL)**

**S273955**

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HALCROMB-  
FALCONER (TORRION)**

**S273965** D079450 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**MARQUIS PARTNERSHIP v.  
WEDDLE (THERESA)**

**S273969** B319225 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**MAPLES (JAMES W.) v. S.C.  
(CLARK)**

**S273972** C082932 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**HIRAMANNEK (KAMAL &  
ADIL), MARRIAGE OF**

<b>S273974</b>	B317986 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS &amp; REHABILITATION v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD &amp; SHUERE (PETER)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S273984</b>	F083343 Fifth Appellate District	<b>WARFIELD (KENZEL) ON H.C.</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S273995</b>	B289194 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	<b>PEOPLE v. McMORRIES (SHELDON)</b>
Petitions for review denied		
<b>S273997</b>	G057798/G058460 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>ZECH (EUGENE V.) v. RICHARDS (ALICIA MARIE)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S273998</b>	B308601 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>SILVA (KILDARE LIMA) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S274007</b>	C093973 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. BOWDEN (LADON)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S274019</b>	G061183 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>JEUNESSE, LLC v. S.C. (XIONG)</b>
Petition for review denied		
<b>S274032</b>	A161244 First Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>CIM URBAN REIT 211 MAIN STREET (SF) LP v. CITY &amp; COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO</b>
Petition for review denied		

**S274034** A163325 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. COOLEY  
(JOSHUA BRYAN)**

**S274035** B318463 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**MOON (ADRIAN) v. S.C.  
(BODDIE-MOON)**

**S274047** E075927 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**GHALY (GAMAL F.) v.  
UPPAL (GURVINDER S.)**

**S274049** C084075 Third Appellate District

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BRUNSON  
(BRIAN REED)**

**S274082** B296845 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PAYNE, JR.,  
(KEVIN ANTHONY)**

**S274103** G060958 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**SUZUKI MOTOR  
CORPORATION v. S.C.  
(SOULLIERE)**

**S274105** C093510 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FERNANDEZ  
(MICHAEL ELEFANTE)**

**S274112** C093638 Third Appellate District

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. HICKMAN (ALAN  
WAYNE)**

**S274114** B307408 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**CALIFORNIA BAIL AGENTS  
ASSOCIATION v. DHILLON  
LAW GROUP INC.**

**S274117** A162456 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS  
(IGNACIO)**

**S274131** B310073 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. OROZCO  
(HECTOR)**

**S274136** D080193 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**THOMAS (KEITH) ON H.C.**

**S274138** B304084 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**JANE IL DOE v.  
BRIGHTSTAR RESIDENTIAL  
INCORPORATED**

**S274139** B306009 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ALFARO (DARIO)**

**S274152** B319286 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PURSEHOUSE (GARETH) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

**S274155** A163171 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**NI (WENSHI) v. BOARD OF  
REGISTERED NURSING**

**S274162** F081030 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SILVEIRA  
(JOSIAH DAVID)**

**S274165** A160074 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. POLK (SUSAN MAE)**

**S274168** H049258 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CASTILLO, JR., (ROBERT)**

**S274183** D077072 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

Guerrero, J., was recused and did not participate.

**PEOPLE v. COOK (TIMOTHY JOHN)**

**S274185** B310187 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ULTRERAS (ANTHONY ROBERT)**

**S274189** E074437 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (NESTOR)**

**S274190** C089457 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GEROLAGA, JR., (TONY RAMON)**

**S274196** G061249 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**WILSON (DANA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

**S274198** A164262 First Appellate District, Div. 4

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. WING (STEVEN WAYNE)**

**S274199** F079476 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (DAVID PEREZ)**

**S274200** F079924 Fifth Appellate District

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HINEMAN (ZACKARY EUGENE)**

**S274202** B298475 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (EVERARDO)**

**S274219** B312897 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BROWN, JR., (BERNARD)**

**S274221** B313020 Second Appellate District, Div. 8  
Petitions for review & depublication request(s) denied

**IN RE J.Y.**

**S274233** G060008 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WOOD, JR., (RUSSELL RAYMOND)**

**S274235** F078395 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TORALES (JOHN MARTIN)**

**S274240** C093949 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JOSEPH (TINISHA NATE)**

**S274247** B319572 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MURREY (STEWART LUCAS) v. S.C. (LEE)**

**S274256** B317246 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. THOMAS  
(JEFFREY ANTHONY)**

**S274270** H045312 Sixth Appellate District  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WEBB (SCOTT)**

**S274273** H044790 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CONWAY, JR.,  
(SCOTT MICHAEL)**

**S274279** F079515 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ  
(SERGIO ERICK)**

**S274280** C090923 Third Appellate District

The request for consideration of an additional issue in the petition for review is granted.  
The petition for review is denied.

**PEOPLE v. NORTON  
(MATTHEW EDWARD)**

**S274291**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

**LOPEZ (ARTHUR) v. S.C.  
(OUR LADY QUEEN OF  
ANGELS CATHOLIC  
CHURCH)**

**S274292** B303708 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SMADI (AHMAD  
TALAL)**

**S274293** G059589 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ  
(RICARDO)**

**S274299** C090887 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LEE (NHI)**



**S274307** F081279 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. PERKINS  
(WAYNE DESHOWN)**

Petition for review denied

**S274312** E078278 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**WILLIAMS (ABIJAH) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for review denied

**S274313**

**STONE (RYAN E.) v. WEBER  
(SHIRLEY)/(S.C.)**

Respondent's request for judicial notice, filed May 13, 2022, is granted.

Real Parties in Interest's request for judicial notice, filed May 13, 2022, is granted.

The petition for writ of mandate and application for stay are denied.

**S274650** B320104 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**GARCIA (NAASON  
JOAQUIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**S274723** A165114 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**MATRAI (GYORGY) v. S.C.  
(URIAARTE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**S267109**

**LIZARRAGA (ALBERTO) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S268890****LIZARRAGA (ALBERTO) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S270494****PICKETT (SERGERVICK M.)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S270709****LOVE (DAEVON JAMELL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. 86, citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

**S271670****PARKER (LESLIE GENE) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S271744****ROGERS (DEANDRE M.) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

**S271771****MOTTON (EDWARD JAMES)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272081**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**HOLLIS (ELLIS CLAY) ON H.C.****S272426**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**KLOTZ (JOSEPH G.) ON H.C.****S272479**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**MILLER (LEEROY) ON H.C.****S272486**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**PEREZ (KELVIN) ON H.C.****S272496**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**MARTINEZ (CHRISTIAN IVAN) ON H.C.****S272499**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**ARGEL (JOHN WILLIAM) ON H.C.****S272541**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**GONZALES (CECILIO) ON H.C.****S272545**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**AROZ (ALEX) ON H.C.**

**S272546****ROSE (CLEVELAND) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

**S272550****BIBBS (BRANDON LEON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

**S272551****TRAMMEL (BRANDON  
ROBERT) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272554****ALLEN, JR., (ANTHONY  
LEE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

**S272556****CARTER (KENNETH I.) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

**S272559****PEREZ (JESSE) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272566****HARRIS (CLARENCE  
EDWARD) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction].)

**S272568****NUNEZ (IVAN MARK) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**S272680****WILLIAMS (BRIAN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272691****OCHOA (LEONARD LOUIE)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1066 [habeas corpus relief is unavailable where the petitioner is not in the custody of California authorities as a result of the challenged conviction]; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**S272698****PANTOJA (SAMUEL) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

**S272699****WYNNE (JOHN FARRELL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

**S272713****PORTEE (DAVID BERNARD)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272732****WITKIN (MICHAEL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272733****ALFARO (JOHN C.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S272754****CAPPELLO (MARK  
WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272760****MARTINEZ (MANUEL) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

**S272764****BURCIAGA (MICHAEL) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272783****DeLEON, JR., (SANTIAGO  
JIMMY) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272785****NOEL (PATRICK) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S272804****BONTY (MILES O.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**S272838****WASHINGTON (TRACEY  
BERNARD) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

**S272839**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**STEWARD (DONNY) ON H.C.****S272847**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**ZUNINO (DWIGHT) ON H.C.****S272944**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**BROWN (KENYON  
DARRELL) ON H.C.****S272955**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**McGOWAN (DEVONTE) ON  
H.C.****S273008**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**ISRAEL (AKIVA A.) ON H.C.****S273013**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**ISRAEL (AKIVA A.) ON H.C.****S273069**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**DeLEON, JR., (SANTIAGO  
JIMMY) ON H.C.****S273361**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**BIBBS (BRANDON LEON) ON  
H.C.****S273527**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**FEARENCE (JAQUES OMAR)  
ON H.C.**

**S273791****BURGAZI (MARYAM) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S273821****McGOWAN (DEVONTE) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S274142****PIERVIL (VENEL JASON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

**S274172****BROWN (KENYON  
DARRELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S274310****PIERVIL (VENEL JASON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

**S274427****PORTEE (DAVID BERNARD)  
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S274585****WASHINGTON (TRACEY  
BERNARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied



**S273514** B301899 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Publication request denied (case closed)

**ANABI OIL CORPORATION  
v. IFUEL, INC.; HALAKA  
(EVON)**

**S273716** C092354 Third Appellate District

Publication request denied (case closed)

**LAUCKHART (RICHARD) v.  
COUNTY OF YOLO**

**S273757** B306133 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Publication request denied (case closed)

**THE LITTLE COTTAGE  
CAREGIVERS, LLC v.  
KATCHKO (YELENA)**

**S273762** B312872 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Publication request denied (case closed)

**GREATWAY ROOFING, INC.  
v. SCCI, INC.**

**S273764** F083184 Fifth Appellate District

Publication request denied (case closed)

**IN RE D.X.**

**S273822** B304609 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Publication request denied (case closed)

**CASAS (CRUZ) v. COUNTY  
OF LOS ANGELES**

**S273837** C089985 Third Appellate District

Publication request denied (case closed)

**CRISAN (MARCELLA) v.  
STATE DEPARTMENT OF  
STATE HOSPITALS**

**S274056** B306200 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Publication request denied (case closed)

**CHEN (YIJING) v.  
HERSCHEL (NICOLE ROSE)**

**S274322** C090943 Third Appellate District

**MONTEREY COASTKEEPER  
v. CENTRAL COAST  
REGIONAL WATER  
QUALITY CONTROL BOARD**

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

**S127119**

**PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that the supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 1, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S141519**

**PEOPLE v. HIN (MAO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Jeffrey A. White's representation that the sixth supplemental respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 30, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 30, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S142959**

**PEOPLE v. YOUNG  
(DONALD RAY) & YOUNG  
(TIMOTHY JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Valerie Hriciga's representation that appellant Donald Young's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 25, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to August 1, 2022. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 391 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S200982****PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO  
(GABRIEL ALEXANDER)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 27, 2023, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 29, 2022. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 182 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S248590****PEOPLE v. BRACAMONTES  
(LUIS ENRIQUEZ MONROY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 25, 2022.

**S258012****TIBBS (TODD J.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to supplemental informal response is extended to July 1, 2022.

**S272129**      H049016 Sixth Appellate District**RODRIGUEZ (MARIO) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to July 8, 2022.

No further extension of time is contemplated.

**S272472****GARCIA (SANTOS LEONEL)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 5, 2022.

**S273797** H047594 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. REYNOZA  
(RAYMOND GREGORY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 11, 2022.

**S274569** B319328 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**JOHNSON (CAMERON) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to June 23, 2022.

**S272896** H049392 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. PULIDO  
(EDMUNDO)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard Fitzer is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S273134** B304490 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**PEOPLE v. COOPER  
(ROBERT)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Elizabeth Horowitz is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.  
Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

**S273847** E074380 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. CROSS (HARLEY  
HERBERT)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, William Holzer is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S273898** D078552 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. SISON  
(JEFFERSON)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Nancy King is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S274013** G059690 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. REMBERT  
(SCOTT EARL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Dawn S. Mortazavi is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S274102** G059940 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. PACHECO  
(HECTOR GENARO)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Appellate Defenders, Inc., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S099844**

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JUAN  
VILLA)**

Order filed

Appellant's request that we take judicial notice of a letter from the State Bar to John Anthony Bryan dated October 17, 2001, is granted.

**S199551**

**SCOTT (DAVID LYNN) ON  
H.C.**

Order filed

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside.

Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner and the payment of appointed counsel's fees and expenses only with respect to proceedings in the superior court pursuant to this order. The following practices will apply to requests that this court (a) pay attorney fees for counsel appointed by this court or (b) reimburse necessary and reasonable expenses related to the habeas corpus proceeding.

Such requests will be governed by the Payment Guidelines for Appointed Counsel Representing Indigent Criminal Appellants in the California Supreme Court, Guidelines II.I and III. Counsel must first obtain the superior court's recommendation for payment. However, the superior court's recommendation is not binding on the Supreme Court, which will exercise independent review of the request.

**S252756****DeAGUILERA ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – JAMES DeAGUILERA

The order filed May 18, 2022, is hereby amended as to the State Bar case number as follows:

13-O-16057

14-O-03616

15-O-15856

16-O-10804

**S271265**

B308440 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**H. (SAUL), GUARDIANSHIP  
OF**

Order filed

Amicus Curiae Public Counsel's judicial notice request, filed on March 21, 2022, is granted as to Exhibits 1 through 8 and denied as to Exhibits 9 and 10.

**S274559****HILLHOUSE (DANNIE RAY)  
ON H.C.**

Order filed

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was filed in this court after October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (a), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Butte.

In issuing this order, the court has not considered the applicability of Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (d) to this petition.

**S273872****ACCUSATION OF ZHANG**

Petition denied (accusation)

**S273883****ACCUSATION OF TURNER**

Petition denied (accusation)

**S273907**

Petition denied

(accusation)

**ACCUSATION OF MEZA****S273218**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

**CARRUTHERS ON  
DISCIPLINE**

The court orders that DENNIS SCOTT CARRUTHERS (Respondent), State Bar Number 68745, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$6,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273219**

Recommended discipline imposed

**HERNANDEZ ON  
DISCIPLINE**

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER STEVEN HERNANDEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 298370, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 11, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 11, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in

the amount of \$2,250 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273220****MACK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MELISSA F. MACK (Respondent), State Bar Number 206250, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Marcelo Olguin and Gabriela Reyes-Olguin, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$2,189.86 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 12, 2017 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273468****ACKERMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JONI SUE ACKERMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 117730, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for six months (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on March 1, 2021);
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the



Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 21, 2022; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 21, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$875 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. These costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for the year 2023. If Respondent fails to pay the installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S273469**

**KENNEDY-ALVAREZ ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MINDY GAY KENNEDY-ALVAREZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 218509, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Evita Yniguez, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$26,514.45 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 31, 2014 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by

law.

**S273470****LAGUATAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that TEODORO TORRES LAGUATAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 95370, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on January 14, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on January 14, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273471****RAZO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MATTHEW DAVID RAZO (Respondent), State Bar Number 308785, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

January 26, 2022; and

2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 26, 2022. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273491****GIRARDI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that THOMAS VINCENT GIRARDI (Respondent), State Bar Number 36603, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Judy Selberg in the amount of \$184,144.55 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 20, 2020;
- (2) The minor children of each of the following four plaintiffs in the Lion Air Matter: Anise Kasim, Septiana Damayanti, Dian Daniaty Binti Udin Zaenudin, and Bias Ramadhan A.S. Bin Misyadi in the amount of \$500,000 each (for a total of \$2,000,000) plus 10 percent interest per year from September 3, 2020;
- (3) Josefina and Michael Hernandez in the amount of \$55,944.02 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 4, 2020;
- (4) Timothy J. Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee, Case No. 2:11-bk-54999 in the amount of \$35,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 4, 2020;
- (5) Anthem, Inc. in the amount of \$6,491.66 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 4, 2020;
- (6) Medicare in the amount of \$301.32 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 4, 2020;
- (7) Garretson Resolution Group in the amount of \$625 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 4, 2020;

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273492****CHAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that VICTORIA CHAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 255765, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273493****REILAND ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM L. REILAND (Respondent), State Bar Number 258707, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273494****RESNIK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANAT RESNIK (Respondent), State Bar Number 192047, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 31, 2022; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$3,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273495****NISSON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PETER L. NISSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 62276, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S273496****ODLE ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of ROBERT PHILLIP ODLE, (Attorney), State Bar Number 126128, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

**S274782****ARMSTRONG ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARGARET TEAGUE ARMSTRONG, State Bar Number 109990, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274783****FRILEY ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOEL SKILLMAN FRILEY, State Bar Number 95413, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274784****KAPLAN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARILYN KAPLAN, State Bar Number 87079, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274785****KASSEN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MELINDA R. KASSEN, State Bar Number 100683, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274786****LECHER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DENISE LOUISE LECHER, State Bar Number 150990, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274787****MACHADO ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of C. PATRICK MACHADO, State Bar Number 145364, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274788****MOORE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DONALD WARREN MOORE, State Bar Number 231297, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274789****TOOLE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CAROLINE A. TOOLE, State Bar Number 316072, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S274790****TRACHTENBERG ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT JAY TRACHTENBERG, State Bar Number 126438, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

